



日本原子力研究開発機構機関リポジトリ  
Japan Atomic Energy Agency Institutional Repository

Title	In situ transmission electron microscopy observation of melted germanium encapsulated in multilayer graphene
Author(s)	Suzuki Seiya, Nemoto Yoshihiro, Shiiki Natsumi, Nakayama Yoshiko, Takeguchi Masaki
Citation	Annalen der Physik, 535(9), p.2300122_1-2300122_12
Text Version	Preprint
URL	<a href="https://jopss.jaea.go.jp/search/servlet/search?5077613">https://jopss.jaea.go.jp/search/servlet/search?5077613</a>
DOI	<a href="https://doi.org/10.1002/andp.202300122">https://doi.org/10.1002/andp.202300122</a>
Right	This is the pre-peer reviewed version of the following article: Annalen der Physik, which has been published in final form at <a href="https://doi.org/10.1002/andp.202300122">https://doi.org/10.1002/andp.202300122</a> . This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions.

# ***In situ* Transmission Electron Microscopy Observation of Melted Germanium Encapsulated in Multilayer Graphene**

**Seiya Suzuki<sup>1-3,\*</sup>, Yoshihiro Nemoto<sup>4</sup>, Natsumi Shiiki<sup>2</sup>, Yoshiko Nakayama<sup>4</sup>, and Masaki Takeguchi<sup>4</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Advanced Science Research Center (ASRC), Japan Atomic Energy Agency (JAEA), 2-4 Shirakata, Tokai, Ibaraki 319-1195, Japan

<sup>2</sup>International Center for Young Scientists (ICYS), National Institute for Materials Science (NIMS), 1-1 Namiki, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0044, Japan

<sup>3</sup>PRESTO, Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST), 4-1-8 Honcho, Kawaguchi, Saitama 332-0012, Japan.

<sup>4</sup>Electron Microscopy Analysis Station, National Institute for Materials Science (NIMS), 1-2-1 Sengen, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0047, Japan

\*suzuki.seiya35@jaea.go.jp and seiya09417@gmail.com

## **ABSTRACT**

Germanene is a two-dimensional (2D) germanium (Ge) analogous of graphene, and its unique topological properties are expected to be a material for next-generation electronics. However, no germanene electronic devices have yet been reported. One of the reasons for this is that germanene is easily oxidized in air due to its lack of chemical stability. Therefore, growing germanene at solid interfaces where it is not oxidized is one of the key ideas for realizing electronic devices based on germanene. In this study, the behavior of Ge at the solid interface at high temperatures was observed by transmission electron microscopy (TEM). To achieve such *in situ* heating TEM observation, we fabricated a graphene/Ge/graphene encapsulated structure. *In situ* heating TEM experiments revealed that Ge like droplets moved and coalesced with other Ge droplets, indicating that Ge remained as a liquid phase between graphene layers at temperatures higher than the Ge melting point. It was also observed that droplet Ge incorporates the surrounding amorphous Ge as Ge nuclei, thereby increasing its size (domain

26 growth). These results indicated that Ge crystals can be grown at the interface of van der Waals materials,  
27 which will be important for future germanene growth at solid interfaces.

28

## 29 **Introduction**

30 Germanene is a two-dimensional (2D) germanium (Ge) analogous to graphene.<sup>[1]</sup> Theoretical studies have  
31 predicted that germanene has linear band dispersion,<sup>[1-2]</sup> a size-tunable band gap by applying an electric  
32 field,<sup>[3]</sup> and topological properties.<sup>[1, 4]</sup> Owing to those unique physical properties, electronic device  
33 applications of germanene, such as field effect transistors (FETs),<sup>[5]</sup> has been highly demanded as well as  
34 silicene,<sup>[2, 6]</sup> stanene,<sup>[7]</sup> and plumbene.<sup>[8]</sup> Nevertheless the growth of germanene has already been reported  
35 on various surfaces, such as Ag(111),<sup>[9]</sup> Au(111),<sup>[10]</sup> Cu(111),<sup>[11]</sup> Al(111),<sup>[12]</sup> Pt(111),<sup>[13]</sup> graphite,<sup>[14]</sup> and  
36 MoS<sub>2</sub>,<sup>[15]</sup> the electronic devices of germanene have not been reported yet. Even though by taking into  
37 account other the related group-14 2D monoatomic layers, the reports of their electronic devices are  
38 limited except the hydrogenated germanene (germanane)<sup>[16]</sup> and silicene FETs.<sup>[17]</sup> One of the reasons for  
39 this is chemical instability of group-14 based 2D monoatomic layers including germanene. Once high-  
40 quality germanene is grown on a surface in an ultra-high vacuum chamber, but it is easily degraded by  
41 oxidation in air. Thus, the fabrication of electronic devices of germanene and other group-14 2D  
42 monoatomic layers is difficult, in contrast to chemically stable 2D materials, such as graphene and  
43 transition metal dichalcogenides (TMD).

44 As one approach, we have come up with the idea of growing germanene directly at the solid interface,  
45 where it is not oxidized in air.<sup>[9f]</sup> Based on this concept, we have grown germanene at the interfaces of  
46 graphene/Ag(111) and hexagonal boron nitride (hBN)/Ag(111).<sup>[9a]</sup> This work proved that the high gas  
47 barrier properties of graphene and hBN allow the germanene at such interfaces to be stable in air. However,

48 this growth method still remains challenges for the fabrication of germanene devices since the germanene  
49 is not electrically isolated due to the contacting Ag surface. This is a common challenge for germanene  
50 grown on metal surfaces. In order to realize germanene FETs from growing it on a metal surface, the  
51 physical or electrical separation of germanene from the grown metal surface will be required.

52 On the other hand, if germanene can be formed directly at the interface of insulators, the issue of contact  
53 between germanene and metal surfaces does not arise, and the development of germanene devices should  
54 be achieved. To maximize the potential of germanene, hBN would be a very promising encapsulation  
55 material among insulators. The advantages of hBN encapsulation are that it provides an atomically  
56 smooth surface (or interface), free of dangling bonds, and screening substrate effects such as scattering  
57 for preserving (modulating, or enhancing) the intrinsic properties of the encapsulated 2D monatomic layer.  
58 These have already been well demonstrated in the carrier transport measurements of graphene<sup>[18]</sup> and  
59 TMD.<sup>[19]</sup>

60 The direct growth of germanene between hBN layers, as well as the growth of other group 14 monoatomic  
61 2D materials, has not been achieved to date. In order to form germanene between 2D materials, we need  
62 to insert Ge sources and crystalize them. Crystal growth from molten state (Czochralski method)<sup>[20]</sup> and  
63 phase transition from amorphous state<sup>[21]</sup> are typical ways to form bulk and crystalline thin films of Ge,  
64 respectively, and we thought that employing these methods would be a reasonable way to challenge the  
65 growth of germanene between 2D materials. In this study, we fabricated a Ge encapsulated structure with  
66 graphene layers and observed the behavior of the encapsulated Ge at high temperatures using *in situ*  
67 heating transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Graphene, which produces van der Waals (vdW)  
68 interfaces similar to those of hBN, was used as an alternative material to hBN. From the TEM observation,  
69 we found that Ge between graphene were able to exist at more than the melting point of Ge ( $MP_{Ge}$ ),  
70 indicating that the graphene layers successfully encapsulate the Ge layer. After several heating processes,

71 amorphous Ge and crystalline Ge coexisted between the graphene layers. The shape of crystalline Ge is  
72 almost spherical (named droplet Ge), indicating three-dimensional nucleation. At higher temperatures  
73 than  $MP_{Ge}$ , the movement of Ge droplets and their coalescence with other Ge droplets in the surrounding  
74 area were observed. Atomic resolution TEM observations showed that some droplets were liquid at high  
75 temperatures because they did not have clear lattice fringes. Since crystalline Ge particles were observed  
76 at room temperature (RT), the droplets crystallized when they cooled down. Our observations suggest  
77 that it is important to avoid the formation of droplet when growing planar Ge crystals (germanene)  
78 between vdW materials, which can provide hints for the direct growth of germanene at solid interfaces in  
79 the future.

80


## 81 **Results and Discussion**

### 82 **1. Preparation and observation of graphene/Ge/graphene encapsulated structure**

83 Figure 1 shows the sample preparation of encapsulated Ge between graphene layers for *in situ* heating  
84 TEM observation. The sample preparation consists of chemical vapor deposition (CVD) growth of  
85 graphene, transfer graphene, Ge deposition, and encapsulation of Ge by graphene. CVD growth was done  
86 onto a commercial copper (Cu) foil by using a homemade CVD furnace. The grown graphene is  
87 polycrystalline and has a high surface coverage. Figure 1(a) illustrates a transfer process of monolayer-  
88 graphene (1L-graphene) onto another CVD graphene/Cu. The grown graphene/Cu (1) was first spin-  
89 coated by poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) as a mechanical support layer (2). After drying, the Cu  
90 substrate was etched away with metal etchant (3) followed by multiple deionized (DI) water rinses (4).  
91 The PMMA/graphene was then scooped up with another graphene/Cu (5), and finally the PMMA layer  
92 was removed with acetone (6). This graphene transfer was repeated to fabricate 4L-graphene. Figure 1(b)  
93 shows the encapsulation of Ge by graphene and the transfer of the encapsulated Ge onto a Nano-Chip

94 (Wildfire, DENSSolutions) designed for *in situ* heating TEM observation. A 4L-graphene/Cu (7) and a  
95 Ge thin film deposited 4L-graphene/Cu (8) were prepared for the Ge encapsulation. The Ge thin film was  
96 patterned using a metal shadow mask during the electron beam deposition of Ge. By patterning Ge, the  
97 contact area between the top and bottom 4L-graphene is increased, which improves their adhesion. The  
98 transfer of the 4L-graphene onto the Ge/4L-graphene/Cu was also performed in the same way (9,10) as  
99 the transfer process in Fig. 1(a). Finally, the 4L-graphene/Ge/4L-graphene was transferred by scooping  
100 up with a Nano-Chip (11).

101 We also tried to encapsulate Ge with two sheets of 1L-graphene, but the Ge disappeared after metal  
102 etching. This is probably due to the metal etchant permeation into Ge across the graphene, indicating the  
103 imperfections in graphene, such as defects, grain boundaries, wrinkles, insufficient adhesion to Ge, and  
104 their occurrence during the transfer process. By increasing the number of graphene layers, the loss of Ge  
105 was strongly suppressed, and 4Ls were found to be thick enough to fabricate a graphene/Ge/graphene  
106 encapsulation structure.




107

108 **Figure 1.** Sample preparation of encapsulated Ge between graphene layers onto a Nano-Chip (Wildfire,  
 109 DENSSolutions) designed for *in situ* heating TEM observation. (a) Transfer 1L-graphene onto 1L-  
 110 graphene/Cu for increasing the number of layers. (b) Encapsulation of Ge and transfer onto a Nano-Chip.

111

112 Figure 2(a) shows a photograph of the Nano-Chip. The size of the Nano-Chip is approximately 4 mm ×  
 113 10 mm. The graphene/Ge/graphene was placed inside the broken-line rectangular area where the heater  
 114 of the Nano-Chip is located. Figure 2(b) shows the SEM image of the heater part after the  
 115 graphene/Ge/graphene transfer. The heater has a spiral shape. Figure 2(c) shows a magnified SEM image  
 116 and the Raman spectrum recorded inside the lower hole (red arrow). In the Raman spectrum, D, G, and  
 117 G' (2D) peaks were observed at ~1360, ~1583, and 2725 cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The small intensity of the D  
 118 peak and the narrow bandwidth of the G peak (about 16 cm<sup>-1</sup> at full width half maximum) indicate high

119 crystallinity.<sup>[22]</sup> The G'/G peak intensity ratio ( $\sim 0.8$ ) indicates multilayer graphene,<sup>[22b, 22c]</sup> which is  
 120 consistent with our sample preparation process. Figure 2(d) shows the schematic cross-sectional view of  
 121 the fabricated sample. Figure 2(e) shows an energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) spectrum  
 122 obtained at the center of the lower hole (red arrow). Ge, Cu, oxygen (O), nitrogen (N), carbon (C), and  
 123 silicon (Si) were detected. The detected Cu is the residue from the substrate of CVD graphene, and the Si  
 124 and N are from the Nano-Chip. The detection of the Ge signal suggests that the sample preparation of the  
 125 Ge encapsulation by graphene was successful.




126  
 127 **Figure 2.** (a) Photograph of the Nano-Chip. (b) The SEM image of the heater part after the  
 128 graphene/Ge/graphene transfer. (c) Magnified SEM image and the Raman spectrum recorded inside the



129 lower hole (red arrow). (d) Schematic cross-sectional views of the fabricated sample. (e) EDS spectrum  
130 obtained at the center of the lower hole.

131

132 Figures 3(a) and 3(b) are high-angle annular dark field scanning TEM (HAADF-STEM) images of the  
133 graphene/Ge/graphene sample at RT before and after EDS analysis, respectively. Figures 3(c-h) show the  
134 EDS maps of C, N, O, Si, Cu, and Ge. The distributions of N, Si, and Cu were not clearly defined in the  
135 EDS maps due to the very low abundance or uniform distribution of these elements. On the other hand,  
136 the distribution of Ge was clearly detected by the EDS map (Fig. 3(h)). Since the signal obtained by  
137 HAADF-STEM is proportional to the  $\alpha$  power of the atomic number ( $Z$ ) [ $Z^\alpha$  ( $\alpha = 1.3 \sim 2$ )],<sup>[23]</sup> the bright  
138 contrasts in Figs. 3(a) and (b) indicate Ge morphology. The observed Ge was agglomerated, which was  
139 probably due to the insufficient adhesion between Ge and graphene. As can be seen in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b),  
140 the morphology of Ge changes from lumpy to smoothly connected aggregates after long-time electron  
141 beam irradiation (>1 hour) during the EDS mapping measurement. Although the detailed mechanism of  
142 the morphological change is unclear, unnecessary electron beam irradiation was avoided in order to  
143 minimize damage to the sample hereafter.




144

145 **Figure 3.** HAADF-STEM images and EDS maps of the graphene/Ge/graphene sample at RT before  
 146 annealing processes. HAADF-STEM images (a) before and (b) after EDS analysis. EDS maps of (c) C,  
 147 (d) N, (e) O, (f) Si, (g) Cu, and (h) Ge.

148

149

150 Subsequently, we started to heat the sample using the *in situ* heating holder followed by TEM observation.  
151 The sample was annealed many times with simple heating profiles of different temperatures (T) and  
152 heating time duration ( $t_{HT}$ ) as shown in Fig. 4. The typical heating rate was approximately +100 °C/min  
153 and typical cooling rate was approximately -100 °C/min. All annealing processes for this sample are  
154 summarized in Table 1.



155

156 **Figure 4.** Schematic of the heating profile for the graphene/Ge/graphene sample for each annealing  
157 process.  $t_{HT}$  is heating time duration.

158

159

160

161

162

163


164 **Table 1.** Heating temperature (T) and time ( $t_{HT}$ ) for all annealing processes for the graphene(4L)/Ge(20  
 165 nm)/graphene(4L) sample in this study.

process #	T (°C)	$t_{HT}$ (min)
1	RT	-
2	650	10
3	700	10
4	750	10
5	800	10
6	900	0.5
7	950	0.5
8	1000	0.5
9	1025	0.5
10	1050	0.5
11	1050	3
12	1025	0.5
13	1025	10
14	1050	20
15	1075	12
16	1075	10

166

167 To achieve the purpose of this study, we need to observe the crystallization of Ge between graphene layers  
 168 by TEM. However, the sample is not uniform as can be seen from Fig. 3. Therefore, we attempted to find  
 169 as complete a graphene/Ge/graphene region as possible for *in situ* observation. Figure 5(a) shows a TEM  
 170 image of the sample after annealing at 700 °C (process #3 in Table 1); the annealing at mild temperature  
 171 was performed to clean the sample. The band-like structure (from top left to bottom right) and dark  
 172 contrast in Fig. 5(a) are likely graphene and Ge, respectively. To determine the number of graphene layers,  
 173 HRTEM images and corresponding fast Fourier transform (FFT) images (Figs. 5(b-d)) were taken at the  
 174 arrowed points (b), (c), and (d) in Fig. 5(a). The FFT images (Figs. 5(b-d)) show several six-fold  
 175 symmetry spots corresponding to graphene. The transfer of graphene from one graphene to another  
 176 without intentional alignment in their crystalline direction, such as in the present sample preparation,  
 177 results in random stacking of those graphene layers at a high probability. Therefore, the number of six-

178 fold symmetry spots guarantees that the same number of graphene layers present at the point where the  
179 FFT image were taken. Counting the number of spots in a 60-degree range in Figs. 5(b-d), 4, 7, and 8 six-  
180 fold symmetry spots were observed, respectively, so that the numbers of graphene layers at these points  
181 are 4, 7, and 8L. According to the numbers of those graphene layers at (b-d) and the TEM contrast in Fig.  
182 5(a), the expected arrangement of graphene and Ge is shown in Fig. 5(e), where red (4L) and yellow (7-  
183 8L) are being superimposed as the number of graphene layers on the TEM image (Fig. 5(a)). Since there  
184 are a lot of dark contrast in the yellow region in Fig. 5(e), it is expected that graphene/Ge/graphene is  
185 locally remaining. Figure 5(f) shows a possible cross-sectional structure on the line of  $\alpha$  to  $\beta$  in Fig. 5(a).  
186 Although it is possible that the Ge is not covered by graphene layers, as shown in Fig. 5(g), uncovered  
187 Ge would evaporate at temperatures higher than  $MP_{Ge}$  (938°C) because of vapor pressure.



188


189 **Figure 5.** (a) TEM image of the sample after annealing at 700 °C (process #3). (b-d) FFT images obtained  
 190 in (a). The relatively clear 6-fold spots corresponding to graphene are encircled by ellipses as eye guides.  
 191 The white numbers indicate the numbers of spots counted in a 60-degree range. (e) Expected arrangement  
 192 of graphene and Ge. Red (4L) and yellow (7-8L) colors are superimposed as the number of graphene  
 193 layers on (a). (f,g) Possible cross-sectional structure on the line of  $\alpha$  to  $\beta$  in (a).

194

## 195 **2. Suppression of Ge evaporation by graphene encapsulation**

196 To confirm the encapsulation of Ge by graphene layers, we performed several heating processes (#4 ~  
197 12) including the heating temperatures higher than  $MP_{Ge}$ . Figures 6(a) and 6(b) show TEM images  
198 obtained at RT after the annealing #12. The band-like structure expected to be a graphene/Ge/graphene  
199 region seems to still present. Subsequently, additional annealing was performed at 1025 °C for 10 min  
200 (process #13), and TEM observation was performed at RT in the exact same area as in Figs. 6(a) and 6(b).  
201 Figures 6(c) and 6(d) show the TEM images after annealing at 1025 °C (process #13). It was observed  
202 that the different contrast changes depending on the region by the annealing at 1025 °C. While the dark  
203 contrasts were maintained or darkened more between the dashed lines in Fig. 7(c), the dark contrasts  
204 disappeared or faded in the other regions. This indicates that graphene/Ge/graphene area exists between  
205 the broken lines and that graphene encapsulation suppresses Ge evaporation at higher temperatures than  
206 the  $MP_{Ge}$ . In the graphene-encapsulated regions, it was also observed that the number of black dots  
207 increased and became more clearly visible. Figure 6(e) shows a HRTEM image of a black dot. Several  
208 lattice fringes were observed in the HRTEM image. Figures 6(f) and 6(g) show the FFT images obtained  
209 within the upper and lower circles enclosed by the yellow broken lines in Fig. 6(e), respectively. The FFT  
210 images agree well with Ge (111) and Ge (110), indicating that the black dots are crystalline particles of  
211 Ge. On the other hand, the majority of the surrounding area showed no spots in the FFT image. This  
212 indicates that the majority of Ge between graphene layers remains amorphous state even after annealing  
213 above  $MP_{Ge}$ .

214



215


216 **Figure 6.** (a,b) TEM images obtained at RT after several heating processes (#4 ~ 12). (c,d) TEM images  
 217 obtained at the same area as in (a) and (b) after annealing at 1025 °C for 10 min (process #13). Expected  
 218 graphene covered region is between the broken yellow lines in (c). (e) HRTEM image of a black dot. (f,g)  
 219 FFT images obtained within the upper and lower circles enclosed by the yellow broken lines in (e),  
 220 respectively. The FFT images agree well with Ge (111) and Ge (110), indicating that the black dots are  
 221 crystalline Ge.



222

### 223 3. Crystal phase of Ge at high temperatures

224 Figure 7 shows the TEM images of black dots at high temperatures ( $>MP_{Ge}$ ) extracted from the movie  
225 (S1 “fusion”) obtained by *in situ* TEM observation at 1050 °C (process #14). The time shown in the upper  
226 right corner for each TEM image correspond to the time after the start of the video (S1 “fusion”) recording  
227 in real time (The video plays at  $\sim 5\times$  speed). We observed several spherical dark contrasts, which we will  
228 refer to hereafter as "droplet Ge". In Fig. 7, we mainly focused on the droplet Ge indicated by the arrow  
229 in the first TEM image (20s). As can be seen, the droplet Ge moved, deformed, and finally merged with  
230 the other droplet Ge on the right side. This flexible movement and coalescence of Ge indicates that the  
231 droplet Ge is in a liquid state at 1050 °C. It is noted that bare Ge (not covered by graphene) is not expected  
232 to exist in a liquid or solid state at 1050 °C, since the vapor pressure of Ge at 1050 °C is about 10 Pa,  
233 much higher than the pressure in the TEM chamber ( $< 2\times 10^{-5}$  Pa). On the other hand, the droplets showed  
234 a crystalline structure at RT (Figs. 6(e-g)), suggesting that they crystallized during cooling.




235

236 **Figure 7.** TEM images of black dots at high temperatures ( $>MP_{Ge}$ ) extracted from the movie (S1 “fusion”)  
237 obtained by *in situ* TEM observation at 1050 °C. The times shown in the upper right corner for each TEM  
238 image correspond to the time after the start of the video (S1 “fusion”) recording in real time (not video  
239 time).

240

241 Next, we performed HRTEM observation of droplet Ge at 1075 °C. Figure 8(a) shows an HRTEM image  
242 extracted from the movie obtained by *in situ* TEM observation at 1075 °C (process #16). Figures 8(b-d)  
243 show the FFT images in Fig. 8(a). We observed lattice fringes in the area shown in (b) of Fig. 8(a) (it is  
244 more clearly seen in the area encircled by the ellipse in the lower center). The corresponding FFT image  
245 (Fig. 8(b)) shows several spots, indicating the presence of ordered structure. On the other hand, some  
246 droplets Ge do not have clear lattice fringes, such as (c) and (d) in Fig. 8(a). It is noted that the area (d)  
247 may not be a droplet Ge but simply a vacant area. Those FFT images (Figs. 8(c) and 8(d)), which is  
248 different from Fig. 8(b), shows a few faint and unclear spots. In addition, the obtained three FFT spot  
249 patterns (Fig. 8(b-d)) do not agree with that of Ge (totally different from Figs. 6(f) and 6(g)), graphene,  
250 or even metal impurities such as Cu. We assumed that the observed FFT spot patterns are originated  
251 from the structure of liquid Ge. It is widely reported that liquid Ge has short-range ordered structure.<sup>[24]</sup>  
252 The reported average nearest-neighbor distances of Ge-Ge at high temperatures (2.66 Å at 1175-1650  
253 K,<sup>[24b]</sup> 2.68-2.69 Å at 1120-1534 K,<sup>[24c]</sup> 2.74-2.76 Å at 1270-1870 K<sup>[24d]</sup>) are ~8% to ~13% larger than  
254 crystalline Ge at RT (2.45-2.46 Å<sup>[24e]</sup>). Also, by taking into account the self-diffusion coefficient of Ge  
255 atoms in liquid phase ( $1.3 \times 10^{-8} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$  at the temperature of  $MP_{Ge}$ <sup>[25]</sup>), Ge atoms in a droplet Ge move  
256 extremely fast in the size of the HRTEM image. Therefore, the short-range ordered structure in liquid Ge  
257 would result in different spot patterns in the FFT image as compared to diamond cubic crystal of Ge, and

258 the fast movement of Ge atoms in liquid Ge would cause fluctuations and instability in the FFT spot  
259 patterns.



260

261 **Figure 8.** (a) HRTEM image of droplets Ge extracted from the movie obtained by *in situ* TEM observation  
262 at 1075 °C (process #16). (b-d) FFT images obtained within (b), (c) and (d) in (a).

263

264 Figure 9 shows the STEM-EDS results obtained at RT after all annealing processes (after process #16).

265 Figure 9(a) shows the EDS spectrum. Figures 9(b) and 9(c) show HAADF-STEM images before and after

266 the EDS analysis, respectively. Figures 9(d-i) show EDS maps of C, N, O, Si, Cu, and Ge. From Fig. 9(a),

267 Ge and a little amount of Mo and Cu were detected. The Mo signal is from the material of heating wire

268 of the Nano-Chip. The Cu would be contamination from the sample preparation process (substrate of

269 graphene grown by CVD). Since the amount of Cu detected was very small and no agglomeration was

270 observed (Fig. 9(h)), it was confirmed that Cu did not affect the TEM observations and the


271 aforementioned discussion of droplet Ge. On the other hand, the contrast distribution in the HAADF-

272 STEM images (Figs. 9(b) and 9(c)) is consistent with the distribution of Ge (Fig. 9(i)). Thus, the particles

273 (droplet Ge) observed in Figs. 7 and 8 are surely made of Ge. Furthermore, no oxygen was detected at

274 the Ge positions (Fig. 9(f)), indicating that droplet Ge is pure germanium, not Ge oxides such as GeO<sub>2</sub>.

275 These results suggest that Ge can exist as a liquid phase between vdW layers even above MP<sub>Ge</sub>.



276


277 Figure 9. STEM-EDS obtained at RT after all annealing processes (after process #16). (a) EDS spectrum.  
 278 (b,c) HAADF-STEM images (b) before and (c) after the EDS analysis. (d-i) EDS maps of (d) C, (e) N,  
 279 (f) O, (g) Si, (h) Cu, and (i) Ge.

280

281 **4. Nucleation and domain growth of Ge encapsulated by graphene layers**

282 Figures 10(a-f) show the TEM images extracted from the *in situ* TEM movie for the process # 13 (S2  
 283 “growth”). The time and temperature are shown in the lower right corner of each TEM image. During  
 284 the heating process, contrast changes were observed at various regions. Here, we focus on the circled  
 285 region near the center of the TEM images. The contrast in the circled region remained dark during the  
 286 temperature increase from RT to 1000 °C (Fig. 10(a-c)). Thereafter, the dark contrast faded while the  
 287 temperature was kept constant at 1025 °C (Fig. 10(d) and 10(e)).


288 Figures 11(a-d) show magnified TEM image around the circled region in Fig. 10. The time and  
289 temperature are shown in the upper left corner of each TEM image. At 750 °C during the temperature  
290 increase, a tiny droplet Ge was observed (indicated by the arrow in Fig. 11(a)). When the temperature  
291 reached 1025 °C, a new droplet Ge appeared (indicated by the left arrow in Fig. 11(b)). While the  
292 temperature was maintained at 1025 °C, the size of the droplets Ge increased (Figs. 11(b-d)). At the same  
293 time, the contrast in the circled broken line in Fig.11(a) gradually changed from dark to faint. The fading  
294 contrast probably means that the condensed amorphous Ge diffused into the surroundings, resulting in  
295 the reduction its concentration. These indicates that Ge crystal growth occurred and a Ge nucleus  
296 incorporated the surrounding amorphous Ge. This situation is similar to the bulk crystal growth of Si and  
297 Ge, such as Czochralski method,<sup>[20]</sup> and our TEM observations suggests that crystal growth of Ge between  
298 graphene layers is possible. However, the crystal growth in this experiment (Fig. 11) seems to be three-  
299 dimensional because the shape of the droplet Ge was spherical and no information about the two-  
300 dimensionality could be obtained from the crystallized Ge. Therefore, more unique and new ideas are  
301 needed to achieve the growth of 2D germanium (germanene) between vdW layers. For example, limiting  
302 the direction of crystal growth by thinning the initial Ge source film to make it more truly 2D, or creating  
303 a monolayer vdW/metal (111) heterostructure to induce remote epitaxy, as has been reported for graphene  
304 growth on GaAs.<sup>[26]</sup>



305

306 **Figure 10.** (a-f) TEM images extracted from the *in situ* TEM movie for the process #13. The time and  
 307 temperature are shown in the lower right corner of each TEM image.

308



309

310 **Figure 11.** (a-d) Magnified TEM image around the circled region in Fig. 10. The time and temperature  
 311 are shown in the upper left corner of each TEM image. Ge droplets are indicated by arrows.

312

### 313 **Conclusion**

314 In this study, we fabricated a graphene/Ge/graphene encapsulated structure using transfer process of CVD  
315 graphene. The fabricated encapsulated Ge was observed by *in situ* TEM to investigate how the Ge in the  
316 vdW layer behaves at high temperatures, especially at temperatures higher than  $MP_{Ge}$ . The results showed  
317 that graphene encapsulation played a role in suppressing Ge evaporation even above  $MP_{Ge}$ . At higher  
318 temperatures than  $MP_{Ge}$ , the movement of Ge droplets and their coalescence with other Ge droplets in  
319 the surrounding area were observed. *In situ* HRTEM observation at high temperatures revealed that some  
320 droplets Ge do not have clear lattice fringes, indicating that Ge can exist as a liquid phase between vdW  
321 layers. It was also observed that droplet Ge incorporates the surrounding amorphous Ge as Ge nuclei,  
322 thereby increasing its domain size. These results indicate that the Ge encapsulation structure with vdW  
323 materials can be used to grow Ge crystals, such like Czochralski method, between vdW layers. Although  
324 more unique and new ideas will be needed to achieve the growth of germanene between vdW layers, the  
325 present results may provide clues for the future direct growth of germanene between hBN layers.

326

### 327 **Methods**

328 CVD growth of graphene: CVD graphene was grown on a commercial Cu sheet (99.96% in purity, 100  
329  $\mu\text{m}$  thickness, Nilaco) in a homemade CVD system in NIMS. Polycrystalline monolayer graphene with  
330 high coverage was targeted in the present CVD. The growth process was as follows: i) increasing the  
331 temperature from RT to 800 °C in Ar; ii) increasing the temperature from 800 to 950 °C in  $\text{H}_2/\text{Ar}$  (~15%  
332  $\text{H}_2$ ); iii)  $\text{H}_2/\text{Ar}$  (~10%  $\text{H}_2$ ) annealing for 100 min at 1000 °C; iv)  $\text{O}_2/\text{Ar}$  (~20 ppm  $\text{O}_2$ ) annealing for 35  
333 min; v) graphene growth by introducing  $\text{CH}_4$  (~50 ppm) with  $\text{H}_2$  and Ar for 90 min; vi) additional



334 graphene growth in H<sub>2</sub>/Ar and high concentrations of CH<sub>4</sub> (~0.1 %) for 5 min; vii) cooling to RT in H<sub>2</sub>/Ar  
335 (~2.5% H<sub>2</sub>). The H<sub>2</sub>/Ar and O<sub>2</sub>/Ar annealing were performed to reduce nucleation density of graphene.<sup>[27]</sup>  
336 The additional growth, so-called two-step growth,<sup>[28]</sup> was performed to increase the coverage of graphene.  
337 The mechanisms related to this CVD growth are presented elsewhere.<sup>[27b, 27c, 29]</sup>

338 Transfer graphene: The transfer process (Fig. 1) basically follows the standard wet transfer process for  
339 graphene.<sup>[30]</sup> PMMA was dissolved in anisole and then the suspension was spin-coated onto graphene/Cu.  
340 (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub> was used to etch Cu. To begin, Cu was etched for a short time (5-10 min). The sample was  
341 taken out from the etchant and the backside graphene was removed by softly rubbing the backside of the  
342 Cu with a commercially available cotton swab. After replacing the etchant with a new one, etching of Cu  
343 restarted again. The short etching and rubbing were repeated twice in total, followed by the main etching  
344 (usually several hours). After multiple DI rinses, the PMMA/graphene was then scooped up with another  
345 graphene/Cu, and finally the PMMA layer was removed with acetone.

346 Ge deposition: Ge thin film of 20 nm thickness was deposited at RT by an e-beam evaporator (RDEC Co.,  
347 with the Ltd., RDEB-1206K). The deposition rate was ~1.0 Å s<sup>-1</sup>.

348 SEM-EDS: SEM and EDS analysis were performed using a commercial SEM (Hitachi TM4000).  
349 Secondary electron images and EDS spectra were obtained at an acceleration voltage of 15 kV.

350 Raman spectroscopy: A commercial Raman microscope (Horiba, LabRam HR Evolution) with an  
351 excitation wavelength of 458 nm was used for obtaining Raman spectra.

352 *In situ* heating TEM experiments: *In situ* TEM experiments on graphene-encapsulated Ge were conducted  
353 using a DENSSolutions lightning sample holder in a spherical aberration (Cs)-corrected TEM, JEM-

354 ARM200F, operated at 200kV. OneView camera and drift correction were used. EDS mapping after a  
355 heating process was performed after the sample temperature was cooled to RT.

356

## 357 **References**

- 358 [1] A. Acun, L. Zhang, P. Bampoulis, M. Farmanbar, A. van Houselt, A. Rudenko, M. Lingenfelder, G.  
359 Brocks, B. Poelsema, M. Katsnelson, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **2015**, 27, 443002.
- 360 [2] S. Cahangirov, M. Topsakal, E. Aktürk, H. Şahin, S. Ciraci, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2009**, 102, 236804.
- 361 [3] Z. Ni, Q. Liu, K. Tang, J. Zheng, J. Zhou, R. Qin, Z. Gao, D. Yu, J. Lu, *Nano Lett.* **2011**, 12, 113.
- 362 [4] M. Ezawa, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **2015**, 84, 121003.
- 363 [5] G. Fiori, F. Bonaccorso, G. Iannaccone, T. Palacios, D. Neumaier, A. Seabaugh, S. K. Banerjee, L.  
364 Colombo, *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2014**, 9, 768.
- 365 [6] P. Vogt, P. De Padova, C. Quaresima, J. Avila, E. Frantzeskakis, M. C. Asensio, A. Resta, B. Ealet,  
366 G. Le Lay, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2012**, 108, 155501.
- 367 [7] a) J. Yuhara, Y. Fujii, K. Nishino, N. Isobe, M. Nakatake, L. Xian, A. Rubio, G. Le Lay, *2D Mater.*  
368 **2018**, 5, 025002; b) F.-f. Zhu, W.-j. Chen, Y. Xu, C.-l. Gao, D.-d. Guan, C.-h. Liu, D. Qian, S.-C.  
369 Zhang, J.-f. Jia, *Nat. Mater.* **2015**, 14, 1020; c) Y. Xu, B. Yan, H.-J. Zhang, J. Wang, G. Xu, P. Tang,  
370 W. Duan, S.-C. Zhang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2013**, 111, 136804.
- 371 [8] J. Yuhara, B. He, N. Matsunami, M. Nakatake, G. Le Lay, *Adv. Mater.* **2019**, 31, 1901017.
- 372 [9] a) S. Suzuki, T. Iwasaki, K. K. H. De Silva, S. Suehara, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, S. Moriyama,  
373 M. Yoshimura, T. Aizawa, T. Nakayama, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2021**, 31, 2007038; b) L. Kesper, J. A.  
374 Hochhaus, M. Schmitz, M. G. Schulte, U. Berges, C. Westphal, *Sci. Rep.* **2022**, 12, 1; c) J. Yuhara,  
375 H. Shimazu, K. Ito, A. Ohta, M. Araidai, M. Kurosawa, M. Nakatake, G. Le Lay, *ACS Nano* **2018**,  
376 12, 11632; d) C.-H. Lin, A. Huang, W. W. Pai, W.-C. Chen, T.-Y. Chen, T.-R. Chang, R. Yukawa, C.-

377 M. Cheng, C.-Y. Mou, I. Matsuda, C. T. C., J. H. T., T. S. J., *Phys. Rev. Mater.* **2018**, 2, 024003; e)

378 A. Ohta, K. Yamada, H. Sugawa, N. Taoka, M. Ikeda, K. Makihara, S. Miyazaki, *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.*

379 **2021**, 60, SBBK05; f) S. Suzuki, K. K. H. De Silva, M. Yoshimura, T. Nakayama, *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.*

380 **2020**, 59, SN1004; g) K. Ito, A. Ohta, M. Kurosawa, M. Araidai, M. Ikeda, K. Makihara, S. Miyazaki,

381 *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.* **2018**, 57, 06HD08.

382 [10] a) M. Dávila, L. Xian, S. Cahangirov, A. Rubio, G. Le Lay, *NJPh* **2014**, 16, 095002; b) M. E. Dávila,

383 G. Le Lay, *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, 6, 20714.

384 [11] Z. Qin, J. Pan, S. Lu, Y. Shao, Y. Wang, S. Du, H. J. Gao, G. Cao, *Adv. Mater.* **2017**, 29, 1606046.

385 [12] a) Y. Sato, Y. Fukaya, M. Cameau, A. K. Kundu, D. Shiga, R. Yukawa, K. Horiba, C.-H. Chen, A.

386 Huang, H.-T. Jeng, T. Ozaki, H. Kumigashira, M. Niibe, I. Matsuda, *Phys. Rev. Mater.* **2020**, 4,

387 064005; b) J. Yuhara, H. Muto, M. Araidai, M. Kobayashi, A. Ohta, S. Miyazaki, S.-i. Takakura, M.

388 Nakatake, G. Le Lay, *2D Mater.* **2021**, 8, 045039; c) M. Derivaz, D. Dentel, R. Stephan, M.-C. Hanf,

389 A. Mehdaoui, P. Sonnet, C. Pirri, *Nano Lett.* **2015**, 15, 2510; d) S. Endo, O. Kubo, N. Nakashima,

390 S. Iwaguma, R. Yamamoto, Y. Kamakura, H. Tabata, M. Katayama, *Appl. Phys. Express* **2017**, 11,

391 015502; e) O. Kubo, S. Kinoshita, H. Sato, K. Miyamoto, R. Sugahara, S. Endo, H. Tabata, T. Okuda,

392 M. Katayama, *Phys. Rev. B* **2021**, 104, 085404; f) M. Kobayashi, A. Ohta, M. Kurosawa, M. Araidai,

393 N. Taoka, T. Simizu, M. Ikeda, K. Makihara, S. Miyazaki, *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.* **2020**, 59, SGGK15.

394 [13] L. Li, S. z. Lu, J. Pan, Z. Qin, Y. q. Wang, Y. Wang, G. y. Cao, S. Du, H. J. Gao, *Adv. Mater.* **2014**,

395 26, 4820.

396 [14] L. Persichetti, F. Jardali, H. Vach, A. Sgarlata, I. Berbezier, M. De Crescenzi, A. Balzarotti, *J. Phys.*

397 *Chem.* **2016**, 7, 3246.

398 [15] a) L. Zhang, P. Bampoulis, A. Rudenko, Q. v. Yao, A. Van Houselt, B. Poelsema, M. Katsnelson, H.

399 Zandvliet, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2016**, 116, 256804; b) Z. Jiao, Q. Yao, A. Rudenko, L. Zhang, H.

400 Zandvliet, *Phys. Rev. B* **2020**, 102, 205419.

- 401 [16] a) B. Madhushankar, A. Kaverzin, T. Giousis, G. Potsi, D. Gournis, P. Rudolf, G. Blake, C. Van Der  
402 Wal, B. Van Wees, *2D Mater.* **2017**, 4, 021009; b) Q. Chen, L. Liang, G. Potsi, P. Wan, J. Lu, T.  
403 Giousis, E. Thomou, D. Gournis, P. Rudolf, J. Ye, *Nano Lett.* **2019**, 19, 1520; c) Y. Katayama, R.  
404 Yamauchi, Y. Yasutake, S. Fukatsu, K. Ueno, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2019**, 115, 122101.
- 405 [17] L. Tao, E. Cinquanta, D. Chiappe, C. Grazianetti, M. Fanciulli, M. Dubey, A. Molle, D. Akinwande,  
406 *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2015**, 10, 227.
- 407 [18] a) C. R. Dean, A. F. Young, I. Meric, C. Lee, L. Wang, S. Sorgenfrei, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, P.  
408 Kim, K. L. Shepard, J. Hone, *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2010**, 5, 722; b) J. Xue, J. Sanchez-Yamagishi, D.  
409 Bulmash, P. Jacquod, A. Deshpande, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, P. Jarillo-Herrero, B. J. LeRoy, *Nat.*  
410 *Mater.* **2011**, 10, 282; c) L. Banszerus, M. Schmitz, S. Engels, J. Dauber, M. Oellers, F. Haupt, K.  
411 Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, B. Beschoten, C. Stampfer, *Sci. Adv.* **2015**, 1, e1500222; d) T. Iwasaki, Y.  
412 Morita, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, *Phys. Rev. B* **2022**, 106, 165134; e) T. Iwasaki, S. Nakaharai, Y.  
413 Wakayama, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, Y. Morita, S. Moriyama, *Nano Lett.* **2020**, 20, 2551.
- 414 [19] a) M. Y. Chan, K. Komatsu, S.-L. Li, Y. Xu, P. Darmawan, H. Kuramochi, S. Nakaharai, A.  
415 Aparecido-Ferreira, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, K. Tsukagoshi, *Nanoscale* **2013**, 5, 9572; b) G. H.  
416 Lee, Y. J. Yu, X. Cui, N. Petrone, C. H. Lee, M. S. Choi, D. Y. Lee, C. Lee, W. J. Yoo, K. Watanabe,  
417 T. Taniguchi, C. Nuckolls, P. Kim, J. Hone, *ACS Nano* **2013**, 7, 7931; c) Y. Shimazaki, I. Schwartz,  
418 K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, M. Kroner, A. Imamoğlu, *Nature* **2020**, 580, 472.
- 419 [20] a) G. K. Teal, M. Sparks, E. Buehler, *Phys. Rev.* **1951**, 81, 637; b) G. K. Teal, J. B. Little, *Phys. Rev.*  
420 **1950**, 78, 647.
- 421 [21] a) P. Germain, K. Zellama, S. Squelard, J. Bourgoïn, A. Gheorghiu, *J. Appl. Phys.* **1979**, 50, 6986;  
422 b) N. A. Blum, C. Feldman, *J. Non-Cryst. Solids* **1976**, 22, 29; c) K. Chik, P.-K. Lim, *Thin Solid*  
423 *Films* **1976**, 35, 45.

- 424 [22] a) A. C. Ferrari, J. Robertson, *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London A:*  
425 *Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences* **2004**, 362, 2477; b) L. Malard, M. Pimenta, G.  
426 Dresselhaus, M. Dresselhaus, *PhR* **2009**, 473, 51; c) A. Ferrari, J. Meyer, V. Scardaci, C. Casiraghi,  
427 M. Lazzeri, F. Mauri, S. Piscanec, D. Jiang, K. Novoselov, S. Roth, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2006**, 97, 187401.
- 428 [23] a) M. M. Treacy, *Microscopy and Microanalysis* **2011**, 17, 847; b) N. Tanaka, *Scanning transmission*  
429 *electron microscopy of nanomaterials: basics of imaging and analysis*, World Scientific, **2014**.
- 430 [24] a) L. C. Kelsall, M. Peña-Alvarez, M. Martinez-Canales, J. Binns, C. J. Pickard, P. Dalladay-  
431 Simpson, R. T. Howie, E. Gregoryanz, *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **2021**, 154, 174702; b) H.  
432 Weis, D. Holland-Moritz, F. Kargl, F. Yang, T. Unruh, T. Hansen, J. Bednarčik, A. Meyer, *Phys. Rev.*  
433 *B* **2021**, 104, 134108; c) I. Pozdnyakova, O. Roik, J. W. Drewitt, A. Bytchkov, F. Kargl, S. Jahn, S.  
434 Brassamin, L. Hennet, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **2021**, 33, 244002; d) Y. Naito, M. Inui, T. Anai, K.  
435 Tamura, *J. Non-Cryst. Solids* **2007**, 353, 3376; e) G. Kresse, J. Hafner, *Phys. Rev. B* **1994**, 49, 14251;  
436 f) N. Ashcroft, *Il Nuovo Cimento D* **1990**, 12, 597.
- 437 [25] H. Weis, F. Kargl, M. Kolbe, M. Koza, T. Unruh, A. Meyer, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **2019**, 31,  
438 455101.
- 439 [26] Y. Kim, S. S. Cruz, K. Lee, B. O. Alawode, C. Choi, Y. Song, J. M. Johnson, C. Heidelberger, W.  
440 Kong, S. Choi, *Nature* **2017**, 544, 340.
- 441 [27] a) I. Vlassiuk, M. Regmi, P. Fulvio, S. Dai, P. Datskos, G. Eres, S. Smirnov, *ACS nano* **2011**, 5,  
442 6069; b) S. Suzuki, K. Kiyosumi, T. Nagamori, K. Tanaka, M. Yoshimura, *e-J. Surf. Sci.*  
443 *Nanotechnol.* **2015**, 13, 404; c) S. Suzuki, Y. Terada, M. Yoshimura, *Coatings* **2017**, 7, 206.
- 444 [28] X. Li, C. W. Magnuson, A. Venugopal, J. An, J. W. Suk, B. Han, M. Borysiak, W. Cai, A.  
445 Velamakanni, Y. Zhu, L. Fu, E. M. Vogel, E. Voelkl, L. Colombo, R. S. Ruoff, *Nano Lett.* **2010**, 10,  
446 4328.

- 447 [29] Y. Hao, M. S. Bharathi, L. Wang, Y. Liu, H. Chen, S. Nie, X. Wang, H. Chou, C. Tan, B. Fallahazad,  
448 H. Ramanarayan, C. W. Magnuson, E. Tutuc, B. I. Yakobson, K. F. McCarty, Y. W. Zhang, P. Kim,  
449 J. Hone, L. Colombo, R. S. Ruoff, *Science* **2013**, 342, 720.
- 450 [30] a) X. Li, Y. Zhu, W. Cai, M. Borysiak, B. Han, D. Chen, R. D. Piner, L. Colombo, R. S. Ruoff, *Nano*  
451 *Lett.* **2009**, 9, 4359; b) H. J. Jeong, H. Y. Kim, S. Y. Jeong, J. T. Han, K.-J. Baeg, J. Y. Hwang, G.-  
452 W. Lee, *Carbon* **2014**, 66, 612; c) S. Suzuki, M. Yoshimura, *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, 7, 14851.

### 453 **Acknowledgements**

454 This study was supported in part by JSPS KAKENHI Grant number 20K15134 (Grant-in-Aid for  
455 Early-Career Scientists) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology  
456 (MEXT), Japan; Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST), Precursory Research for Embryonic  
457 Science and Technology (PRESTO) Grant Number JPMJPR21B7; NIMS Nanofabrication Platform  
458 supported by “Nanotechnology Platform Program” of the MEXT, Grant Number JPMXP09F19NMN010;  
459 Materials Analysis Station in NIMS; NIMS Electron Microscopy Analysis Station, Nanostructural  
460 Characterization Group; the Public/Private R&D Investment Strategic Expansion Program (PRISM) from  
461 Cabinet Office, Japan; the Center for Functional Sensor and Actuator (CFSN) from NIMS.

462

### 463 **Author contributions statement**

464 S.S. designed the research. S.S. and N.S. conducted sample preparation, SEM-EDS, and Raman  
465 spectroscopy. Y.Ne. conducted TEM observation. S.S., N.S., Y.Na, and Y.Ne discussed many times to  
466 improve the sample preparation and TEM observation. S.S. and Y.Ne analyzed the results. S.S., Y.Ne.,  
467 Y.Na and M.T. discussed interpretation of the results. S.S. mainly wrote the manuscript. All authors  
468 reviewed the manuscript.

469

470 **Conflict of Interest**

471 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

472

473 **Keywords**

474 germanene, germanium, graphene, van der Waals materials, interface, crystal growth, nucleation,

475 transmission electron microscopy, graphene cell for transmission electron microscopy, high-angle annular

476 dark field scanning transmission electron microscopy, *in situ* heating measurement