JAEA-Research 2011-016



Experiment on the Gaseous Iodine Release from Irradiated Cesium Iodide Solutions (Contract Research)

Kiyofumi MORIYAMA, Shinsuke TASHIRO, Noriaki CHIBA, Yu MARUYAMA Hideo NAKAMURA and Atsushi WATANABE

> Reactor Safety Research Unit Nuclear Safety Research Center

Ŀ,

June 2011

Japan Atomic Energy Agency

日本原子力研究開発機構

本レポートは独立行政法人日本原子力研究開発機構が不定期に発行する成果報告書です。 本レポートの入手並びに著作権利用に関するお問い合わせは、下記あてにお問い合わせ下さい。 なお、本レポートの全文は日本原子力研究開発機構ホームページ(<u>http://www.jaea.go.jp</u>) より発信されています。

独立行政法人日本原子力研究開発機構 研究技術情報部 研究技術情報課
〒319-1195 茨城県那珂郡東海村白方白根2番地4
電話 029-282-6387, Fax 029-282-5920, E-mail:ird-support@jaea.go.jp

This report is issued irregularly by Japan Atomic Energy Agency Inquiries about availability and/or copyright of this report should be addressed to Intellectual Resources Section, Intellectual Resources Department, Japan Atomic Energy Agency 2-4 Shirakata Shirane, Tokai-mura, Naka-gun, Ibaraki-ken 319-1195 Japan Tel +81-29-282-6387, Fax +81-29-282-5920, E-mail:ird-support@jaea.go.jp

© Japan Atomic Energy Agency, 2011

Experiment on the Gaseous Iodine Release from Irradiated Cesium Iodide Solutions (Contract Research)

Kiyofumi MORIYAMA, Shinsuke TASHIRO⁺, Noriaki CHIBA^{**}, Yu MARUYAMA, Hideo NAKAMURA and Atsushi WATANABE^{*}

> Reactor Safety Research Unit Nuclear Safety Research Center Japan Atomic Energy Agency Tokai-mura, Naka-gun, Ibaraki-ken

> > (Received April 21, 2011)

The volatile iodine production due to radiation chemical effects in the containment vessel of light water reactors (LWRs) during severe accidents, known as an important uncertainty factor in the source term evaluation, was investigated by experiments in small scale and with well controlled conditions. Cesium iodide solutions, 10^{-4} M, labeled with ¹³¹I, at controlled pH by boric acid-sodium hydroxide buffer, were gamma-irradiated and swept with a constant gas flow rate. The gaseous iodine released from the solution was collected by species selective filters and quantified separately for I₂ and organic iodines. The influences of pH, temperature, inorganic and organic impurities, oxygen and hydrogen concentrations in the cover gas on the iodine release behavior were examined. The results showed the following trends: lower pHs cause higher release fractions; addition of an organic compound (methylisobutylketone, MIBK) causes decrease of I₂ release and increase of organic iodine release, and the effect is more significant with lower oxygen concentrations; influence of iron and chloride ions were not significant.

The data on the time dependent gaseous iodine release fractions, comparison of the final iodine release fractions in terms of the parameter effects, as well as the initial, boundary and interface conditions necessary for simulating the experiments by computer codes are provided.

Two additional series of experiments on suppression of iodine release by ammonia alkaline under irradiation, and comparison of the influence of two organic compounds, MIBK and xylene, on the organic iodine production were performed. With the ammonia alkaline conditions $(pH\sim11)$, iodine release fraction was small in both the irradiated and not irradiated cases, and significant difference was not detected. The organic iodine production with MIBK and xylene were similar.

Keywords: Severe Accident, Containment Vessel, Source Term, Volatile Iodine, Radiation Chemistry, Organic Compound, Oxygen, Hydrogen

This work includes part of results of a contract research funded by Japan Nuclear Energy Safety Organization (JNES) in fiscal years 2007–2010.

⁺ Fuel Cycle Safety Research Unit

^{**} Special Topic Engineer

^{*} Japan Nuclear Energy Safety Organization

照射によるヨウ化セシウム水溶液からのガス状ヨウ素放出に関する実験 (受託研究)

日本原子力研究開発機構 安全研究センター 原子炉安全研究ユニット 森山 清史・田代 信介⁺・千葉 慎哲[※]・丸山 結・中村 秀夫・渡部 厚^{*}

(2011年4月21日受理)

軽水炉シビアアクシデント時の格納容器内における放射線化学的作用による揮発性ヨウ素の生成 は、ソースターム評価における重要な不確かさ要因である.この現象に関する実験を小規模な体系 で良く制御された条件下において実施した.¹³¹Iで標識し、ホウ酸-水酸化ナトリウム緩衝剤によ りpHを制御した10⁻⁴ Mヨウ化セシウム水溶液にガンマ線を照射し、一定流量のガスで掃気する ことにより、気相へ放出される揮発性ヨウ素を化学種選択性のフィルタを用いて I₂ と有機ヨウ素 に分別捕集し、各々の放出量を測定した.また、ヨウ素放出挙動に対する pH、温度、無機及び有機 不純物、雰囲気中の酸素及び水素濃度の影響を調べた.試験結果は以下の傾向を示した.低 pH で ヨウ素放出が増加しその影響は最も顕著である.高温、雰囲気中の水素はヨウ素放出の減少をもた らす.低酸素濃度でヨウ素放出は増加する.有機物 (メチルイソブチルケトン,MIBK) を添加する と、I₂ としての放出は減少し有機ヨウ素としての放出が増加し、低酸素濃度では影響がより顕著で ある.鉄イオン及び塩化物イオンはほとんど影響が見られない.

本報告書には、ヨウ素放出割合の時系列変化に関するデータ、及び最終放出割合に対する各パ ラメータの影響に関する比較を示し、併せて、計算コードにより本実験のシミュレーションを行う 場合に必要な初期・境界条件及び界面条件を示す.

補足実験として,照射下でのアンモニア塩基性によるヨウ素放出抑制に関する実験,及び,有機 ヨウ素放出に対する MIBK とキシレンの影響の比較実験を行った.アンモニア塩基性下 (pH~11) ではヨウ素放出割合は小さく,照射による有意な影響は見られなかった. MIBK とキシレンによる 有機ヨウ素生成量は同程度だった.

本件は (独) 原子力安全基盤機構からの受託研究 (平成 19 年度 ~ 平成 22 年度) の成果を含む. 原子力科学研究所 (駐在): 〒 319-1195 茨城県那珂郡東海村白方白根 2-4

+ サイクル施設等安全研究ユニット

[※] 特定課題推進員

^{* (}独) 原子力安全基盤機構

Contents

1	Intr	oduction	1
2	Gase 2.1	eous Iodine Release Experiment	2 2 2 4 4 7
	2.3 2.4	Conditions and Parameters 1 Results and Discussions 1 2.4.1 Mass Balance 1 2.4.2 Iodine Release Behavior and Parametric Effects 1	7 1 1
3	Cold 3.1 3.2	d Experiments 2' Suppression of Iodine Release by Ammonia Alkaline 2 3.1.1 Purpose 2 3.1.2 Methods and Conditions 2 3.1.3 Results 3 Comparison of the Influence of Organic Compounds: MIBK and Xylene 3 3.2.1 Purpose 3 3.2.2 Methods and Conditions 3 3.2.3 Results 3	7 7771225
4	Sum	mary	9
Ao Re	cknov eferei	wledgments 4 nces 4	0 1
AĮ	ppene	dix $\ldots \ldots \ldots$	4
A	Eva A.1 A.2 A.3	Iuation of the Interface Mass Transfer Coefficient 4 Purpose 4 Method 4 Results 4	4 4 6
В	Data B.1 B.2	a Sheets 54 Gaseous Iodine Release Experiments 5 Cold Experiments 11 B.2.1 Suppression of Iodine Release by Ammonia Alkaline 11 B.2.2 Comparison of the influence of Organic Compounds: MIBK and Xylene 12	4 4 2 2 0

目 次

2 ガス状ヨウ素放出実験	2
2.1 実験装置	2
2.1.1 実験体系	2
2.1.2 γ 線照射装置	2
2.1.3 試料照射容器	4
2.1.4 ヨウ素捕集及びオンライン計測	4
2.2 実験手順	7
2.3 実験条件及びパラメータ	7
2.4 実験結果及び考察	
2.4.1 マスバランス	11
2.4.2 ヨウ素放出挙動及びパラメータの影響	11
3 コールド実験	
3.1 アンモニア塩基性によるヨウ素放出抑制	
3.1.1 目的	
3.1.2 実験方法及び条件	
3.1.3 実験結果	
3.2 有機物の影響比較: MIBK とキシレン	
3.2.1 目的	
3.2.2 実験方法及び条件	
3.2.3 実験結果	
4 まとめ	
謝辞	
参老 立 献	41
付録	
A 界面質量移行係数の評価	
A.1 目的	
A.2 方法	
A.3 結果	
B 試験データシート	
B1 ガス状ヨウ素放出実験	54
B9 コールド実験	119
B91 アンチーア恒基性に上スヨウ麦協中抑制	119
B.2.2 有機物の影響比較: MIBK とキシレン	

1 Introduction

Iodine is one of the fission products (FPs) produced in the core of light water reactors (LWRs) and is the one recognized as the most relevant to the public health consequences in case of a severe accident with environmental release of FPs, due to its large fission yield, the half life of 8 days (for ¹³¹I) and complicated chemical behavior that may produce volatile species. Thus, large number of research works have been done on the iodine chemistry under the conditions relevant to the reactor cooling system (RCS) and the containment vessel (CV) of LWRs. Especially, after the core degradation accident at TMI-2 plant, US, in 1979, comprehensive studies focused on more realistic evaluation of the iodine source term have been performed internationally.[1, 2, 3]

In the RCS, iodine speciation is primarily determined by thermodynamics and CsI vapor or aerosol is the dominant form of iodine. Typically, Beahm et al. (1991)[4] concluded that the iodine at the release into the CV is mostly in CsI and less than 5% elemental iodine and HI.

In the CV where temperature is lower, iodine as CsI becomes aerosols and mostly sediments on to the floor gravitationally or by the spray, then dissolves into water as iodide ion. It is known that iodide ion is converted into volatile elemental iodine or organic iodine, under the effect of radiation. Due to the low temperature in the CV, the speciation does not follow the thermodynamics but is dominated by kinetics. Various influences on the radiation chemical reaction of iodine were investigated, such as pH, initial iodide concentration, dose rate, temperature, dissolved gases and impurities. [5, 6, 7, 8, 9] It is known that the solution pH has a strong effect, *e.g.* the yield or the release rate of gaseous I₂ increases by more than an order when the pH decreases from 8 to 5.[7, 9]

Organic impurities in the solution cause production of organic iodines. A possible major source of such organic impurities is the organic paint on the containment wall.[2, 3] Significant amount of solvents remaining in the paint matrix leach into the pool water when submerged under water, and undergo radiolytic decomposition. The resulting organic radicals react with iodine and produce organic iodines, some of which are more volatile than elemental iodine and less adsorptive on walls, thus more persistently reside in the gas phase.

We performed a series of experiments on the volatile iodine release from irradiated CsI solution with a small scale well controlled experimental apparatus. The dependence on pH, temperature, influences of inorganic and organic impurities and cover gas conditions were examined. Especially, we looked into the conditions relevant to BWRs where the containment is purged of oxygen with nitrogen for the purpose of hydrogen combustion control, since most of the recent research work in this field was more focused on PWR and CANDU plants where the atmosphere in the containment is normal air.

This report describes the methods, conditions and results of the experiments, observations on the parametric influences of the factors examined. Also, we provided the boundary, interface and initial conditions of the experimental system, to facilitate the reference of the present data for computer simulations and model verifications.

Chapter 2 describes the gaseous iodine release experiment with ¹³¹I-labeled CsI solution, in which the iodine release fraction due to the aqueous radiation chemical processes during the irradiation was well quantified and parametric effects were examined comprehensively. Chapter 3 describes two kinds of experiments without ¹³¹I tracer. In one series, behavior of ammonia alkaline CsI solution under irradiation was examined. In the other, influences of two organic compounds, xylene and methylethylketone (MIBK), on the organic iodine production were compared. In the Appendixes, a complementary experiment giving the interface mass transfer coefficients in the present system is described, and a collection of data for each case are provided.

2 Gaseous Iodine Release Experiment

2.1 Experimental Facility

2.1.1 System

Figure 2.1 shows the experimental facility. It is a small scale once through flow system. A glass vessel containing test solution, typically 100 mL of ¹³¹I-labeled 10⁻⁴ M (mol/L is denoted by M) CsI solution buffered at prescribed pH and with prescribed additives, was set in a ⁶⁰Co γ -irradiator. The cover gas over the irradiated solution was swept with prescribed gas, and the gaseous iodine species released from the solution was transferred to the samplers set in the online counting apparatus in the downstream. The iodine release fraction was continuously measured by the ¹³¹I activity accumulating in the samplers.

The release rate depends on the gas-liquid interface mass transfer and wall adsorption as well as the aqueous chemical reaction. To isolate the effect of the aqueous chemical reaction from others, we controlled the interface mass transfer by giving a constant stir and we evaluated the transfer coefficient separately by a complementary experiment (see Appendix A). We tried minimizing the adsorption of iodine in the experimental system by carefully choosing the materials of the vessel and the tubes.

The sweep gas was provided from a cylinder through a pressure regulator, charcoal and glass fiber filters (CP-20 and GB-100R, 47 mm aperture, Advantec), an over flow chamber and a humidifier at room temperature. In the downstream, the gas went through the iodine samplers, a condensate separator, silica-gel and charcoal filters, a mass flow controller, and then exhausted through a pump.

The tube connecting the irradiation vessel and the iodine sampling system was 1/4" outer diameter, made of electro-polished 316 stainless steel for about 300 mm from the vessel exit and of polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) for the rest. The tube was thermostated at 40–45 °C and vapor condensation was avoided.

When hydrogen containing gas was used, hydrogen detectors (Gasman H2, Crowcon) were placed near gas exits, and the hydrogen concentration relative to the lower explosion limit (LEL), 4% H₂ in air, was checked.

Description of primary components of the system is given in the following.

2.1.2 γ -Irradiator

Figure 2.2 shows the outlook of the γ -irradiator (GC220, MDS Nordion). The irradiation source is a set of ⁶⁰Co pins surrounding the irradiation space at the center of the main lead shield. A vertical drawer carrying an irradiation chamber at its center moves up and down, and the material set in the chamber is irradiated when the drawer is inserted in the irradiation space.

The source activity was 407 TBq as of Feb. 20, 2007. The absorbed dose rate in the test solution was determined separately by ceric/cerous chemical dosimetry[10] in the same geometric condition as in the present experiment. The dose rate varied between cases due to the decay of 60 Co source and ranged 5–8 Gy/h during the period of this program. The irradiation chamber has an access hole to the above. Gas tubes, electric cables and other necessary equipments were provided through it.



Figure 2.1: Schematic illustration of the experimental system.



Figure 2.2: Gamma irradiator GC220.



Figure 2.3: Irradiation vessel.

2.1.3 Irradiation vessel

Figure 2.3 schematically shows the vessel for irradiation of the test solution. Figure 2.4 shows the set-up of the vessel in the irradiation chamber. A separable flask of 300 mL nominal capacity and 85 mm inner diameter was covered by a specially designed lid of electro-polished 316 stainless steel. The liquid and gas volumes in the vessel were 100mL and 286mL, respectively. The inner wall of the lid was covered by 0.2 mm thick PTFE sheet to avoid iodine adsorption on the surface. The lid had two access holes of 17 mm diameter covered by septa. The lid had two 1/4" diameter nozzles to which needle valves (SS-14DPS4, Swedge Lock) for the inlet and outlet were attached. The solution was stirred with a glass coated 6 mm diameter and 25 mm long stir bar driven by electromagnetic stirrer driver (Remote Micro type, VARIOMAG). The temperature of the vessel was controlled at 25 ± 2 °C during irradiation by providing thermostated water to the copper tube coil soldered under the copper plate on which the vessel was set.¹

The gas volume in the vessel was swept at a constant flow rate, 1 L/min, corresponding to the replacement rate about 3.5 min^{-1} . The tube between the vessel and the iodine sampler had a volume 74 cm³ (4.35 mm inner diameter and 5m long), which was replaced in about 4.5 s.

2.1.4 Iodine Sampling and On-line Counting

Figure 2.5 shows the iodine samplers. Two kinds of filter elements were used in separate samplers and those were set in tandem in the iodine sampling system as shown in Fig. 2.1, and in detail in Fig. 2.6. The first sampler contained 5 pieces of Ag coated $(0.3 \text{mg/cm}^2 \text{ by physical}$ vapor deposition (PVD)) glass fiber filter elements (No.50, Toyo Roshi) that selectively collects molecular iodine. The second sampler contained 3 pieces of AgNO₃ impregnated alumina particle bed filter elements that collects both molecular iodine and organic iodine. Connected in that way, the first sampler collects molecular iodine and the second collects organic iodine. This species separating iodine sampling system had been developed and proved at former Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute (JAERI).(Appendix of Ref. [11])

¹This temperature control was not provided in early runs, namely 19-01–03 in Table 2.1.

JAEA-Research 2011-016



Figure 2.4: Set-up of the irradiation vessel in the irradiator.

A diffuser ring made of PTFE was inserted at the inlet of each sampler so that the first filter element was effectively exposed to the gas flow. The iodine samplers were connected in the flow system by quick couplers (Semicon Cupla SP 316 stainless type or PTFE type, Nitto Kohki) that have built-in valve mechanism to automatically shut the flow path when disconnected.

The samplers were γ -counted by NaI(Tl) scintillators (4" hole type by SIONIX with instrumentation by Seiko EG&E). The γ -counting for the two samplers was continued through out a run and the cumulative counts in the energy range corresponding to 364 keV γ -ray of ¹³¹I were read out in every 5 minutes. The instantaneous activity in a sampler was, then, calculated from the difference of two successive readouts. The statistical uncertainty in the activity in this method corresponded to ~0.05% of the total initial iodine inventory in the present experiments.

The geometric efficiency of the on-line γ -counting depended on the distribution of iodine deposition in the sampler and needed calibration for every run. It was done by analyzing each filter element—whose geometric efficiency was known—after a run by another off-line NaI counter and by comparing the on-line and the off-line results. The off-line measurement was more precise, *i.e.* the relative statistical uncertainties for each sector: aqueous, Ag filters and AgNO₃ filters, were < 0.5%, < 1% and ~10%, respectively, even if their fractions to the total initial inventory was less than 0.1%. The mass balance of iodine in each run was checked with the off-line data.

The samplers as well as NaI scintillators were confined in a chamber shielding them by 100 mm thick lead from a high background radiation due to the γ -irradiator. We kept the temperature inside the chamber at about 20 °C by heaters and insulators not to have condensation of vapor in the samplers. Higher temperatures were not recommended for assurance of correct functioning of the activity instrumentation, though preferable for prevention of condensation.



Figure 2.5: Iodine samplers.



Air circulation fan Thermocouple Heater

Figure 2.6: On-line counting chamber.



Figure 2.7: Experimental procedure.

2.2 Procedure

Figure 2.7 shows the procedure of the experiment. The prepared test solution 121 mL was put in the test vessel, and 20 mL was taken for the initial I⁻ and pH measurement. Then, ¹³¹I tracer was added about 37 kBq (volume 5–200 μ L) and 1 mL was sampled for the initial activity measurement.

The irradiation vessel, now containing 100mL of the test solution, was set in the γ -irradiator and the gas phase was swept with prescribed gas for 30 minutes, during which the solution became saturated with the gas. The solution was kept stirred at 350 rpm. No significant disturbance in the gas-liquid interface was caused by the stir. Two hours of irradiation and 30 minutes of no-irradiation period followed. The gas sweep and stir were continued through out the process.

The vessel was removed from the irradiator and the solution was sampled for the measurement of remaining activity, I⁻ concentration and pH.

Measurement of pH and I^- concentration was done by a pH electrode (8102, Thermo) and an ion selective electrode (ISE) (9653, Thermo).

2.3 Conditions and Parameters

The experimental conditions and variation of the parameters are summarized in Table 2.1. The air swept case with 1×10^{-4} M CsI solution without additives except the pH buffer at temperature 25 °C was taken as the "base case". The gas flow rate and the stir revolution rate that determine the interface mass transfer were kept constant, 1 L/min and 350 rpm, respectively.

The interface mass transfer coefficient and its dependence on the stir, gas flow rate, tem-

	Notes			Contamination of the line due to the previous case			Lack of temperature data																								
lease experiments	Parameters, etc.	pH=5.5	pH=7	pH=9 (intended)	pH=9	N2	pH=9, N2	Fe ions	Organic additive	Organic additive, N2	CI- ions	Organic additive (high)	Organic additive (high), N2	Organic additive (high), Ar	Temperature	Temperature, pH=5.5	Organic additive (high), Low O2	Low O2		pH=5.5	Organic additive, Low O2	CI- ions	CI- ions	N2-5%H2	N2	Ar-5%H2	Ar	Ar-3%H2	Organic additive, Low O2 (5%)	Low O2 (5%)	
aseous iouille le	Additives (ions,organics,etc.) conc. (M)							Fe2+ 1E-4	MIBK 1E-4	MIBK 1E-4	CI- 1E-3	MIBK 1E-3	MIBK 1E-3	MIBK 1E-3			MIBK 1E-3				MIBK 1E-4	CI- 1E-2	CI- 1E-4						MIBK 1E-4		
	Initial pH	5.36	6.75	8.41	9.10	6.72	9.13	6.85	6.99	6.99	7.03	6.93	7.16	6.98	7.01	5.60	7.04	7.03	6.97	5.55	7.12	7.06	6.97	7.08	7.07	7.01	6.98	6.99	6.99	7.01	
	Sweep gas	Air	Air	Air	Air	N2	N2	Air	Air	N2	Air	Air	N2	Ar	Air	Air	N2-2%02	N2-2%02	Air	Air	N2-2%02	Air	Air	N2-5%H2	N2	Ar-5%H2	Ar	Ar-3%H2	N2-5%O2	N2-5%O2	
	Stir rate (rpm)	350	350	350	350	350	350	350	350	350	350	350	350	350	350	350	350	350	350	350	350	350	350	350	350	350	350	350	350	350	
	Temper - ature (°C)	30-40	30-40	30-40	20-28	24-27	(25-35)	22-25	21-27	23-26	20-26	25-27	25-29	24-26	38-39	39-40	25-26	25-26	24-26	25-26	24-26	24-26	24-26	24-26	25-26	25	25	25	25	25	
• • • • • •	lodine initial conc.(M)	1.0E-04	1.0E-04	1.0E-04	1.0E-04	1.0E-04	1.0E-04	1.0E-04	1.0E-04	1.0E-04	1.0E-04	1.0E-04	1.0E-04	1.0E-04	1.0E-04	1.0E-04	1.0E-04	1.0E-04	1.0E-04	1.0E-04	1.0E-04	1.0E-04	1.0E-04	1.0E-04	1.0E-04	1.0E-04	1.0E-04	1.0E-04	1.0E-04	1.0E-04	
	ation Irrad. time (h)	2	2	2	2	2	4	7	2	2	2	2	2	7	2	2	2	2	7	2	2	2	2	2	7	2	7	2	2	2	
:	Irradi: Dose rate (kGy/h)	7.9	7.7	7.7	7.5	7.5	7.6	7.5	7.4	7.4	7.2	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.8	6.7	6.7	6.6	6.6	6.5	6.5	6.1	6.1	5.8	5.8	5.3	5.3	5.3	5.3	5.3	
	No.	19-01	19-02	19-03	19-03r	19-04r	19-05	19-06m	19-07m	19-08m	19-09	20-01	20-02	20-03	20-04	20-05	20-06	20-07	20-08	20-09	20-10	21-01	21-02	21-03r	21-04r	22-01	22-02	22-03	22-04	22-05	
	Name				6H9	N2	pH9-N2	Fe	OrgL	OrgL-N2	ō	OrgH	OrgH-N2	OrgH-Ar	T40	T40-pH5.5	OrgH-LO	LO	Base	pH5.5	OrgL-LO	CIH	CIL	H2	N2a	H2Ar	Ar	H2LAr	OrgL-MO	MO	

perature and species was separately examined by a complementary experiments described in Appendix A. The overall interface mass transfer coefficient in the standard condition, *i.e.* 25 °C and 1 L/min gas flow with 350 rpm stir, was 4×10^{-4} dm/s for oxygen and 1×10^{-4} dm/s for molecular iodine. The coefficients for other species depend on their molecular volumes, and are evaluated by Eq.(A.11) in Appendix A.

In the following, the parameters we examined, their ranges in the experiment, and the relevance to the prototypic condition are described.

Iodine concentration The inventory of iodine accumulates in a 1 GWe class reactor core is about 80 mol after several years of operation with a fuel replacement for 1/4-1/3 of the core every year. The maximum concentration of iodine in the water phase of a containment vessel is deduced from that. The amount of water in the PWR sump or BWR supression chamber is in the range 2000–4000 m³. With an assumption that iodine is dissolved in the water as I⁻, it gives concentrations in the order of 10^{-5} M. In the present experiments, as a maximum possible value, we took 1×10^{-4} M. The influence of the initial iodide concentration was examined by previous works[7, 9], and we did not change it in our work.

pH It is known from previous studies [7, 9, 3] that pH has the most significant influence on iodine volatility, low pHs enhance volatility and high pHs lower it. The factors that affects the pH in the CV of LWRs were reviewed by Beahm et al. (1992) [12]. The range of pH differs by plant types. If boric acid for reactivity suppression and an alkalinizer for iodine control are put in, they control the pH. Other factors include alkaline FPs such as CsOH and CsBO₃, HNO₃ by humid air radiolysis, CO₂ by decomposition of organic impurities or concrete, HCl by degradation of chlorine containing organic compounds such as cable insulations. If the pH is not intentionally controlled, the incoming alkaline FPs raise the pH to 9–10, and other factors decrease it. The extent of the pH drop has a large uncertainty depending on the inventory of the materials concerned.

Though the influence of pH had already been examined comprehensively, we studied it again considering the importance and to check the consistency of our results with existing data. We controlled the pH in the range 5.5–9 with 0.1 M boric acid-sodium hydroxide buffers.

Temperature Ashmore et al.(2000)[7] showed experimentally the influence of temperature on the inorganic iodine volatility. Their data showed decreased volatility when the temperature was raised from 25 °C to 40–70 °C. The volatility decrease is mechanistically explained by the enhancement of iodine hydrolysis at high temperatures. An opposite effect is done by the temperature dependence of the gas-aqueous partition coefficient of iodine, that gives more volatility at high temperatures.[13, 14, 15] Those two effects compete; either of which prevails probably depends on pH. So, we examined the influence of temperature, 25 °C and 40 °C, at two pHs, 5.5 and 7.

Iron ions Mechanistic models include a catalytic reactions of Fe^{2+} and Fe^{3+} ions that converts $\cdot O_2^-$, a primary reductant of iodine, to O_2 and H_2O_2 .[14, 13, 15] It is known that such reactions well explain some data from experiments with steel vessels[16, 15], especially about the iodine volatility at high pHs > 7, *i.e.* models under predict the volatility without those reactions. We examined the effect of iron ions by putting in 1×10^{-4} M of Fe²⁺ (as FeSO₄), for it had not been confirmed experimentally.

HCl The amount of HCl by degradation of chlorine containing organic compounds such as cable insulations may be quite large, corresponding to concentrations in water in CVs up to the order of 10^{-3} M.[12, 17, 18] The review by Beahm et al.[12] showed the inventories in

several plant types ranging 4300–36000 mol, giving concentrations $10^{-3}-10^{-2}$ M with a typical water volume 4000 m³. A part of its influence is the impact on pH, and another is a possible interference with reactions of iodine species, because they are in the same elemental group. We looked into the latter point and added Cl⁻ ions (as NaCl) up to 1×10^{-2} M.

Oxygen In irradiated aqueous solutions, dissolved oxygen produces a primary reductant of iodine, $\cdot O_2^-$. Thus, the absence of oxygen enhances the oxidation from I⁻ to volatile I₂ and increases the iodine volatility.[14, 13, 15] This effect was experimentally observed by Ashmore et al.[7]. It is important in terms of the conditions in BWR CVs that are purged of oxygen. We examined the effect of oxygen by changing the sweep gas between air and nitrogen or argon, and also looked into its coupling with the influence of organic compounds, as described in the next paragraph.

Organic compound Organic impurities in the solution cause production of organic iodines, some of which are more volatile than elemental iodine and less adsorptive on walls, thus more persistently air borne. Also, the decomposition of organics in irradiated aqueous solution produces acids that decrease pH. A possible major source of such organic impurities is the organic solvents remaining in the paint on the containment wall[2, 3], typically, ketones such as acetone, methylethylketone, methylisobutylketone, and aromatics such as toluene, xylene, ethylbenzene. Such organic iodine production was previously investigated in middle scale and small scale experiments[19, 20, 8], mostly in aerated environment assuming PWR or CANDU plants. We lacked data at low oxygen concentration conditions relevant to BWR CVs.

We examined the influence of organic compounds combined with the influence of oxygen systematically. We used MIBK as one of the organic solvents known to be used in paints applied in CVs of LWRs, and also changed the oxygen concentration in the sweep gas: air (21%), low oxygen concentrations relevant to BWRs (5% and 2%), and nitrogen or argon (0%).

Hydrogen During the progression of a severe accident of LWRs, the zircaloy in the fuel clad is likely to be oxidized and it produces a large amount of hydrogen. In BWRs, the CV atmosphere is purged of oxygen with nitrogen so that the hydrogen does not burn. The partial pressure of hydrogen in the CV rises up to 0.1 MPa, comparable to the initially existing nitrogen and about 50% of the total pressure[21]. Ashmore et al.[7] examined the influence of hydrogen with air containing 4% H₂ and observed decreased iodine release rate. We examined it by adding hydrogen to the sweep gas by up to 5% concentrations. The rest of the gas was an inert gas, either nitrogen or argon.

The runs were named according to parameter settings as indicated in Table 2.1. The cases for comparison are summarized by the category as follows.

pH : Base, pH5.5, pH9

Temperature : Base, T40, pH5.5, T40-pH5.5

 Fe^{2+} ion : Base, Fe

 Cl^{-} ion : Base, Cl, ClL, ClH

- O₂/Organic : Base, OrgL, OrgH, MO, OrgL-MO, LO, OrgL-LO, OrgL-N2, N2a, OrgL-N2, OrgH-N2, OrgH-Ar
- H_2 : N2a, H2, Ar, H2LAr, H2Ar

Those names are used to call the runs hereafter.

The reagents used in the experiment were as follows.

- Cesium iodide: 99.9%, Wako Pure Chemical Industries
- Boric acid: >99.5% ACS reagent grade, Sigma-Aldrich
- Sodium hydroxide: >96%, Wako Pure Chemical Industries
- Sodium chloride: >99%, ACS reagent grade, Sigma-Aldrich
- Ferrous sulfate: >99.5%, Kanto Chemicals
- Methylisobutylketone (MIBK): >99.5%, Wako Pure Chemical Industries
- o-Xylene: >98%, Wako Pure Chemical Industries
- ¹³¹I as tracer: Perkin Elmer NEZ035H 74 MBq (>185 GBq/mg (as iodine) in 0.1 mol/L NaOH aq. (pH=12–14)) diluted into pure water (~1.8 MBq/mL)
- Water: pure water supplied by Millipore Milli-Q Academic A10, >18.2 MΩm@25 °C,
 <40 ppb TOC (Total Organic Carbon)

The reagents were used without further purification.

2.4 Results and Discussions

2.4.1 Mass Balance

Figure 2.8 shows the mass balance of iodine. For every case, the stacked columns indicate, from the bottom, the fractions in the test solution, in the filters at the end of the run, and the loss calculated by subtracting the former two from 100%. It is observed that the loss becomes larger when larger fraction was released. The loss was usually a few percents, and at most $\sim 10\%$ in the cases of acidic conditions. The present experiment showed a good mass preservation.

A primary cause of the loss is probably the adsorption in the tube connector at the entrance of the first iodine sampler where corresponding order of activity was detected by the off-line counting.

We assumed the lost fraction was released as I_2 that is much more adsorptive than organic iodines. In the following, "total released" or "released as I_2 " means the sum of the fraction collected by Ag filters and the loss.

2.4.2 Iodine Release Behavior and Parametric Effects

Table 2.2 summarizes the experimental results. Figures 2.9–2.20 show the comparison of release histories and the final release fractions in terms of the parametric effects. Detailed descriptions of each run and individual data plots are provided in Appendix B.

pH Figure 2.9 shows the histories of total iodine release for the cases of various pHs. The figure (a) shows the release history along the absorbed dose, while the figure (b) shows that along time. Generally in the present series of experiments, the release was observed during the irradiation and the release was nearly linear in terms of time.

Figure 2.10 compares the final release fractions, including early cases in which the temperature control was not implemented yet. The comparison shows that the total release fraction increased more than 2 orders for the pH change from 9 to 5.5. It confirms that pH is the dominant factor for iodine volatility and it is consistent with existing data[7, 9].

tal results: gaseous locitime release experiments odine Final - frac. Filters AgNO3 Odine Final - frac. Loss (%) Picters AgNO3 Odine Titlers AgNO3 Colspan= Colspan= Colspan= Colspan="2">Colspan= Colspan= Colspan= Colspan="2" O O O O O O O O SO O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O <th>0.64</th> <th>0.18</th>	0.64	0.18
tal results: gaseous lodine release experiments: odine Final l- frac. Filters AgNO3 lodine in (%) (%) (%) (%) in (%) (%) (%) (%) in (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) 0.0169 2.61 12.1 0.025 0.025 0.256 0.025 0.025 0.260 3 7.12 0.025 0.256 11.12 0.025 0.256 1.12.1 0.256 3 70.6 0.025 1.12 0.025 13.3 3 7.14 12.1 1.12 0.025 13.3 3 14		
odineFinal I- frac.FiltersAgNO3 $(\%)$ in(%)(%)(%)(%)in(%)(%)(%)(%) (0) 26.257.70.04611.27 (0) 26.257.70.0692.61 (1) (2) 9.480.0692.61 (1) (2) 0.79 0.103 1.59 (1) (2) 0.79 0.103 1.59 (1) (2) 0.79 0.103 1.59 (1) (2) 0.79 0.103 1.48 (1) (2) 1.12 0.097 1.48 (2) 8.41 0.026 0.33 (2) 8.41 0.026 0.33 (2) 8.41 0.026 0.33 (2) 8.41 0.026 0.33 (2) 8.41 0.026 0.33 (3) 8.41 0.026 0.33 (3) 8.41 0.024 2.25 (3) 8.41 0.026 0.33 (3) 8.41 0.026 0.33 (3) 8.41 0.026 0.33 (3) 8.41 0.026 0.33 (3) 8.41 0.026 0.14 (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (2) (3) (3) (3) (2) (3) (3) (3) (2) (3) (3) <td< td=""><td>11.2</td><td>10.0</td></td<>	11.2	10.0
odineFinal I- frac. FiltersFilters filter frac. (%)AgNO3in(%)(%)(%)(%) 0 26.257.70.046 9 79.79.480.069 0 79.79.480.0069 0 79.79.480.0069 0 88.70.790.103 0 88.70.790.103 1 50.140.80.007 1 50.140.80.272 1 50.140.80.272 1 50.140.80.272 1 50.140.80.272 1 50.140.80.272 2 8.410.024 3 79.88.410.056 2 80.69.740.0161 2 80.69.740.061 2 83.81.660.066 3 39.454.00.066 3 8.3.212.00.029 3 39.454.00.0166 2 83.21.12.00.0166 2 83.21.12.00.0166 3 83.27.060.0166 3 83.21.12.00.0166 3 83.21.12.00.0166 2 83.21.12.00.0166 3 83.21.12.00.0166 3 83.21.12.00.0166 3 83.21.12.00.0166 3 83.21.12.00.0166 <t< td=""><td>1.84 1.76</td><td>1 26</td></t<>	1.84 1.76	1 26
odine Final I- frac. in solution Filters. for (%) in solution (in solution (%) (%) in solution (%) (%) in solution 26.2 57.7 in solution 26.2 57.7 in solution (%) (%) in solution 26.2 57.7 in solution 26.2 57.7 in solution 9.48 (%) in solution 111 (%) in solution 38.7 0.79 in solution 9.112 11.12 in solution 9.14 11.1 in solution 9.74 10.8 in solution 9.73 11.12 in solution 9.74 11.1 in solution 9.74 11.2 in solution 8.81 11.2<	0.071	0.018
odine Final I- frac. in (%)	9.37 8.76	8 76
odine . in . (%) . 0 . 0 . 6 . 6 . 7 . 7 . 7 . 7 . 7 . 7 . 7 . 6 . 9 . 9 . 9 . 9 . 9 . 9 . 9 . 0 . 0 . 0 . 0 . 0 . 0 . 0 . 0	79.5 79.5	70 5
Final i frac solution soluti solution solution solution solution solution solution s	8.88 0.00	0.00
Final L conc.(M) 2.62E-5 7.97E-5 8.87E-5 8.87E-5 5.01E-5 6.99E-5 6.99E-5 8.11E-5 6.99E-5 8.11E-5 9.30E-5 8.38E-5 9.30E-5 9.30E-5 8.38E-5 8.38E-5 9.30E-5 8.37E	3.02L-3 7.94E-5 7.95E-5	7 95E_5
Initial I- conc. (M) 1.00E 4 1.00E 4 1	1.00E-4	1 00E-4
Final PH	6.97 7.02	7 0.2
Initial pH 6.75 6.75 6.75 8.41 9.10 9.13 6.99 6.99 7.03 6.97 7.03 6.97 7.03 6.97 7.03 6.97 7.03 6.97 7.03 6.97 7.03 6.97 7.03 6.97 7.03 6.97 7.03 6.97 6.97 6.97 7.03 6.97 6.97 6.97 6.97 6.97 6.97 6.97 6.97	6.9	7 01
No. 19-01 19-03 19-03 19-05 19-05 19-06 19-06 19-06 19-06 19-08 19-08 19-08 19-09 20-01 20-01 20-01 20-01 20-01 20-05 20-03 20-01 20-03 20-01 20-03 20-01 20-03 20-01 20-03 20-01 20-03 20-01 20-03 20-010000000000	22-03 22-04 22-04	22-05
Name pH9 N2 PH9-N2 Fe OrgL-N2 OrgL-N2 OrgH-Ar Cl D140 PH5.5 OrgH-L0 L0 Cl L0 Cl H2 N2a H2Ar N2a H2Ar Ar Ar		, MO

Temperature Figures 2.11 and 2.12 show the histories of total iodine release and comparison of the final release fractions, respectively, for the cases of different temperatures at pHs 7 and 5.5. Between the temperatures 25 and 40 °C, the higher temperature made lower release fractions at both pHs. In the present range of conditions, the effect of I₂ hydrolysis decreasing the gaseous I₂ release prevailed over a possible opposite effect of the temperature dependence of I₂ partition coefficient. The observed decrease of iodine volatility at higher temperatures is consistent with a previous study[7].

Inorganic impurities Figure 2.13 shows the histories of total iodine release for the cases Fe^{2+} or Cl^- ions were added. The results showed no significant influence of those ions.

Despite that mechanistic models showed a significant influence of the catalytic reaction of iron ions[13, 14, 15], it was experimentally not observed. Other researchers[7] also reported a result similar to the present experiment.

Organic impurities and oxygen Fugures 2.14 and 2.15 show the histories of total iodine release for the cases with various MIBK concentrations (aqueous) and oxygen concentrations (sweep gas). Figures 2.16 and 2.17 show the histories of organic iodine release. Figure 2.18 shows the comparison of final release fractions as I_2 (a) and organic iodine (b).

Comparison of the total or I_2 release fractions in the cases without MIBK addition (Base, MO, LO and N2a) showed a trend that lower oxygen concentrations caused higher release fractions. It was consistent with predictions by mechanistic models[14, 13, 15] and a previous work[7]. When MIBK was added, the I_2 release fraction decreased with increasing MIBK concentrations in low or no oxygen concentration cases (-LO and -N2). The organic iodine release fraction increased with increasing MIBK concentrations with all the oxygen concentrations. However, the relation between the organic iodine release fractions and the oxygen concentration was not straight at high MIBK concentration (Fig. 2.18 (b), MIBK 10^{-3} M cases). This rather complicated dependence is explained by competition on the oxygen consumption between iodine and organic reactions. Detailed discussions are given elsewhere[11, 15].

Hydrogen Figures 2.19 and 2.20 show the histories of total iodine release and comparison of the final release fractions, respectively, for the cases with hydrogen containing sweep gases. For both the nitrogen based and argon based hydrogen-containing gases, decrease of the total iodine release fractions were observed with increasing hydrogen fractions. Both showed about 40% decrease at 5% hydrogen.



Figure 2.8: Mass balance of iodine in the present experiment.



Figure 2.9: Evolution of total gaseous iodine release: pH dependence.



Figure 2.10: Comparison of the final release fractions (total iodine): pH dependence.



Figure 2.11: Evolution of total gaseous iodine release: temperature dependence at pH=7 and 5.5.



Figure 2.12: Comparison of the final release fractions (total iodine): influence of temperature at pH=7 and 5.5.



Figure 2.13: Evolution of total gaseous iodine release: influence of inorganic impurities (chloride and iron ions).



Figure 2.14: Evolution of total gaseous iodine release: influence of organic impurity (MIBK) and oxygen concentration. (air and low oxygen conditions)



Figure 2.15: Evolution of total gaseous iodine release: influence of organic impurity (MIBK) and oxygen concentration. (no oxygen conditions)



Figure 2.16: Evolution of gaseous organic iodine release: influence of organic impurity (MIBK) and oxygen concentration. (air and low oxygen conditions)



Figure 2.17: Evolution of gaseous organic iodine release: influence of organic impurity (MIBK) and oxygen concentration. (no oxygen conditions)



Figure 2.18: Comparison of the final release fractions: influence of organic impurity (MIBK) and oxygen concentration.



Figure 2.19: Evolution of total gaseous iodine release: influence of hydrogen.



Figure 2.20: Comparison of the final release fractions (total iodine): influence of hydrogen.

3 Cold Experiments

3.1 Suppression of Iodine Release by Ammonia Alkaline

3.1.1 Purpose

As an accident management (AM) measure for the hydrogen accumulation issue in BWRs, Hamazaki et al.[22] proposed reduction or annihilation of hydrogen in the CVs by combining it with nitrogen through catalytic reactions producing ammonia. Ammonia is highly soluble in water, thus most of the hydrogen in the gas phase can be absorbed in water when converted to ammonia. Aqueous solution of ammonia is alkaline, and it is also expected that this method brings suppression of iodine volatility. However, considering that chemical reactions of ammonia or ammonium ions in the water pool may cause various influences, further study on the proposed AM measure including experimental work is necessary.

We performed experiments on the stability of ammonia alkaline solution containing iodine under irradiation.

3.1.2 Methods and Conditions

The possible maximum ammonia concentration in the suppression pool in BWRs by the proposed AM measure is obtained from the amount of hydrogen in the CV. The reaction of ammonia production,

$$N_2 + 3H_2 \longrightarrow 2NH_3 , \qquad (3.1)$$

and the typical partial pressures of hydrogen and nitrogen, ~ 0.1 MPa for both, lead to that it is likely to be excess nitrogen condition and ammonia production is 2/3 of the hydrogen inventory, ~ 0.067 MPa. Based on the gas and water volumes in BWR CVs, the ammonia concentration in the suppression pool is about 0.1 M at maximum. We took this concentration for the standard case in our experiment. Other conditions were similar to that of the gaseous iodine release experiments described in the previous chapter.

Figure 3.1 illustrates the experimental system. Table 3.1 summarizes the conditions and results of the experiment. Note that this series was performed without radio active iodine, and all the measurement was by chemical means.

The test solution was 1×10^{-4} M CsI in ammonia alkaline conditions. Ammonia concentration was 0.1 M or 0.01 M. The sweep gas was nitrogen except one case swept with 5% oxygen-nitrogen mixture.

The test solution, 100 mL, was prepared in a 300 mL (nominal) separable flask. It was closed, loaded in the irradiator and connected to the sweep line. Then, sweep (flow rate 1 L/min), stir (6 mm(dia.) by 25 mm long glass stir bar, 350 rpm) and irradiation were given. The irradiation was given for 2 h at 5.1 Gy/h. The case 22-C02 was a reference case without irradiation.

In the cases 22-C02–C04, the pure water used as solvent was purged of oxygen with nitrogen for 30 min in advance, before adding ammonia and iodide to the prescribed concentration. The sweep was given for the period of irradiation.

In the case 22-C05, the test solution prepared with fresh pure water was loaded, and the sweep started 30 min before the start of irradiation so that the solution became saturated with the 5% oxygen gas at the start of irradiation. Also, the sweep continued extra 30 min after the end of irradiation. So, the sweep period was 1 h longer than other cases.

The iodine release from the solution was measured by bubbling the outlet gas in an alkaline (0.1 M NaOH) trap and monitoring iodide concentration with an ion selective electrode (ISE)

Notes		No irradiation			Extra 30 min gas purge before and after irradiation	
NH ₃ loss	traction	0.065	0.016	0.107	0.059	
n in	NH_3	0.46	0.44	0.41	0.58	
Fractio trap	I−*3	3.5E-3	2.8E-3	8.9E-4	-2.4E-3	
ction ned in Ition* ²	NH_3	0.475	0.544	0.483	0.363	
Frac remai solu	<u> </u>	1.07	1.02	1.00	0.94	
nc.(M) nple)*1	Final	0.0450	0.0574	0.00396	0.0399	
NH ₃ col (by sar	Initial	0.0928	0.103	0.00798	0.106	
x10 ⁻⁴ M) mple)*1	Final	1.25	1.14	1.06	1.24	
l⁻ conc.((by sa	Initial	1.14	1.09	1.03	1.28	
ion pH ured in /essel)	Final	10.81	10.89	10.28	10.70	
Soluti (meas test v	Initial	11.10	11.19	10.64	11.24	
Sweep)	N_2	N_2	N_2	N ₂ -5%O ₂	
ation (M)	NH ³	0.1	0.1	0.01	0.1	
Prepara conc.	<u> </u>	1.0E-4	1.0E-4	1.0E-4	1.0E-4	
No.		22-C02	22-C03	22-C04	22-C05	

Table 3.1: Experimental conditions and summary of the results: ammonia effect experiments

*1) The measurement and the probe calibration were both done in 0.1M NaOH base solutions; samples were 10 times diluted with the base solution.
*2) Based on measurements by electrodes; decrement of the solution due to evaporation was considered.
*3) I⁻ concentrations were measured by compensating the sensitivity of the probes to ammonia.
*3) I⁻ concentrations: Temperature=20-25°C, Vessel=300mL(nominal) separable flasks, Stir=46x25mm glass stirrer 350rpm, Volume of the test solution=0.1L, Gas flow rate=1L/min, Dose=5.1KGy/h x 2h, Trap=0.1M NaOH 0.25L (the gas was bubbled in the trap)
In CO3 and C04, the the water was purged of dissolved air with N₂ for 30 minutes in advance; In C03, the vessel with the prepared solution was purged with the

prescribed gas in extra 30 minutes before and after the irradiation (ammonia release was larger due to this)



Figure 3.1: Schematic illustration of the experimental system.

(9653, Thermo) there. It is the same method as in the measurement of I_2 transfer in Appendix A, and the detail is described there. The release of ammonia from the solution was also monitored by inserting an ammonia electrode (9512, Thermo) to the trap. The ammonia electrode needs alkaline condition (pH>12) to detect the total of ammonia and ammonium and it was satisfied by 0.1 M NaOH in the trap.

A problem arose here was that the iodide electrode had a sensitivity on ammonia which was significant when the iodide concentration was low. As a workaround for this, we calibrated the iodide electrode for the influence of the existence of ammonia as in Fig. 3.2. The figure (a) shows the output voltage of the electrode with changing ammonia concentration at iodide concentrations 0 and 5×10^{-7} M. The result was fitted with a modified Nernst formula,

$$E = E_0 + A \frac{2.30 RT}{nF} \log_{10}([\mathrm{I}^-] + f[\mathrm{NH}_3] + g)$$
(3.2)

where the variables denote

 E, E_0 : output and standard voltages,

- R : general ideal gas constant (= 8.31 J/mol K)
- T : temperature (K)
- n : charge of the ion concerned (= -1 for I⁻),

F : Faraday's constant ($\equiv N_A e = 9.65 \times 10^4 \text{ C/mol}$),

 $[I^-]$, $[NH_3]$: concentrations of I^- and NH_3 ,

A : calibration constant without influence of ammonia,

f, g : constants for the compensation for ammonia influence.

As shown in Fig. 3.2 (b), the ammonia influence was compensated well.

The pH, iodide and ammonia concentrations in the test solution at the initial and final states were also measured. The pH was measured by inserting an pH electrode (8102, Thermo) directly into the test solution before and after a run. Iodide and ammonia concentrations were measured by taking 2 mL samples before and after a run. The samples were diluted by ten times in 0.1 M



(a) Influence of ammonia on the iodide ion electrode output at low iodide concentrations. $\$ []=0 $\$ []=5e-7M



(b) Calibration of the iodide electrode for the compensation of the ammonia influence.

Figure 3.2: Influence of ammonia on iodide ion electrode (9653, Thermo) and compensation.
NaOH solution, and measured by the electrodes. It was to make the condition of the samples consistent with that for calibration.

3.1.3 Results

The result for each case is given in Appendix B in detail. The summary of the result in Table 3.1 gives following observations.

- The pH by ammonia alkaline in the standard cases was initially 11, and dropped by 0.3–0.5 during the run by the transfer of ammonia. The initial pH was lower when ammonia concentration was lower (22-C04, 0.01 M). The pH drop was larger when sweep period was longer (22-C05, 1 h longer).
- The fractions of ammonia transferred was about 50%.
- The fractions of iodine released was in the order of 0.1% according to the trap iodide monitoring. It corresponded to concentrations $\sim 10^{-7}$ mol, in the order of the detection limit. The iodine concentration measurement for the test solution did not give significant change.
- No significant difference was observed between the irradiated and not irradiated cases (22-C02 and 22-C03).

Thus, it was concluded from this series of experiments that ammonia alkaline solution well kept iodide from releasing by radiolytic reactions and the irradiation did not affected the effect significantly.

More detailed examination, especially in a prototypic conditions, should be necessary if the ammonia production method for hydrogen/iodine control in BWRs is practically considered.



Figure 3.3: Schematic illustration of the xylene-MIBK comparison experiment.

3.2 Comparison of the Influence of Organic Compounds: MIBK and Xylene

3.2.1 Purpose

In the gaseous iodine release experiments with organic additives (the previous chapter), we used MIBK as one of organic compounds likely to leach from the paints into the water pool in CVs, partly because it had relatively high gas-liquid partition coefficient (low volatility) and was convenient for a good control on the organic concentration in the experiment.

On the other hand, some products of epoxy paints used in CVs are known to contain other types of organic solvents, mainly xylene[23, 24]. Therefore, we performed experiments to see if there was a significant difference between MIBK and xylene in terms of the influence on the gaseous iodine production by radiolysis.

3.2.2 Methods and Conditions

A difficulty in using xylene in the gaseous iodine release experiment is its low gas-aqueous partition coefficient ($H = C_l/C_g$ at equilibrium), ~6 (o-xylene), compared with ~400 of MIBK at 25 °C. Also, xylene has a very low aqueous solubility, 1.6×10^{-3} M at 25 °C, compared with 0.18 M for MIBK. In the experiments with MIBK, a high concentration solution, 0.1 M, was injected to the test vessel before the irradiation so that required concentration, $(10^{-4}-10^{-3} \text{ M})$ was obtained in the test solution. The loss of MIBK by the transfer due to the sweep was not critical. However, we can not prepare a concentrated xylene solution nor keep xylene in the solution at sufficient concentration during irradiation with sweeping.

We adopted a method using a piece of porous PTFE material which absorbs xylene and releases it slowly when submerged in water. Figure 3.3 shows the method of the experiment. The experiment was done without sweeping the cover gas; the test vessel was closed. Gaseous samples were taken at intervals and analyzed for concentrations of xylene and CH₃I as a typical organic iodine. Among three isomers of xylene, we used o-xylene that has a larger gas-aqueous partition coefficient, ~6 at 25 °C, compared to ~4 for m- and p-xylene[25].

We did a similar experiment with MIBK as a reference case, with the same closed condition. We tried the method using the porous PTFE chip for MIBK, too. And, we found that the absorption and release of MIBK to/from the PTFE chip was too quick, almost fully absorbed or released within 1 h. So, we simply added MIBK once at the start of irradiation to give a desired concentration.

Table 3.2: Experimental conditions and summary of the results: comparison of xylene and MIBK

		Organic addition		Н	Final I⁻ remaining	Gaseous	
Name No.		Organic addition	Initial	Final*	fraction in solution* (%)	CH ₃ I fraction*(%)	
OrgCX	22-C10	o-Xylene (5E-4M at the irradiation start, leach from porous PTFE chip immersed in the solution 1h before the irradiation start)	6.91	5.78	70	0.18	
OrgCM	22-C11	MIBK (1E-3M at the irradiation start)	6.92	6.2	81	0.25	
Common condition	Solution: 1E-4M CsI, pH buffer: Boric acid-NaOH n Irradiation: 5.0kGy/h × 2h n Vessel: 300mL(nominal) separable flask, Liquid 100mL/Gas 286mL (closed) Cover gas: Air_Temperature: 25°C, Stir: 66mm x 25mm glass stirrer 350rpm						
GCMS Analysis condition	Cover gas: Air, Temperature: 25°C, Stir: φ6mm x 25mm glass stirrer 350rpm Column: Agilent HP-5 (slightly polar, 320µm inner diameter, 0.25µm thick film, 30m long) Carrier gas: He, 1.3mL/min, Sample volume: 100µL, Split ratio: 1 Temperature control: 40 °C 4 min, 20 °C/min ramp up to 200 °C SIM (selected ion monitoring): m/z specification for target materials in three time rages of their release (CH3I:141,127,142, 40s2m10s; MIBK:100,85,58,57,43, 2m11s5m; o- Xylene:106,105,91,5m11m10s) Calibration: CH3I: Standard (SPELCO 2000ppm) diluted solution confined in a vial, kept at a constant temperature, 25 °C; the gas phase sample was used; the known partition coefficient 4.82 (Glowa et al.,2003) was referred. o-Xylene: A small amount of the reagent (Wako, >98%) confined in a vial , kept at prescribed temperatures; the gas phase sample was used; the known vapor pressure (by Cox-Antoine formula) was refferred. MIBK: The initial concentration of the test solution (1E-3M) and known partition coefficient					30m long) ne rages of -5m; o- a constant ficient 4.82 of at ressure (by n coefficient	

* At the end of the test (samples were taken 30 min after the end of the irradiation)

Table 3.2 summarizes the experimental conditions and results for the two cases with xylene and MIBK.

The analysis of gaseous samples for the solvents, o-xylene or MIBK, and for CH₃I was done with gas chromatograph mass spectrometry (GCMS) (JMS-Q1000GC/K9, JEOL). The conditions of GCMS analysis are also included in the table. Gas phase samples of 100 μ L were taken several times during a run by syringe through the septum in the lid of the vessel, and analyzed immediately. A simultaneous analysis of CH_3I and the solvents were not straight forward due to the large difference of their concentration ranges. It was enabled by a grouped selected ion monitoring (SIM) method in which the mass-charge ratio (m/z) for the SIM was set differently for specific zones of the retention time for different target molecules, namely, SIM for CH_3I was set for retention time 40s–2m10s, MIBK for 2m11s–5m, o-xylene after 5m. The calibration of GCMS for quantitative analysis was based on known gas phase concentrations. For CH₃I and o-xylene, the gas phase in vials containing standard solutions of CH₃I and pure o-xylene liquid, respectively, at given temperatures were used as standards. For the MIBK, the known initial gaseous concentration of MIBK at the start of the run from the given aqueous concentration and the gas-aqueous partition coefficient was referred. The gas-aqueous partition coefficients of these materials and the vapor pressure of o-xylene was obtained by the following equations. They were used also in processing the data.

• Gas-liquid partition coefficients

$$- CH_{3}I[26] : H = 4.82 \exp \left\{ 3420 \left(\frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{298} \right) \right\}$$

(T : temperature (K))
$$- MIBK[27] : H = RT \exp \left(-\frac{\Delta H^{0} - T\Delta S^{0}}{RT} \right)$$

(R : general idea gas constant = 8.31 (J/mol K), T : temperature (K), $\Delta H^{0} = -59$
(kJ/mol), $\Delta S^{0} = -214$ (J/mol K))
$$- \text{ o-xylene}[25] : \ln \frac{1}{H} = \frac{a}{T} + c$$

(T : temperature (K), $a = -4232$, $c = 12.4$)

- Vapor pressure
 - o-xylene [28] (Cox-Antoine formula) : $\log_{10}P = A + B/(t+C)$ (P : vapor pressure (mmHg), t : temperature (°C), A = 9.28489, B = -3492.89, C = 401.824)

We did not use a radioactive tracer in these experiments, and the gaseous partition of iodine was examined solely for CH_3I , a typical volatile organic iodine. The solution pH and iodide concentartion were measured by electrodes (8102 and 9653, Thermo) before and after a run.

The porous PTFE material (PTFE filter sheet 6 mm thick, Flon Industry) was cut into a 1×1 cm, 6 mm thick chip. The porosity of the material, evaluated by the comparison of its superficial density and the solid density of PTFE[29], was about 30%. Its absorption and release of o-xylene was tested in advance by a "pre-test". The chip absorbed o-xylene to the amount filling out the pore in about 600 h when submerged in o-xylene. The release of o-xylene when the chip was dipped in water was measured under a similar condition to the experiment, *i.e.* 100 mL water in the test vessel at 25 °C, 350 rpm stir. The result showed that the o-xylene concentration in the solution was about 5×10^{-4} M at 1 h after the chip was put in the solution.

The following shows the procedure and schedule of the experiments. The time 0 is the start of irradiation.



Figure 3.4: Evolution of the o-xylene concentration: OrgCX (irradiation 0–120 min).

-60 min PTFE chip containing o-xylene was put in the solution, and a gas sample was taken (OrgCX)
0 min MIBK was added to the solution to the conc. 1 × 10⁻³ M (OrgCM) Irradiation started
30 min Irradiation interrupted; A gas sample was taken
60 min Irradiation interrupted; A gas sample was taken
120 min Irradiation ended; A gas sample was taken
150 min A gas sample was taken

3.2.3 Results

Figure 3.4 shows the evolution of o-xylene concentration during the experiment OrgCX. The gas phase concentration is the measured value, and the aqueous phase concentration is the calculated value by assuming gas-aqueous equilibrium. The xylene concentration rose during the period before the start of irradiation, decreased during the irradiation due to radiolytic decomposition, and rose again after the end of irradiation.

An estimation of the released o-xylene amount was given by referring the o-xylene release behavior in the pre-test. Figure 3.5 compares the o-xylene release behavior in the pre-test and in the OrgCX case. Note that the data are the total released o-xylene mass (mol). The evolution in the first 60 min was similar with a slightly higher value in the OrgCX case. The curves are the one fitted to the pre-test data, and the other modified for fitting the initial 60 min of OrgCX data with the same time constant. Thus, the curve, $4.7 \times 10^{-4} \{1 - \exp(-2.6 \times 10^{-3}t)\}$ (t: time (min)) gives the o-xylene release history applicable for OrgCX, presumably in a computer simulation of the experiment.

Figre 3.6 shows the fraction of iodine partitioned in the gas phase as CH₃I in OrgCX. The error bars indicate the uncertainty evaluated based on scattering of the calibration data, $\sim 60\%$. The result showed a detectable production of CH₃I during the irradiation, in the range of 0.1% of



Figure 3.5: Comparison of the xylene mass (mol) evolution in the pre-test (no-irradiation) and the case OrgCX (irradiation 60–180 min: time from the immersion of the PTFE chip).

the initial iodide inventory. The aqueous phase values were deduced from the gas phase analysis results and the partition coefficient.

Figures 3.7 and 3.8 show the evolution of MIBK concentration and CH_3I in the case OrgCM. Most of MIBK was decomposed and detectable amount of CH_3I was produced. The fraction of iodine partitioned in the gas phase as CH_3I was comparable to that in the OrgCX case.

Comparing two cases, OrgCX and OrgCM, we do not see significant difference in the influence of o-xylene and MIBK in terms of organic iodine production.



Figure 3.6: Evolution of the CH_3I concentration: OrgCX (irradiation 0–120 min).



Figure 3.7: Evolution of the MIBK concentration: OrgCM (irradiation 0–120 min).



Figure 3.8: Evolution of the CH₃I concentration: OrgCM (irradiation 0–120 min).

4 Summary

The results of the present work are summarized as follows.

Gaseous iodine release experiment The volatile iodine release from γ -irradiated CsI solutions (concentration 10^{-4} M,¹³¹I-labeled) was experimentally examined with a small scale and well controlled experimental apparatus, in which the test solution was kept at a constant temperature and stirred, the cover gas over the test solution was continuously swept, the released gaseous iodine was collected in the downstream and quantified. By collecting gaseous inorganic iodine (I₂) and organic iodines separately with species selective filters, their time dependent release behaviors were measured simultaneously.

Gaseous iodine release was observed during the irradiation. Parametric effects on the iodine release were examined for pH, temperature, inorganic impurities including iron and chlorine ions, a combined influence of organic impurity (MIBK) and oxygen concentration, and hydrogen concentration. The results showed the following:

- pH change 5.5–9 caused more than two orders of change in the iodine release, higher fractions with lower pH,
- temperature increase from 25 °C to 40 °C caused slight decrease of the iodine release, at both pH= 7 and 5.5,
- addition of ferrous ions to a concentration comparable to that of iodide did not show significant influence,
- addition of chlorine ions to concentrations 100 times of that of iodide did not show significant influence,
- decrease of oxygen concentration in the cover gas caused increase of the iodine release,
- addition of organic impurities (MIBK) caused decrease of iodine release as I_2 when the oxygen concentration was low (<2%), and increase of organic iodine release,
- 5% of hydrogen in the cover gas caused decrease of the iodine release to about half of that without hydrogen.

Cold experiments The following experiments were performed without a tracer, and the analysis of iodine and other species were solely by chemical measures.

The stability of ammonia alkaline solution, pH \sim 11, containing iodine as CsI under γ -irradiation was examined. The result showed very small fraction of iodine release, in the range of 0.1%. No significant influence of irradiation was detected.

A comparison of the influences of organic compounds, MIBK and o-xylene, on the organic iodine production was performed in a closed system. During γ -irradiation, partition of iodine in the gaseous phase as CH₃I was detected in both cases, in comparable fractions in the range 0.1% of the initial iodide inventory.

The gas-aqueous interface mass transfer coefficient in the present experimental apparatus was measured by complementary experiments as given in Appendix A. The initial and boundary conditions for each series of experiments are described in corresponding sections. Thus, the information required for computer simulation of the experiments are sufficiently provided, and, the present data are ready for references for such purposes.

Acknowledgments

The experiments described here were conducted in a contract research program with Japan Nuclear Energy Safety Organization (JNES) in fiscal years 2007–2010.

References

- M. Naritomi. Physical and chemical behaviors of radioiodine in atmospheres from degraded core to environment following nuclear reactor accident. *Hoken-Butsuri*, 22, pp.189–207, 1987. [In Japanese].
- [2] J.C. Wren, J.M. Ball, and G.A. Glowa. The chemistry of iodine in containment. Nuclear Technology, 129, pp.297–325, 2000.
- [3] Working Group on Analysis and Management of Accidents (WGAMA). State of the art report on iodine chemistry. NEA/CSNI/R(2007)/1, OECD/NEA/CSNI, 2007.
- [4] E.C. Beahm, C.F. Weber, and T.S. Kress. Iodine chemical forms in LWR severe accidents. NUREG/CR-5732, ORNL/TM-11861, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, 1991.
- [5] C.C. Lin. Chemical effects of gamma radiation on iodine in aquous solutions. Journal of Inorganic & Nuclear Chemistry, 42, pp.1101–1107, 1980.
- [6] C.B. Ashmore, J.R. Gwyther, and H.E. Sims. Some effects of pH on inorganic iodine volatility in containment. *Nulcear Engineering and Design*, 166, pp.347–355, 1996.
- [7] C.B. Ashmore, D. Brown, S. Dickinson, and H.E. Sims. Measurement of the radiolytic oxidation of aqueous CsI using a sparging apparatus. *Nuclear Technology*, 129, pp.387–397, 2000.
- [8] F. Taghipour and G.J. Evans. Radioiodine volatilization in the presence of organic compounds. *Nuclear Technology*, 134, pp.208–220, 2001.
- [9] F. Taghipour and G.J. Evans. Iodine behavior under conditions relating to nuclear reactor accidents. *Nuclear Technology*, 137, pp.181–193, 2002.
- [10] ISO/ASTM International. Practice for use of a ceric-cerous sulfate dosimetry system, 2002. ISO/ASTM 51205.
- [11] K. Moriyama, S. Tashiro, N. Chiba, F. Hirayama, Y. Maruyama, H. Nakamura, and A. Watanabe. Experiments on the release of gaseous iodine from gamma-irradiated aqueous CsI solution and influence of oxygen and methyl isobutyl ketone (MIBK). *Journal of Nuclear Science and Technology*, 47(3), pp.229–237, 2010.
- [12] E.C. Beahm, R.A. Lorenz, and C.F. Weber. Iodine evolution and pH control. NUREG/CR-5950, ORNL/TM-12242, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, 1992.
- [13] J. C. Wren and J. M. Ball. LIRIC 3.2 an updated model for iodine behaviour in the presence of organic impurities. *Radiation Physics and Chemistry*, 60, pp.577–596, 2001.
- [14] S. Dickinson and H. E. Sims. Development of the INSPECT model for the prediction of iodine volatility from irradiated solutions. *Nuclear Technology*, 129, pp.374–386, 2000.

- [15] K. Moriyama, Y. Maruyama, and H. Nakamura. Kiche: a simulation tool for kinetics of iodine chemistry in the containment of light water reactors under severe accident conditions. JAEA-Data/Code 2010-034, Japan Atomic Energy Agency, 2011.
- [16] J. Ball et al. ISP 41 containment iodine computer code exercise based on a Radioiodine Test Facility (RTF) experiment. NEA/CSNI/R(2000)6/vol.1–2, OECD/NEA, 2000.
- [17] Japan Nuclear Energy Safety Organization. FY2003, Technical examinations related to countermeasures for aging (Studies on the evaluation techniques for aging of cables in nuclear power plants), 2004. [in Japanese].
- [18] Japan Nuclear Energy Safety Organization. FY2006, Experiments on the PWR sump screen clogging, 2004. [in Japanese].
- [19] J. C. Wren, J. M. Ball, and G. A. Glowa. The interaction of iodine with organic material in containment. *Nuclear Technology*, 125, pp.337–362, 1999.
- [20] L. Cantrel. Radiochemistry of iodine: outcomes of the CAIMAN program. Nuclear Technology, 156, pp.11–28, 2006.
- [21] FY2009–2010, study on maintenance of the containment performance in the late phase of severe accidents (tests on gaseous iodine release), FY2009 report. Japan Nuclear Energy Safety Organization (JNES) (http://www.jnes.go.jp/gijyutsu/), 2010.
- [22] R. Hamazaki, K. Arai, K. Murakami, et al. Study of hydrogen removal system for severe accident (1). Atomic Energy Society of Japan 2005 Annual Meeting, 2005.
- [23] PPG Protective & Marine Coatings. Product data for Amercoat 90S. http://ppgamercoatbv.ppgpmc.com/, 2011.
- [24] PPG Protective & Marine Coatings. Material safety data sheet for Amercoat 65 thinner. http://ppgamercoatus.ppgpmc.com/, 2007.
- [25] J. Dewulf, D. Drijvers, and H. van Langenhove. Measurements of Henry's law constant as function of temperature and salinity for the low temperature range. *Atmospheric Environment*, 29, pp.323–331, 1995.
- [26] G.A. Glowa and J.C. Wren. Aqueous-gas partitioning and hydrolysis of organic iodides. Canadian Journal of Chemistry, 81, pp.230–243, 2003.
- [27] J.C. Wren, D.J. Jobe, G.G. Sanipelli, and J.M. Ball. Dissolution of organic solvents from painted surfaces into water. *Canadian Journal of Chemistry*, 78, pp.464–473, 2000.
- [28] Kagaku kogaku kyokai, editor. Bussei teisu 3 shu (Physical Properties 3). Maruzen, 1965. [In Japanese].
- [29] The Japan Society of Mechanical Engineers. JSME Data Book: Heat Transfer (4th edition), 1994. [in Japanese].
- [30] Kagaku-Kogaku Kyokai, editor. *Kagaku Kogaku Binran 5th ed.* Maruzen, 1988. [in Japanese].
- [31] N. Girault, S. Dickinson, F. Funke, E. Krausmann, H. Bruchertseifer, R. Cripps, D. Powers, A. Auvinen, L. Herranz, R. Caponetti, and M. Ogino. PHEBUS-FP containment chemistry interpretation circle: FPT-1 final interpretation report. NOTE TECHNIQUE DPAM/SEMIC 2004/06 PHEBUS FP/IP 03-545, Institute de Radioprotection et de Sûreté Nucléaire, 2004.

- [32] C.R. Wilke and P. Chang. Correlation of diffusion coefficients in dilute solutions. AIChE Journal, 1, pp.264–270, 1955.
- [33] R.B. Bird, W.E. Stewart, and E.N. Lightfoot. Transport Phenomena, chapter 16.5. John Wiley & Sons, 1960.
- [34] The Japan Society of Mechanical Engineers, editor. *JSME Steam Tables*. The Japan Society of Mechanical Engineers, 1981.
- [35] R. Kubo, S. Nagakura, H. Inokuchi, and Y. Ezawa, editors. *Rikagaku-jiten*, 4th ed. Maruzen, 1987.
- [36] National Astronomical Observatory of Japan, editor. Rika-nenpyo. Maruzen, 2002.

Appendix A Evaluation of the Interface Mass Transfer Coefficient

A.1 Purpose

When we want to obtain information about the liquid phase chemical processes from the gaseous iodine release experiment with a swept system as described in Chapter 2, we need to know the gas-liquid interface mass transfer coefficient to separate the effect of the chemical processes in the liquid and that of the inter face mass transfer. We performed the complementary experiment described in this section to suffice that need.

A.2 Method

The following equations express the definitions and relationships of variables concerned in the interface mass transfer based on the double boundary theory,

$$N = k_g(C_g - C_{gi}) = -k_l(C_l - C_{li}) = K_{mt}(HC_g - C_l),$$
(A.1)

$$1/K_{mt} = H/k_q + 1/k_l. (A.2)$$

where, the variables denote

N : interface mass flux (mol/dm²s),

 C_g, C_l : bulk concentrations in the gas and the liquid phases (mol/dm³),

 C_{gi} , C_{li} : concentrations at the boundary in the gas side and the liquid side (mol/dm³),

 k_g, k_l : mass transfer coefficients at the gas side and the liquid side (dm/s),

 K_{mt} : overall mass transfer coefficient (dm/s),

H : gas-liquid partition coefficient ($\equiv C_l/C_g$ at the equilibrium).

The inverse mass transfer coefficients, H/k_g , $1/k_l$ and $1/K_{mt}$, mean interface resistances in the gas side, in the liquid side and the overall, respectively. When the cover gas is swept like the present case, the gas phase is well mixed, and the mass transfer resistance $(1/K_{mt})$ is dominated by that in the liquid side, e.g. $1/K_{mt} \sim 1/k_l$. The liquid side mass transfer coefficient depends on the diffusion of the solute in the liquid as follows[30, 31],

$$k_l \sim D/\delta$$
 or $k_l \sim D^n$ $(n = 0.5 \sim 1),$ (A.3)

where D and δ denote the diffusion coefficient of the solute and the boundary layer thickness in the liquid side. The dependence of the mass transfer coefficient on the diffusivity, Eq.(A.3), is of the power $n = 0.5 \sim 1$. The diffusivity can be evaluated by the Wilke-Chang empirical equation[32, 33] for diffusivity of a dilute solute A in a solvent B,

$$D = 7.4 \times 10^{-8} \frac{(\psi_B M_B)^{0.5} \theta}{\mu V_A^{0.6}} \quad (\text{cm}^2/\text{s}), \tag{A.4}$$

where the variables denote

- ψ_B : association parameter (= 2.6 for water),
- M_B : molecular weight of the solute,
- θ : temperature (K),
- μ : viscosity of the solvent (approximately of water) (cp),
- V_A : molecular volume of the solute as liquid at the normal boiling point (cm³/mol).

This equation, reportedly giving the diffusivity of non-dissociating solutes within 10%[33], shows a dependence on temperature by $\sim \theta/\mu$ (assuming the solvent viscosity is a function of temperature) and on the solute material by $\sim V_A^{-0.6}$. Thus, the dependence of the overall mass transfer coefficient on these factors would be

$$K_{mt} \sim \frac{\theta}{\mu V_A^{0.6}} \tag{A.5}$$

by taking $n \sim 1$ in Eq.(A.3).

Assume a closed volume with gas and liquid phases, with an inlet and an exit in the gas phase through which the gas phase is swept by a constant flow. The evolution of the gas phase and liquid phase concentrations due to the interface mass transfer is expressed by the following,

$$\frac{dC_g}{dt} = \frac{A_i K_{mt}}{V_g} (C_l - HC_g) + \frac{q_g}{V_g} (C_{gin} - C_g),$$
(A.6)

$$\frac{dC_l}{dt} = -\frac{A_i K_{mt}}{V_l} (C_l - HC_g), \qquad (A.7)$$

where A_i , V_g and V_l are the interface area, gas volume and the liquid volume, respectively; q_g and C_{gin} are the sweep gas flow rate (dm³/s) and the concentration of the solute in the sweep gas at the inlet, respectively. When the concentration of the solute in the sweep gas is kept constant, $C_g \simeq C_{gin} = \text{const.}$, an approximated solution of the liquid phase concentration becomes

$$X = \exp(-K_{mt}T). \tag{A.8}$$

The variables X and T are normalized concentration and time, respectively, defined by

$$X = (C_l - HC_g) / (C_l^i - HC_g),$$
(A.9)

$$T = t(A_i/V_l), \tag{A.10}$$

where C_l^i is the initial liquid phase concentration. Thus, we can obtain K_{mt} by fitting the evolution of the liquid phase concentration to Eq.(A.8).

Figures A.1 and A.2 are the experimental set-up for the measurement of the evolution of liquid phase concentrations of oxygen and iodine, respectively. We measured the mass transfer coefficient of oxygen for many runs changing various parameters, and that of iodine for a standard condition.

In those experiments, test solution 100 mL was prepared in a 300 mL (nominal) separable flask and the flask was covered by the lid designed for the gaseous iodine experiment. The cover gas was swept by air or nitrogen and the solution was stirred by a glass coated 6 mm (dia.)× 25 mm stir bar during a run. We took as the standard condition the temperature 25°C, the stir revolution rate 350rpm and the gas flow rate 1L/min.

For the oxygen measurement, water was used as the test solution and a polarographic dissolved oxygen (DO) electrode (850A, Thermo) was inserted directly into the test water. For the iodine measurement, saturated solution of I_2 (about 10^{-3} M) was used as the test solution. The outlet gas from the vessel was bubbled into a trap containing NaOH 0.2 M solution. The

JAEA-Research 2011-016



Figure A.1: Measurement of the interface mass transfer coefficient with oxygen.

gaseous I_2 transferred into the trap undergoes the following chemical equilibria.

 $\begin{array}{rcccc} \mathrm{I}_2 + \mathrm{OH}^- & \longleftrightarrow & \mathrm{I}_2 \mathrm{OH}^- \\ & \mathrm{I}_2 \mathrm{OH}^- & \longleftrightarrow & \mathrm{HOI} + \mathrm{I}^- \\ & \mathrm{HOI} & \longleftrightarrow & \mathrm{IO}^- + \mathrm{H}^+ \\ & \mathrm{I}_2 + \mathrm{I}^- & \longleftrightarrow & \mathrm{I}_3^- \end{array}$

At the pH of the trap solution (~13), practically, I_2 produces the same amount of I⁻. Then, monitoring I⁻ concentration in the trap is equivalent to monitoring I_2 coming out of the test solution. An ion selective electrode (ISE) for iodide (9653, Thermo) was inserted into the trap to monitor the I⁻ concentration there.

The oxygen mass transfer was measured by the following procedures. At the start of every run, the vessel was swept by air until the test water became saturated with air. Next, the vessel was purged of oxygen with nitrogen, during which the oxygen concentration decrease was monitored. (transfer of oxygen from the water to the cover gas) Then, the vessel was swept with air again, and the increase of oxygen concentration was monitored. (transfer of oxygen from the cover gas to the water)

The iodine mass transfer was measured by sweeping the vessel containing the I_2 solution with air. The concentration of I^- in the trap was monitored during the run.

A.3 Results

Figure A.3 shows the measurement result of I⁻ concentration in the trap. The normalized I₂ concentration (Eq.(A.9)) in the test solution deduced from it is plotted against the normalized time (Eq.(A.10)) in Fig. A.4. The experimental result was well fitted by Eq.(A.9), and the overall mass transfer coefficient, $K_{mt} = 1.2 \times 10^{-4}$ dm/s, was obtained. Measurements on various samples were done for checking the mass balance of iodine as summarized in Table A.1. The trap efficiency obtained from the mass balance was considered in the data in Fig. A.4.

Table A.2 summarizes the results of the experiments. In the oxygen experiments, influences of various water conditions and procedures were examined because we suspected that small amount of impurities or other factors may affect the interface transfer. The result showed that

JAEA-Research 2011-016



Figure A.2: Measurement of the interface mass transfer coefficient with iodine.



Figure A.3: Evolution of the iodide ion concentration in the trap.



Figure A.4: Evolution of the normalized iodine concentration in the test solution.

i ubic ii		mass transfer m	
Sample	Method of measurement	Conc.(M)/ Mass (mol)	Note
Test solution (before)	The initial I₂ conc. based on the preparation	8.55E-04 (M)	=A
	I- conc. in the solution after I_2 release	1.57E-05 (M)	iodine remained in the solution as I-
Test solution (after)	I- conc. in the solution after 5M NaOH 4mL was added and it turned basic (pH>13)	4.65E-04 (M)	
	The above conc. compensated for the volume increase	4.83E-04 (M)	I- after all I ₂ was converted to I- in the basic condition
	Final I₂ conc. evaluated based on the equilibrium	4.68E-04 (M)	=В
	I- conc. at the end	1.56E-04 (M)	
	I- mass in the trap (220mL)	3.42E-05 (mol)	
Trap solution (0.2M NaOH)	The absorbed l₂ mass evaluated based on the equilibrium	3.42E-05 (mol)	=C
	Absorption efficiency of the trap, t _{reff}	8.83E-01 (-)	=C/{(A-B)x0.1}

Table A.1: Mass balance in the iodine mass transfer measurement.

the overall transfer coefficient, K_{mt} , mostly scattered in the range $2 \times 10^{-4} - 6 \times 10^{-4}$ dm/s. The following trends were observed.

- Stirring by a magnet stirrer and its preriod did not affect.
- Fresh pure water (by Milli-Q, >18MΩm, TOC <30ppb) showed high transfer coefficients.
- CsI and methylethylketone (MEK) did not affect.
- Storing in a glass bottle did not affect.
- Exposure to the room air or storing in a plastic bottle may decrease the transfer coefficient.

Runs with pure water stored for a while in a glass bottle at the standard condition showed transfer coefficients around 4×10^{-4} dm/s with a good reproducibility. Then, influences of the temperature, stir revolution rate and gas flow rate were examined. Also, the difference between oxygen and iodine was examined in terms of the dependence indicated by Eq.(A.5). Among those factors, the influence of the gas flow rate was insignificant. The influence of other factors are shown in Figs. A.5–A.7. The trend was as follows. The stir revolution had nearly linear impact on the transfer coefficient. The dependence on the temperature, including the indirect effect through viscosity, was weaker than expected by Eq.(A.5) though they agreed qualitatively. The dependence on the molecular volume of the solute was stronger than expected by Eq.(A.5) though they agreed qualitatively. Considering those results, we added a linear dependence on the stir revolution ~ R, and developed the following empirical expression for the overall interface mass transfer coefficient in the present experimental system.

$$K_{mt} = K_{mt}^{0} \frac{R}{R^{0}} \frac{(\theta/\mu)}{(\theta^{0}/\mu^{0})} \left(\frac{V_{A}^{0}}{V_{A}}\right)^{0.6}$$
(A.11)

where the superscript 0 means the standard condition: oxygen, 25 °C and 350 rpm stir. The standard mass transfer coefficient is $K_{mt} = 4 \times 10^{-4} \text{ dm/s}$. The water viscosity μ (Pa·s) is given by

$$\mu = 1/(-2.759 \times 10^{-4} \,\theta^3 + 0.3562\theta^2 - 113.3\theta + 10550) \tag{A.12}$$

where θ is temperature (K). This expression is based on the data in Ref. [34], and valid for temperatures 274–450K.

Figure A.8 shows the comparison between the experimental data and the empirical equation Eq.(A.11). Table A.3 shows the molecular volumes of several materials for reference.

Run No.	Stir revolution (rpm)	Gas flow rate (SLM)	Temperature (°C)	K _{mt} (10 ^{-₄} dm/s)	Note on the water quality etc.
1-8	350	1	23-27	1.8-2.0	water stored in a polyethylene bottle for 1 month
9-12,15-18	350	1	21-23	3.2-4.0	fresh pure water (18.2ΜΩm,TOC=14ppb)
13-14	350	1	23	3.9-4.2	1e-4M Csl
19-20	350	1	23	4.1-5.0	pure water stored in a glass beaker for 1 day
21-22	350	1	24-25	2.5-3.2	pure water stored in a polyethylene bottle for 1 day
25-26	350	1	21-22	4.1-5.1	fresh pure water
27-28	350	1	22	3.8-4.6	1e-5M MEK
29-30	350	1	23	3.6-4.2	1e-4M MEK
31-34	350	1	22	3.6-4.8	1e-3M MEK
35-44	350	1	21-23	4.4-5.6	no difference between fresh pure water and that exposed to the room air for 1.5h
A1-A2	350	1	20-22	3.7-3.9	pure water stored in a separable flask sealed with parafilm for 1 day
В1-В2	350	1	21	4.0-4.3	pure water stored in a separable flask sealed with parafilm for 1 day during stirred
C1-C2	350	1	20-21	3.5-3.8	pure water stored in a separable flask exposed to the room air for 1 day
D1-D2	350	1	21	4.0-4.4	water used in the case 44 was kept in a separable flask sealed for 1 day
E1,E2,Ea1,Ea2	350	1	22	5.2-6.3	fresh pure water
A@5/21a,b	350	1	18-19	4.6-5.6	pure water sealed in a glass bottle and kept in a dark place for 5 days
B@5/21a,b	350	1	21	4.7-5.8	fresh pure water
A@5/24a,b,a-re,b-re	350	1	22-24	3.7-4.4	pure water sealed in a glass bottle and kept in a dark place for 5 days and in a bright place for 3 days
A@5/24a420,b420	420	1	24	5.1-5.5	water used in the above
A@5/24a500,b500,a5 00-re,b500-re	500	1	23-24	6.1-6.6	water used in the above
A@5/24a2L,b2L	350	2	23-24	3.6-3.7	water used in the above
A@5/24a0.5L,b0.5L	350	0.5	24	3.6-3.7	water used in the above
A5/24a10C,b10C	350	1	9*	2.0-2.4	water used in the above
A5/24a40C,b40C	350	1	38	4.4-5.1	water used in the above
lodine transfer test (2007)	350	1	21	1.2	
lodine transfer test (2006)	340	1	25	1.5-2	stir bar 11(dia.)-33mm
lodine transfer test by	110	4.2	RT	1.5	vessel 850mL
Taghipour	380	4.2	RT	4.5	vessel 850mL

Table A.2: Measurement results of the interface mass transfer coefficient.

SLM: mass flow rate indicated by the volume flow rate at 0°C, 1 atm (L/min) RT: room temperature=(20-25°C)

*: In the low temperature cases, the temperature in the vessel air was about 5°C higher than in the water; it might have caused some problem in the temperature compensation in the probe



Figure A.5: Dependence of K_{mt} on the revolution of the stirrer.



Figure A.6: Dependence of K_{mt} on temperature.



Figure A.7: Dependence of K_{mt} on the molar volume of volatile species.



Figure A.8: Synthesized correlation for the dependence of K_{mt} .

Molecular Density Molecular $1/V_{A}^{0.6}$ $1/V_A^{0.6}$ ratio Note (condition Material to O_2 weight (g/cm³) volume (V_A) for the referred (cm³/mol) density) 32.0 1.000 **O**₂ 1.144 28.0 0.1355 −183°C -195.8°C 0.808 0.1192 0.879 N_2 28.0 34.7 0.0708 28.2 -253°C H_2 2.0 0.1347 0.994 253.8 3.710 0.0792 185°C 68.4 0.585 I_2 CH₃I 141.9 2.293 61.9 0.0841 0.621 18°C C_2H_5I 156.0 1.929 80.9 0.0717 0.529 20°C $CH_3(CH_2)_2I$ 170.0 1.746 97.4 0.0641 0.473 20°C (CH₃)₂CHI 170.0 1.704 99.8 0.0632 0.466 20°C

Table A.3: Molecular volumes of various materials.[35, 36]

Appendix B Data Sheets

B.1 Gaseous Iodine Release Experiments

Experimental condition Run No. 19-01

 Kun No.
 19-01

 Solution
 pH=5.5 (Buffer: 0.1M B(OH)3-1.5e-5M NaOH), Csl 1e-4M, I-131 37kBq

 Volume/Stir
 100mL/350rpm-6(dia.)x25 glass stir bar

 Gas
 Air 1L/min

 Dose rate
 7.60 kGy/h

 Date
 2007/06/28

 Irradiation schedule
 Sweep start

 Irrad. start
 12:14

 Irrad. end
 14:34

15:04

Activity measurement

Sweep end

Run No.:	19-01	Date:	2007/06/28		(Activity com	pensation at 12:0	0)
	Start of measurement	Period (s)	Net count	Relative error(%)	Activity (Bq)	Compensated activity(Bq)	lodine fraction*
Solution before irrad. 1mL	07/06/28 12:51	300	31940	0.93	371.860	372.999	1.0000
Solution after irrad. 1mL	07/06/28 16:02	300	9802	1.86	114.127	115.796	3.10E-01
1: Ag-1	07/06/28 16:44	300	592960	0.23	18445.458	18762.523	5.03E-01
2: Ag-2	07/06/28 16:52	300	82682	0.62	2571.852	2617.317	7.02E-02
3: Ag-3	07/06/29 15:36	600	7164	2.61	111.423	123.065	3.30E-03
4: Ag-4	07/06/28 17:06	300	101	-	-	-	-
5: Ag-5	07/06/29 15:12	300	79	-	-	-	-
6: AgNO3-1	07/06/29 09:30	3600	5757	6.17	15.823	17.097	4.58E-04
7: AgNO3-2	07/06/29 14:54	300	29	-	-	-	-
8: AgNO3-3	07/06/29 15:02	300	164	-	-	-	-
* Fraction to total inventory Activity values for solution samples were for whole solution						Sum for filter 1-8 Frac. in solution Loss	5.769E-01 3.104E-01 1.126E-01

Chemical measurements

Run No.	pH		I- cor	I- remained	
	Before	After	Before	After	fraction
19-01	5.355	5.629	9.98E-05	2.62E-05	2.62E-01

Filter No.	Frac. in whole	Frac. in filters						
Ag (for I2)								
1	0.503018	8.719E-01						
2	0.070169	1.216E-01						
3	0.003299	5.719E-03						
4	-	-						
5	-	-						
AgNO3 (for Or	AgNO3 (for Org-I)							
6	0.000458	7.945E-04						
7	-	-						
8	-	-						



Count efficiency(calibrated)=

0.1187

Experimental condition Run No. 19-02 Solution pH=7.0 (Buffer: 0.1M B(OH)3-5.72e-4M NaOH), Csl 1e-4M, I-131 37kBq Volume/Stir Gas Air 1L/min Z 44 kGv/b 7.44 kGy/h 2007/08/29 Dose rate Date Irradiation schedule 10:48 11:08 13:08 13:38 Sweep start Irrad. start Irrad. end

Activity measurement

Sweep end

Run No.:	19-02	Date:	2007/08/29		(Activity compe	ensation at 12:00)	
	Start of	Period	Net count	Relative	Activity	Compensated	lodine
	measurement	(s)		error(%)	(Bq)	activity(Bq)	fraction*
Solution	07/08/29 10:54	300	39780	0.79	463.136	461.305	1.000E+00
before irrad.							
1mL							
Solution after	07/08/29 14:32	300	36331	0.81	423.010	426.886	8.79E-01
irrad. 1mL							
1: Ag-1	07/08/29 15:36	300	89100	0.54	2771.671	2807.833	6.09E-02
2: Ag-2	07/08/29 15:42	300	43306	0.80	1347.138	1365.205	2.96E-02
3: Ag-3	07/08/29 15:54	600	8911	2.20	138.595	140.554	3.05E-03
4: Ag-4	07/08/29 16:33	600	1129	11.07	17.560	17.850	3.87E-04
5: Ag-5	07/08/29 16:45	600	702	16.95	10.918	11.107	2.41E-04
6: AgNO3-1	07/08/29 16:01	1800	5749	4.23	31.598	32.058	6.95E-04
7: AgNO3-2	07/08/29 16:56	300	-	-	-	-	-
8: AgNO3-3	07/08/29 17:01	300	-	-	-	-	-
* Fraction to total inventory						Sum for filter 1-8	9.48E-02
Activity values	for solution samp	les were for w	vhole solution			Frac. in solution	8.79E-01
						Loss	2.61E-02
					Water loss by	evaporation(%)	5.00

Chemical measurements

Run No.	рН		I- co	I- remained	
	Before	After	Before	After	fraction
19-02	6.746	6.673	9.98E-05	7.97E-05	7.98E-01

Filter No.	Frac. in whole	Frac. in filters
Ag (for I2)		
1	6.087E-02	6.418E-01
2	2.959E-02	3.121E-01
3	3.047E-03	3.213E-02
4	3.869E-04	4.080E-03
5	2.408E-04	2.539E-03
AgNO3 (for Or	g-l)	
6	6.949E-04	7.328E-03
7	-	-
8	-	-



0

Count efficiency(calibrated)=

2000

4000

6000

Time (s)

8000

12000

10000

0.1146

Experimental condition Run No. 19-03

 Run No.
 19-03

 Solution
 pH=9.0 (Buffer: 0.1M B(OH)3-3.65e-2M NaOH), Csl 1e-4M, I-131 37kBq

 Volume/Stir
 100mL/350rpm-6(dia.)x25 glass stir bar

 Gas
 Air 1L/min

 Dose rate
 7.42 kGy/h

 Date
 2007/09/04

 Irradiation schedule
 Sweep start
 10:36

 Irrad. end
 12:56

 Sweep end
 13:26

Activity measurement

Run No.:	19-03	Date:	2007/09/04		(Activity compe	ensation at 12:00)	
	Start of measurement	Period (s)	Net count	Relative error(%)	Activity (Bq)	Compensated activity(Bq)	lodine fraction*
Solution before irrad. 1mL	07/09/04 10:42	300	40767	0.76	474.659	472.442	1.0000
Solution after irrad. 1mL	07/09/04 14:27	300	40857	0.80	475.707	479.922	9.76E-01
1: Ag-1	07/09/04 14:42	600	17877	1.32	278.054	280.770	5.94E-03
2: Ag-2	07/09/04 14:56	1800	6239	3.78	32.346	32.690	6.92E-04
3: Ag-3	07/09/04 16:00	1800	2464	8.69	12.775	12.960	2.74E-04
4: Ag-4	07/09/04 16:40	600	262	45.0	4.074	-	-
5: Ag-5	07/09/04 16:52	353	101	87.1	2.673	-	-
6: AgNO3-1	07/09/04 15:27	1800	8751	3.03	48.106	48.707	1.03E-03
7: AgNO3-2	07/09/04 17:00	303	-129	-	-	-	-
8: AgNO3-3	07/09/04 17:07	295	27	293	-	-	-
* Fraction to total inventory Activity values for solution samples were for whole solution						Sum for filter 1-8 Frac. in solution Loss	7.94E-03 9.76E-01 1.58E-02
					Water loss by	evaporation(%)	3.90

Chemical measurements

Run No.	рН		l- co	I- remained	
	Before	After	Before	After	fraction
19-03	8.412	8.388	9.98E-05	8.87E-05	8.89E-01

Filter No.	Frac. in whole	Frac. in filters
Ag (for I2)		
1	5.943E-03	7.485E-01
2	6.919E-04	8.714E-02
3	2.743E-04	3.455E-02
4	-	-
5	-	-
AgNO3 (for Org-I)		
6	1.031E-03	1.298E-01
7	-	-
8	-	-



Increasing trend before the start of irrad. Suspected remnant of the previous run released from the pipe. No increase after irradiation; the pipe was cleaned during this run?

Count efficiency(calibrated)=

0.1450

Experimental condition Run No. 19-03r pH=9.0 (Buffer: 0.1M B(OH)3-1.50e-5M NaOH), Csl 1e-4M, I-131 37kBq 100mL/350rpm-6(dia.)x25 glass stir bar Solution Volume/Stir Gas Air 1L/min Dose rate 7.23 kGy/h 2007/11/13 Date Irradiation schedule Sweep start Irrad. start Irrad. end Sweep end 13:18 13:38 15:38 16:08

Activity measurement

Run No.:	19-03r	Date:	2007/11/13		(Activity comp	ensation at 12:00)	
	Start of measurement	Period (s)	Net count	Relative error(%)	Activity (Bq)	Compensated activity(Bq)	lodine fraction*
Solution before irrad. 1mL	07/11/13 13:30	1800.0	190006	0.37	370.712	373.056	1.0000
Solution after irrad. 1mL	07/11/13 16:18	1800.0	198241	0.36	386.775	393.164	1.042E+00
1: Ag-1	07/11/13 17:25	1800.0	15814	1.75	81.989	83.679	2.243E-03
2: Ag-2	07/11/13 18:42	323.8	71	122.54	2.046	-	-
3: Ag-3	07/11/13 18:49	410.9	90	104.44	2.044	-	-
4: Ag-4	07/11/13 18:57	305.4	72	109.7	2.200	-	-
5: Ag-5	07/11/13 19:04	302.1	12	633.3	0.371	-	-
6: AgNO3-1	07/11/13 18:02	1800.0	1427	15.21	7.844	8.024	2.151E-04
7: AgNO3-2	07/11/13 19:10	320.3	-29	-	0	-	-
8: AgNO3-3	07/11/13 19:17	313.6	-13	-	0	-	-
* Fraction to total inventory Activity values for solution samples were for whole solution					Sum for filter 1-8 Frac. in solution	2.46E-03 1.04E+00	
ν				Water loss by	evaporation(%)	<u>-4.48E-02</u> 1.10	

Chemical measurements

Run No.	pH		l- co	onc.	I- remained	
	Before	After	Before	After	fraction	
19-03r	9.10	9.09	9.98E-05	1.50E-04	1.51E+00	
					I- measureme	nt problem?

Filter No.	Frac. in whole	Frac. in filters
Ag (for I2)		
1	2.243E-03	9.125E-01
2	-	-
3	-	-
4	-	-
5	-	-
AGINU3 (for Urg-I)		
6	2.151E-04	8.750E-02
7	-	-
8	-	-



JAEA-Research 2011-016

Experimental condition Run No. Solution Volume/Stir Gas Dose rate	19-04r pH=7.0 (Buffer: 0.1M B 100mL/350rpm-6(dia.)x N2 1L/min 7.23 kGy/h	(OH)3-5.72e-4M NaOH), Csl 1e-4M, I-131 37kBq 25 glass stir bar
Date	2007/11/15	
inadiation schedule		10.50
	Sweep start	10:59
	Irrad. start	11:39
	Irrad. end	13:39
	Sweep end	14:09

Activity measurement

Run No.:	19-04r	Date:	2007/11/15		(Activity com	pensation at 12:0	0)
	Start of	Period	Net count	Relative	Activity	Compensated	lodine
	measurement	(s)		error(%)	(Bq)	activity(Bq)	fraction*
Solution before irrad. 1mL	07/11/15 13:30	1800.0	196001	0.36	382.405	384.822	1.0000
Solution after irrad. 1mL	07/11/15 14:22	1800.0	106726	0.50	208.226	210.197	5.312E-01
1: Ag-1	07/11/15 15:14	404.1	667652	0.19	15419.422	15603.140	4.057E-01
2: Ag-2	07/11/15 15:23	604.9	231	49.35	3.564	3.609	9.382E-05
3: Ag-3	07/11/15 16:11	493.8	75	138.67	1.417	-	-
4: Ag-4	07/11/15 16:21	507.0	49	218.4	0.902	-	-
5: Ag-5	07/11/15 16:31	303.2	23	356.5	0.708	-	-
6: AgNO3-1	07/11/15 15:35	1094.2	11425	2.02	103.316	104.715	2.722E-03
7: AgNO3-2	07/11/15 15:54	472.6	-75	-	0	-	-
8: AgNO3-3	07/11/15 16:30	405.2	-95	-	0	-	-
* Fraction to total in	ventory					Sum for filter 1-8	4.08E-01
Activity values for s	Activity values for solution samples were for whole solution					Frac. in solution	5.31E-01
Sample mass(g)	Solution mass	Sample				Loss	6.03E-02
Before	99.0	0.9905					
After	97.7	1.0051					

Chemical measurements

 initeat initeateat entiteine	•				
Run No.	рН		I- co	I- remained	
	Before	After	Before	After	fraction
19-04r	6.72	6.77	9.98E-05	5.01E-05	5.02E-01

Filter No.	Frac. in whole	Frac. in filters
Ag (for I2)		
1	4.057E-01	9.931E-01
2	9.382E-05	2.297E-04
3	-	-
4	-	-
5	-	-
AgNO3 (for Org-I)		
6	2.722E-03	6.665E-03
7	-	-
8	-	-



JAEA-Research 2011-016

Experimental conditi	on					
Run No.	19-05					
Solution	pH=9.0 (Buffer: 0.1M B	B(OH)3-3.65e-2M NaOH), Csl 1e-4M, I-131 37kBq				
Volume/Stir	100mL/350rpm-6(dia.)	100mL/350rpm-6(dia.)x25 glass stir bar				
Gas	N2 1L/min	-				
Dose rate	7.31 kGy/h	1				
Date	2007/10/16					
Irradiation sched	lule					
	Sweep start	10:38				
	Irrad. start	10:58				
	Irrad. end	14:58 *long irradiation: 4h				
	Sweep end	15:28				

Activity measurement

Run No.:	19-05	Date:	2007/10/16		(Activity comp	ensation at 12:00)	
	Start of	Period	Net count	Relative	Activity	Compensated	lodine
	measurement	(S)		error(%)	(Bd)	activity(Bq)	fraction
Solution before irrad. 1mL	07/10/17 09:55	486.8	41196	0.80	295.608	319.881	1.0000
Solution after irrad. 1mL	07/10/17 09:42	453.1	39598	0.81	305.235	330.042	9.740E-01
1: Ag-1	07/10/16 16:40	300.0	8738	1.93	271.799	276.404	8.641E-03
2: Ag-2	07/10/16 16:47	1444.7	5127	4.39	33.119	33.694	1.053E-03
3: Ag-3	07/10/16 18:10	1800.0	1520	14.41	7.881	8.057	2.519E-04
4: Ag-4	07/10/16 18:41	400.1	387	25.8	9.028	9.247	2.891E-04
5: Ag-5	07/10/16 18:49	449.1	97	112.4	2.015	-	-
6: AgNO3-1	07/10/16 17:13	1800.0	5510	4.39	30.289	30.863	9.648E-04
7: AgNO3-2	07/10/16 18:00	461.8	91	113.19	1.950	-	-
8: AgNO3-3	07/10/16 17:52	311.5	-153	-	0	-	-
* Fraction to total	inventory					Sum for filter 1-8	1.12E-02
Activity values for solution samples were for whole solution					Frac. in solution	9.74E-01	
					Loss	1.48E-02	
					Water loss by	evaporation(%)	5.60

Chemical measurements

Run No.	рН		I- co	I- remained	
	Before	After	Before	After	fraction
19-05	9.125	9.129	9.98E-05	6.99E-05	7.01E-01

Filter No.	Frac. in whole	Frac. in filters
Ag (for I2)	•	
1	8.641E-03	7.715E-01
2	1.053E-03	9.405E-02
3	2.519E-04	2.249E-02
4	2.891E-04	2.581E-02
5	-	-
AgNO3 (for Org-I)		
6	9.648E-04	8.615E-02
7	-	-
8	-	-



Count efficiency(calibrated)=

0.1600

Experimental condition Run No. Solution Volume/Stir	19-06m pH=7.0 (Buffer: 0.1M B 100mL/350rpm-6(dia.)»	(OH)3-5.72e-4M Na (25 glass stir bar	aOH), Csl 1e-4M, F	⁻ e^2+ 1e-4M, I-131 37kBq	
Gas Dose rate Date Irradiation schedule	Air 1L/min 7.219 kGy/h 2007/11/20		*Fe^2+: FeSO4 7 solution 121mL	H2O 0.1Maq 0.121mL added to the te	st
	Sweep start Irrad. start Irrad. end Sweep end	11:50 12:21 14:21 14:51			

Activity measurement

19-06m	Date:	2007/11/20	(Activity compensation at 12:00)			
Start of	Period	Net count	Relative	Activity	Compensated	lodine
measurement	(s)		error(%)	(Bq)	activity(Bq)	fraction*
07/11/20 11:43	1800.0	200228	3.6E-1	390.656	390.609	1.0000
07/11/20 15:05	1800.0	175503	3.8E-1	342.416	346.551	8.666E-01
07/11/20 15:52	509.6	206974	3.3E-1	3790.432	3844.554	9.900E-02
07/11/20 16:03	613.8	29220	9.8E-1	444.276	450.941	1.161E-02
07/11/20 16:14	302.4	111	7.3E+1	3.426	-	-
07/11/20 16:54	376.1	32	2.8E+2	0.794	-	-
07/11/20 17:01	384.8	32	2.9E+2	0.776	-	-
07/11/20 16:21	1800.0	1642	1.3E+1	9.026	9.177	2.363E-04
07/11/20 17:09	327.1	49	1.7E+2	1.482	-	-
07/11/20 17:16	302.9	52	1.5E+2	1.699	-	-
* Fraction to total inventory					Sum for filter 1-8	1.11E-01
Activity values for solution samples were for whole solution					Frac. in solution	8.67E-01
Solution mass	Sample				Loss	2.25E-02
98.7	0.9928					
97.2	1.0010					
	Start of measurement 07/11/20 11:43 07/11/20 15:52 07/11/20 15:52 07/11/20 15:52 07/11/20 16:54 07/11/20 16:54 07/11/20 16:54 07/11/20 16:54 07/11/20 16:54 07/11/20 16:54 07/11/20 17:01 07/11/20 17:16 ventory olution samples 98.7 97.2	IS-00m Date: Start of Period measurement (s) 07/11/20 11:43 1800.0 07/11/20 15:55 1800.0 07/11/20 15:52 509.6 07/11/20 16:03 613.8 07/11/20 16:54 376.1 07/11/20 16:54 376.1 07/11/20 17:01 384.8 07/11/20 17:09 327.1 07/11/20 17:09 327.1 07/11/20 17:16 302.9 ventory solution samples were for whol Solution mass Sample 98.7 0.9928 97.2 1.0010	Ig-Jom Date: 200//11/20 Start of Period Net count measurement (s) 07/11/20 07/11/20 11:43 1800.0 200228 07/11/20 15:05 1800.0 175503 07/11/20 15:52 509.6 206974 07/11/20 16:03 613.8 29220 07/11/20 16:14 302.4 111 07/11/20 16:54 376.1 32 07/11/20 16:21 1800.0 1642 07/11/20 16:21 1800.0 1642 07/11/20 17:16 302.9 52 orentory serie for whole solution Solution mass Sample 98.7 0.9928 97.2 1.0010	IS-06m Date: 2007/11/20 Start of Period Net count Relative error(%) 07/11/20 11:43 1800.0 200228 3.6E-1 07/11/20 15:05 1800.0 175503 3.8E-1 07/11/20 15:52 509.6 206974 3.3E-1 07/11/20 16:03 613.8 29220 9.8E-1 07/11/20 16:14 302.4 111 7.3E+1 07/11/20 16:54 376.1 32 2.8E+2 07/11/20 17:01 384.8 32 2.9E+2 07/11/20 16:21 1800.0 1642 1.3E+1 07/11/20 17:09 327.1 49 1.7E+2 07/11/20 17:16 302.9 52 1.5E+2 ventory soution samples were for whole solution Solution mass Sample 98.7 0.9928 97.2 1.0010 97.2	Ig-Uem Date: 2007/11/20 Relative error(%) Activity (B) Start of measurement Period Net count Relative error(%) Activity (Bq) 07/11/20 11:43 1800.0 200228 3.6E-1 390.656 07/11/20 15:05 1800.0 175503 3.8E-1 342.416 07/11/20 15:52 509.6 206974 3.3E-1 342.416 07/11/20 16:03 613.8 29220 9.8E-1 444.276 07/11/20 16:14 302.4 111 7.3E+1 3.426 07/11/20 16:54 376.1 32 2.8E+2 0.794 07/11/20 16:21 1800.0 1642 1.3E+1 9.026 07/11/20 17:01 384.8 32 2.9E+2 0.776 07/11/20 17:01 382.1 49 1.7E+2 1.482 07/11/20 17:16 302.9 52 1.5E+2 1.699 ventory sample 98.7 0.9928 97.2 1.0010	Ig-Uem Date: 200//11/20 Relative error(%) Activity compensated activity(Bq) 07/11/20 11:43 1800.0 200228 3.6E-1 390.656 390.609 07/11/20 15:05 1800.0 175503 3.8E-1 342.416 346.551 07/11/20 15:52 509.6 206974 3.3E-1 3790.432 3844.554 07/11/20 16:03 613.8 29220 9.8E-1 444.276 450.941 07/11/20 16:14 302.4 111 7.3E+1 3.426 - 07/11/20 16:54 376.1 32 2.8E+2 0.794 - 07/11/20 16:21 1800.0 1642 1.3E+1 9.026 9.177 07/11/20 17:01 384.8 32 2.9E+2 0.776 - 07/11/20 17:09 327.1 49 1.7E+2 1.482 - 07/11/20 17:16 302.9 52 1.5E+2 1.699 - 07/11/20 17:16 302.9 52 1.5E+2 1.699 - 07/11/20 17:16

After	97.2

Chemical measurements

Run No.	pH		I- conc.		I- remained
	Before	After	Before	After	fraction
19-06m	6.85	6.73	9.98E-05	8.11E-05	8.12E-01

Filter No.	Frac. in whole	Frac. in filters				
Ag (for I2)						
1	9.900E-02	8.931E-01				
2	1.161E-02	1.048E-01				
3	-	-				
4	-	-				
5	-	-				
AgNO3 (for Org-I)						
6	2.363E-04	2.132E-03				
7	-	-				
8	-	-				


Experimental condition	40.07	
Run No.	19-07m	
Solution Volume/Stir	pH=7.0 (Buffer: 0.1M B 100mL/350rpm-6(dia.)»	(OH)3-5.72e-4M NaOH), CsI 1e-4M, MIBK 1e-4M, I-131 37kBq) <25 glass stir bar
Gas	Air 1L/min	
Dose rate	7.19 kGy/h	
Date	2007/11/30	
Irradiation schedul	e	
	Sweep start	11:01
	Irrad. start	11:31
	Irrad. end	13:31
	Sweep end	14:00

Activity measurement

Run No.:	19-07m	Date:	2007/11/30 (Activity compensation at 12:00)				00)
	Start of measurement	Period (s)	Net count	Relative error(%)	Activity (Bq)	Compensated activity(Bg)	lodine fraction*
Solution before irrad. 1mL	07/11/30 11:07	1800.0	205681	3.6E-1	401.295	400.381	1.0000
Solution after irrad. 1mL	07/11/30 14:12	1800.0	190779	3.7E-1	372.216	375.514	9.126E-01
1: Ag-1	07/11/30 15:14	531.2	189319	3.4E-1	3325.994	3365.836	8.333E-02
2: Ag-2	07/11/30 15:24	1235.9	1379	1.2E+1	10.413	10.548	2.611E-04
3: Ag-3	07/11/30 16:21	175.6	22	3.0E+2	1.169	-	-
4: Ag-4	07/11/30 16:25	151.7	-4	-	0	-	-
5: Ag-5	07/11/30 16:29	152.7	83	6.7E+1	5.071	-	-
6: AgNO3-1	07/11/30 15:47	1800.0	4070	5.3E+0	22.373	22.701	5.620E-04
7: AgNO3-2	07/11/30 16:32	151.2	-42	-	0	-	-
8: AgNO3-3	07/11/30 16:37	152.3	-18	-	0	-	-
* Fraction to total in	nventory					Sum for filter 1-8	8.41E-02
Activity values for s	solution samples	were for whol	e solution			Frac. in solution	9.13E-01
Sample mass(g)	Solution mass	Sample				Loss	3.23E-03
Before	100.1	0.9922					
After	98.6	1.0044					

Chemical measurements

inited inteded of them					
Run No.	pH		I- co	I- remained	
	Before	After	Before	After	fraction
19-07m	6.99	6.92	9.98E-05	7.98E-05	7.99E-01

Filter fraction data

Filter No.	Frac. in whole	Frac. in filters
Ag (for I2)		
1	8.333E-02	9.902E-01
2	2.611E-04	3.103E-03
3	-	-
4	-	-
5	-	-
AgNO3 (for Org-I)		
6	5.620E-04	6.678E-03
7	-	-
8	-	-

No significant adsorption in the up-stream coupler of the top sampler (as in 19-08m). A temperature control mistake caused too high temperatures. No troubles such as condensation in the samplers.



Experimental condition		
Run No.	19-08m	
Solution	pH=7.0 (Buffer: 0.1M B 水溶液0.2mL添加(1e-4	(OH)3-5.72e-4M NaOH), Csl 1e-4M, I-131 37kBq; 照射直前に0.05M MIBK M)
Volume/Stir	100mL/350rpm-6(dia.)>	<25 glass stir bar
Gas	N2 1L/min	
Dose rate	7.20 kGy/h	
Date	2007/11/27	
Irradiation schedule	9	
	Sweep start	10:56
	Irrad. start	11:27
	Irrad. end	13:27

13:57

Activity measurement

Sweep end

Run No.:	19-08m	Date:	2007/11/27 (Activity compensation at 12:00)				
	Start of	Period	Net count	Relative	Activity	Compensated	lodine
	measurement	(s)		error(%)	(Bq)	activity(Bq)	fraction*
Solution before irrad. 1mL	07/11/27 11:00	1800.0	187395	3.7E-1	365.618	364.632	1.0000
Solution after irrad. 1mL	07/11/27 14:14	1800.0	150803	4.2E-1	294.222	296.864	8.088E-01
1: Ag-1	07/11/27 15:33	401.6	181067	3.5E-1	4207.775	4262.762	1.164E-01
2: Ag-2	07/11/27 15:42	1012.2	1636	9.9E+0	15.084	15.294	4.175E-04
3: Ag-3	07/11/27 16:33	605.1	511	2.4E+1	7.881	8.013	2.187E-04
4: Ag-4	07/11/27 16:44	655.4	468	2.6E+1	6.664	6.781	1.851E-04
5: Ag-5	07/11/27 16:57	336.6	110	8.2E+1	3.050	-	-
6: AgNO3-1	07/11/27 16:01	1800.0	35706	1.0E+0	196.281	199.320	5.440E-03
7: AgNO3-2	07/11/27 17:04	152.0	-70	-	0	-	-
8: AgNO3-3	07/11/27 17:08	150.5	-26	-	0	-	-
* Fraction to total inventory					Sum for filter 1-8	1.23E-01	
Activity values for se	olution samples v	vere for whole	e solution			Frac. in solution	8.09E-01
Sample mass(g)	Solution mass	Sample]			Loss	6.86E-02
Before	99.7	0.9923					
After	98.4	0.9859					

Chemical measurements

Run No.	рН		l- co	I- remained	
	Before	After	Before	After	fraction
19-08m	6.99	6.96	9.98E-05	6.52E-05	6.54E-01

Filter fraction data

Filter No.	Frac. in whole	Frac. in filters
Ag (for I2)		
1	1.164E-01	9.489E-01
2	4.175E-04	3.405E-03
3	2.187E-04	1.784E-03
4	1.851E-04	1.509E-03
5	-	-
AgNO3 (for Org-I)		
6	5.440E-03	4.437E-02
7	-	-
8	-	-

A significant adsorption was indicated at the up-stream coupler of the top sampler. 480cps(>1.5kBq) Large loss, 6.9%, compared to the release, 12%. A large part of the loss should be in the coupler.



Tapered PTFE rings were inserted at the entrance of samplers; that probably enhanced the efficiency of the detection.

Experimental condition Run No.	19-09	
Solution Volume/Stir	pH=7.0 (Buffer: 0.1M B(100mL/350rpm-6(dia.)x2	OH)3-5.72e-4M NaOH), Csl 1e-4M, I-131 37kBq; NaCl 1e-3M 25 glass stir bar
Gas	Air 1L/min	
Dose rate	7.00 kGy/h	
Date	2008/02/14	
Irradiation schedule	Э	
	Sweep start	11:03
	Irrad. start	11:33
	Irrad. end	13:33
	Sweep end	14:03

Activity measurement

Run No.:	19-09	Date:	2008/02/14	(Activity compensation at 12:00)			
	Start of	Period	Net count	Relative	Activity	Compensated	lodine
	measurement	(s)		error(%)	(Bq)	activity(Bq)	fraction*
Solution before	08/02/14 17:27	1800.0	186587	3.7E-1	364.037	371.586	1.0000
irrad. 1mL							
Solution after	08/02/14 14:22	1800.0	170651	3.9E-1	332.946	336.098	8.989E-01
irrad. 1mL							
1: Ag-1	08/02/14 15:12	337.2	142752	3.9E-1	3951.222	3997.686	1.067E-01
2: Ag-2	08/02/14 15:22	1800.0	3909	5.4E+0	20.266	20.532	5.480E-04
3: Ag-3	08/02/14 16:37	1473.2	1160	1.5E+1	7.348	7.477	1.996E-04
4: Ag-4	08/02/14 17:03	600.0	203	5.2E+1	3.157	-	-
5: Ag-5	08/02/14 17:14	154.2	115	4.6E+1	6.959	-	-
6: AgNO3-1	08/02/14 16:04	1800.0	4113	5.4E+0	22.610	22.964	6.130E-04
7: AgNO3-2	08/02/14 17:18	156.2	-24	-	0	-	-
8: AgNO3-3	08/02/14 17:23	153.9	-40	-	0	-	-
* Fraction to total inventory					Sum for filter 1-8	1.08E-01	
Activity values for solution samples were for whole solution					Frac. in solution	8.99E-01	
Sample mass(g)	Solution mass	Sample]			Loss	-6.94E-03

mple mass(g)	Solution mass	Sample
Before	101.4	1.0057
After	100.1	0.9991

Chemical measurements

Run No.	pH		l- co	I- remained	
	Before	After	Before	After	fraction
19-09	7.03	7.00	9.96E-05	9.30E-05	9.33E-01

Filter fraction data

Filter No.	Frac. in whole	Frac. in filters
Ag (for I2)		
1	1.067E-01	9.874E-01
2	5.480E-04	5.071E-03
3	1.996E-04	1.847E-03
4	-	-
5	-	-
AgNO3 (for Org-I)		
6	6.130E-04	5.672E-03
7	-	-
8	-	-



Experimental condition		
Run No.	20-01	
Solution	pH=7.0 (Buffer: 0.1M B the start of irrad.)	(OH)3-5.72e-4M NaOH), Csl 1e-4M, I-131 37kBq; MIBK 1e-3M(added at
Volume/Stir	100mL/350rpm-6(dia.)>	<25 glass stir bar
Gas	Air 1L/min	
Dose rate	6.91 kGy/h	
Date	2008/06/18	
Irradiation schedule	9	
	Sweep start	11:14
	Irrad. start	11:44
	Irrad. end	13:44
	Sweep end	14:14

Activity measurement

Run No.:	20-01	Date:	2008/06/18	8 (Activity compensation at 12:00)			
	Start of	Period	Net count	Relative	Activity	Compensated	lodine
	measurement	(s)		error(%)	(Bq)	activity(Bq)	fraction*
Solution before irrad. 1mL	08/06/18 11:18	1800.0	358768	2.6E-1	699.968	698.835	1.0000
Solution after irrad. 1mL	08/06/18 15:20	1800.0	303076	2.8E-1	591.318	598.997	8.652E-01
1: Ag-1	08/06/18 16:03	314.1	211291	3.4E-1	6278.068	6371.291	9.163E-02
2: Ag-2	08/06/18 16:10	637.6	3697	4.1E+0	54.109	54.945	7.902E-04
3: Ag-3	08/06/18 16:49	300.0	1167	6.9E+0	36.300	36.941	5.313E-04
4: Ag-4	08/06/18 16:57	300.0	360	2.3E+1	11.198	11.401	1.640E-04
5: Ag-5	08/06/18 17:04	300.0	529	1.8E+1	16.456	16.761	2.411E-04
6: AgNO3-1	08/06/18 16:25	1327.7	37405	9.8E-1	278.770	283.427	4.076E-03
7: AgNO3-2	08/06/18 17:12	210.0	36	1.7E+2	1.696	-	-
8: AgNO3-3	08/06/18 17:17	157.9	-107	-	0	-	-
* Fraction to total inventory						Sum for filter 1-8	9.74E-02
Activity values for solution samples were for whole s			e solution			Frac. in solution	8.65E-01
Sample mass(g)	Solution mass	Sample]			Loss	3.74E-02
Before	100.1	1.0061					
After	100.7	1.0027					

Chemical measurements

Run No.	pH		I- co	I- remained	
Before A		After	Before	After	fraction
20-01	6.93	6.37	9.98E-05	8.06E-05	8.08E-01

Filter fraction data

Filter No.	Frac. in whole	Frac. in filters
Ag (for I2)		
1	9.163E-02	9.404E-01
2	7.902E-04	8.110E-03
3	5.313E-04	5.453E-03
4	1.640E-04	1.683E-03
5	2.411E-04	2.474E-03
AgNO3 (for Org-I)		
6	4.076E-03	4.184E-02
7	-	-
8	-	-

Adsorption was found at the up-stream coupler of the top sampler: 80cps(about 300Bq); Loss was relatively small.



Tapered PTFE rings were inserted at the inlet of samplers.

Experimental cond	ition	
Run No.	20-02	
Solution	pH=7.0 (Buffer: 0. the start of irrad.)	1M B(OH)3-5.72e-4M NaOH), Csl 1e-4M, I-131 37kBq; MIBK 1e-3M(added at
Volume/Stir	100mL/350rpm-6(dia.)x25 glass stir bar
Gas	N2 1L/min	
Dose rate	6.89 k	Gy/h
Date	2008/06/25	
Irradiation sche	edule	
	Sweep start	10:47
	Irrad. start	11:17
	Irrad. end	13:17
	Sweep end	^{14:07} The filter activity continued to increase after the irrad, end; the

14:07 The filter activity continued to increase after the irrad. end; the sweep was extended a till flat trend was confirmed.

Activity measurement

Run No.:	20-02	Date:	2008/06/25		(Activity com	pensation at 1	2:00)
	Start of	Period	Net count	Relative	Activity	Compensated	lodine
	measurement	(s)		error(%)	(Bq)	activity(Bq)	fraction*
Solution before	08/06/25 10:51	1789.4	194845	3.6E-1	382.405	381.166	1.0000
irrad. 1mL							
Solution after	08/06/25 15:24	1800.0	184981	3.6E-1	360.904	365.679	9.768E-01
irrad. 1mL							
1: Ag-1	08/06/25 16:10	609.4	30600	9.4E-1	468.618	475.846	1.265E-02
2: Ag-2	08/06/25 16:36	600.0	1508	8.3E+0	23.454	23.853	6.339E-04
3: Ag-3	08/06/25 16:55	600.0	970	1.2E+1	15.087	15.361	4.082E-04
4: Ag-4	08/06/25 17:11	152.1	34	1.7E+2	2.086	-	-
5: Ag-5	08/06/25 17:15	150.5	-28	-	0	-	-
6: AgNO3-1	08/06/25 16:21	600.0	18320	1.4E+0	302.113	306.974	8.158E-03
7: AgNO3-2	08/06/25 16:51	152.2	-57	-	0	-	-
8: AgNO3-3	08/06/25 17:19	160.1	-11	-	0	-	-
* Fraction to total inventory					Su Su	Im for filter 1-8	2.18E-02
Activity values for	solution samples	were for who	ole solution		Fi	rac. in solution	9.77E-01
Sample mass(g)	Solution mass	Sample]			Loss	1.34E-03
Before	003	1 0050	1		-		

ample mass(g)	Solution mass	Sample
Before	99.3	1.0059
After	99.9	0.9939

Chemical measurements

Run No.	pH Before After		l- co	I- remained	
			Before After		fraction
20-02	7.16	7.10	9.98E-05	8.38E-05	8.40E-01

Filter fraction data

Filter No.	Frac. in whole	Frac. in filters
Ag (for I2)		
1	1.265E-02	5.789E-01
2	6.339E-04	2.902E-02
3	4.082E-04	1.869E-02
4	-	-
5	-	-
AgNO3 (for Org-I)		
6	8.158E-03	3.734E-01
7	-	-
8	-	-

Adsorption was found at the up-stream coupler of the top sampler: 13cps(about 50Bq); Loss was small.





Distribution in filters

20-02 💻

0.1

1-5: Ag filters 6-8: AgNO3 filters

Tapered PTFE rings were inserted at the inlet of samplers.

Experimental condition		
Run No.	20-03	
Solution	pH=7.0 (Buffer: 0 start of irrad.)	.1M B(OH)3-5.72e-4M NaOH), Csl 1e-4M, I-131 37kBq; MIBK 1e-3M(added at the
Volume/Stir	100mL/350rpm-6	(dia.)x25 glass stir bar
Gas	Ar 1L/min ((mass flow controller correction factor=1.4, setting=0.714SLM)
Dose rate	6.87	kGy/h
Date	2008/07/03	
Irradiation schedule	Ð	
	Sweep start	12:08
	Irrad. start	12:38
	Irrad. end	14:38

Activity measurement

Sweep end

Run No.:	20-03	Date:	2008/07/03	3 (Activity compensation at 12:00)			
	Start of measurement	Period (s)	Net count	Relative error(%)	Activity (Bq)	Compensated activity(Bq)	lodine fraction*
Solution before irrad. 1mL	08/07/03 12:26	1800.0	188735	3.7E-1	368.232	369.140	1.0000
Solution after irrad. 1mL	08/07/03 16:16	1800.0	180114	3.7E-1	351.412	357.174	9.798E-01
1: Ag-1	08/07/03 16:52	1201.6	48811	7.9E-1	379.084	386.018	1.055E-02
2: Ag-2	08/07/03 17:44	604.2	626	2.0E+1	9.669	9.874	2.700E-04
3: Ag-3	08/07/03 17:55	601.0	552	1.9E+1	8.572	8.759	2.395E-04
4: Ag-4	08/07/03 18:09	317.2	195	4.2E+1	5.737	5.867	1.604E-04
5: Ag-5	08/07/03 18:16	150.9	78	6.5E+1	4.823	-	-
6: AgNO3-1	08/07/03 17:13	1800.0	35340	1.0E+0	194.269	198.131	5.417E-03
7: AgNO3-2	08/07/03 18:19	234.1	97	6.2E+1	4.101	-	-
8: AgNO3-3	08/07/03 18:24	152.9	6	8.5E+2	0.388	-	-
* Fraction to total inventory					Sum for filter 1-8	1.66E-02	
Activity values for solution samples were for whole solution					Frac. in solution	9.80E-01	
Sample mass(g)	Solution mass	Sample]			Loss	3.53E-03
Before	99.6	1.0053					
After	100.7	1.0037					

Chemical measurements

Run No.	рН		- co	I- remained	
	Before	After	Before	After	fraction
20-03	6.98	6.96	9.98E-05	8.78E-05	8.80E-01

15:08

Filter fraction data

Filter No.	Frac. in whole	Frac. in filters
Ag (for I2)		
1	1.055E-02	6.342E-01
2	2.700E-04	1.622E-02
3	2.395E-04	1.439E-02
4	1.604E-04	9.639E-03
5	-	-
AgNO3 (for Org-I)		
6	5.417E-03	3.255E-01
7	-	-
8	-	-

Adsorption was found at the up-stream coupler of the top sampler: 13cps(about 50Bq); Loss was small. A slight white suspension was observed after irrad. (not checked in the case with N2 gas)



Tapered PTFE rings were inserted at the inlet of samplers.

Experimental condition Run No.	20-04	
Solution Volume/Stir Temperature	pH=7.0 (Buffer: 0.1M B 100mL/350rpm-6(dia.)x 40C	(OH)3-5.72e-4M NaOH), Csl 1e-4M, I-131 37kBq 25 glass stir bar
Gas	Air 1L/min	
Dose rate	6.79 kGy/h	
Date	2008/08/07	
Irradiation schedule		
	Sweep start	10:48
	Irrad. start	11:18
	Irrad. end	13:18
	Sweep end	13:48

Activity measurement

Run No.:	20-04	Date:	2008/08/07		(Activity com	pensation at 12:	00)
	Start of measurement	Period	Net count	Relative error(%)	Activity (Ba)	Compensated activity(Bg)	lodine fraction*
Solution before irrad. 1mL	08/08/07 10:58	1800.0	228025	3.3E-1	444.889	443.636	1.0000
Solution after irrad. 1mL	08/08/07 15:08	1800.0	216177	3.4E-1	421.773	426.943	9.301E-01
1: Ag-1	08/08/07 15:40	600.6	101370	5.2E-1	1575.210	1596.624	3.655E-02
2: Ag-2	08/08/07 16:24	600.0	25368	1.1E+0	394.567	400.988	9.179E-03
3: Ag-3	08/08/07 16:37	600.0	947	1.4E+1	14.729	14.981	3.429E-04
4: Ag-4	08/08/07 16:48	600.0	481	2.2E+1	7.481	7.614	1.743E-04
5: Ag-5	08/08/07 17:00	151.7	-93	-	0	-	-
6: AgNO3-1	08/08/07 15:52	1800.0	3053	7.0E+0	16.783	17.033	3.899E-04
7: AgNO3-2	08/08/07 17:03	151.5	27	2.0E+2	1.763	-	-
8: AgNO3-3	08/08/07 17:07	151.5	32	1.8E+2	2.090	-	-
* Fraction to total in	ventory					Sum for filter 1-8	4.66E-02
Activity values for s	olution samples v	were for whole	e solution			Frac. in solution	9.30E-01
Sample mass(g)	Solution mass	Sample]			Loss	2.32E-02
Before	98.9	1.0044					
After	94.9	0.9972					

Chemical measurements

Run No.	рН		I- co	I- remained	
	Before	After	Before	After	fraction
20-04	7.01	6.98	9.98E-05	9.34E-05	9.36E-01

Filter fraction data

Filter No.	Frac. in whole	Frac. in filters
Ag (for I2)		
1	3.655E-02	7.837E-01
2	9.179E-03	1.968E-01
3	3.429E-04	7.353E-03
4	1.743E-04	3.737E-03
5	-	-
AgNO3 (for Org-I)		
6	3.899E-04	8.361E-03
7	-	-
8	-	-

Adsorption was found at the up-stream coupler of the top sampler: 48cps(about 170Bq), PTFE ring: 3cps(about 20Bq) Condensation in the top sampler.

0.1

20-04 🗖

12345678





Experimental condition Run No.	20-05	
Solution Volume/Stir Temperature	pH=5.5 (Buffer: 0.1M B 100mL/350rpm-6(dia.)» 40C	(OH)3-1.50e-5M NaOH), Csl 1e-4M, I-131 37kBq ‹25 glass stir bar
Gas	Air 1L/min	
Dose rate	6.71 kGy/h	
Date	2008/09/09	
Irradiation schedul	е	
	Sweep start	10:50
	Irrad. start	11:20
	Irrad. end	13:20
	Sweep end	13:50

Activity measurement

Run No.:	20-05	Date:	2008/09/09		(Activity com	pensation at 12:	00)
	Start of	Period	Net count	Relative	Activity	Compensated	lodine
	measurement	(s)		error(%)	(Bq)	activity(Bq)	fraction*
Solution before irrad. 1mL	08/09/09 11:10	1800.0	270490	3.0E-1	527.735	526.628	1.0000
Solution after irrad. 1mL	08/09/09 14:59	1800.0	111342	4.9E-1	217.234	219.778	4.030E-01
1: Ag-1	08/09/09 15:31	354.2	1041718	1.6E-1	27444.946	27799.599	5.357E-01
2: Ag-2	08/09/09 16:12	600.0	9089	2.1E+0	141.368	143.565	2.767E-03
3: Ag-3	08/09/09 16:23	600.0	777	1.6E+1	12.085	12.281	2.367E-04
4: Ag-4	08/09/09 16:35	163.4	47	1.2E+2	2.684	-	-
5: Ag-5	08/09/09 16:40	156.6	-64	-	0	-	-
6: AgNO3-1	08/09/09 15:39	1800.0	8022	3.0E+0	44.098	44.722	8.618E-04
7: AgNO3-2	08/09/09 16:43	163.5	-78	-	0	-	-
8: AgNO3-3	08/09/09 16:47	157.3	12	4.5E+2	0.755	-	-
* Fraction to total inventory				S	Sum for filter 1-8	5.40E-01	
Activity values for solution samples were for whole solution					Frac. in solution	4.03E-01	
Sample mass(g)	Solution mass	Sample				Loss	5.74E-02

Sample mass(y)	Solution mass	Sample
Before	98.8	1.0027
After	94.6	0.9943

Chemical measurements

Run No.	рН		l- co	I- remained	
	Before	After	Before	After	fraction
20-05	5.60	5.66	9.98E-05	3.94E-05	3.95E-01

Filter fraction data

Filter No.	Frac. in whole	Frac. in filters
Ag (for I2)		
1	5.357E-01	9.928E-01
2	2.767E-03	5.127E-03
3	2.367E-04	4.386E-04
4	-	-
5	-	-
AgNO3 (for Org-I)		
6	8.618E-04	1.597E-03
7	-	-
8	-	-

Adsorption was found at the up-stream coupler of the top sampler: 12cps(about 40Bq), PTFE ring: 2cps(about 10Bq) Condensation in the top sampler.





Experimental condition Run No.	20-06	
Solution Volume/Stir Temperature	pH=7.0 (Buffer: 0.1M B 100mL/350rpm-6(dia.)» 25C	(OH)3-5.72e-4M NaOH), Csl 1e-4M, I-131 37kBq, MIBK 1e-3M k25 glass stir bar
Gas	N2-2%O2 1L/min	
Dose rate	6.67 kGy/h	
Date	2008/09/25	
Irradiation schedul	е	
	Sweep start	11:03
	Irrad. start	11:33
	Irrad. end	13:33
	Sweep end	14:03

Activity measurement

Run No.:	20-06	Date:	2008/09/25	5 (Activity compensation at 12:00)			
	Start of	Period	Net count	Relative	Activity	Compensated	lodine
	measurement	(S)		error(%)	(Bq)	activity(Bq)	fraction*
Solution before irrad. 1mL	08/09/25 11:08	1800.0	192871	3.6E-1	376.298	375.463	1.0000
Solution after irrad. 1mL	08/09/25 14:41	1800.0	176405	3.8E-1	344.176	347.830	9.343E-01
1: Ag-1	08/09/25 16:24	1201.1	141832	4.3E-1	1101.997	1120.268	2.991E-02
2: Ag-2	08/09/25 17:07	613.3	1403	9.6E+0	21.349	21.752	5.808E-04
3: Ag-3	08/09/25 17:19	631.6	1268	9.7E+0	18.735	19.103	5.101E-04
4: Ag-4	08/09/25 17:30	304.2	547	1.7E+1	16.783	17.121	4.571E-04
5: Ag-5	08/09/25 17:36	321.9	387	2.3E+1	11.220	11.451	3.057E-04
6: AgNO3-1	08/09/25 16:45	1221.5	69083	6.7E-1	559.622	569.623	1.521E-02
7: AgNO3-2	08/09/25 17:43	170.2	25	2.4E+2	1.453	-	-
8: AgNO3-3	08/09/25 17:47	153.1	-58	-	0	-	-
* Fraction to total in	iventory					Sum for filter 1-8	4.70E-02
Activity values for s	solution samples	were for whol	e solution			Frac. in solution	9.34E-01
Sample mass(g)	Solution mass	Sample]			Loss	1.87E-02
Before	99.6	0.9985	*Before=befo	re addition of	MIBK solutio	n	
After	100.4	0.9980					

Chemical measurements

Run No.	pH		I- co	I- remained	
	Before	After	Before	After	fraction
20-06	7.04	6.96	9.98E-05	7.70E-05	7.71E-01

Filter fraction data

Filter No.	Frac. in whole	Frac. in filters
Ag (for I2)	•	
1	2.991E-02	6.368E-01
2	5.808E-04	1.236E-02
3	5.101E-04	1.086E-02
4	4.571E-04	9.732E-03
5	3.057E-04	6.509E-03
AgNO3 (for Org-I)		
6	1.521E-02	3.238E-01
7	-	-
8	-	-

Adsorption was found at the up-stream coupler of the top sampler: 4cps(about 13Bq), PTFE ring: 1cps.





Experimental condition Run No.	20-07	
Solution Volume/Stir Temperature	pH=7.0 (Buffer: 0.1M B 100mL/350rpm-6(dia.)x 25C	(OH)3-5.72e-4M NaOH), Csl 1e-4M, I-131 37kBq ‹25 glass stir bar
Gas	N2-2%O2 1L/min	
Dose rate	6.64 kGy/h	
Date	2008/10/08	
Irradiation schedule	e	
	Sweep start	10:02
	Irrad. start	10:32
	Irrad. end	12:32
	Sweep end	13:02

Activity measurement

Run No.:	20-07	Date:	2008/10/08 (Activity compensation at 12:00)				00)
	Start of	Period	Net count	Relative	Activity	Compensated	lodine
	measurement	(s)		error(%)	(Bq)	activity(Bq)	fraction*
Solution before irrad. 1mL	08/10/08 10:22	1800.0	222115	3.4E-1	433.359	431.205	1.0000
Solution after irrad. 1mL	08/10/08 13:15	1800.0	189225	3.7E-1	369.188	371.188	8.578E-01
1: Ag-1	08/10/08 14:08	1311.5	702604	1.9E-1	4999.512	5041.369	1.192E-01
2: Ag-2	08/10/08 14:32	800.9	608	2.3E+1	7.085	7.152	1.692E-04
3: Ag-3	08/10/08 15:50	602.8	72	1.7E+2	1.115	-	-
4: Ag-4	08/10/08 15:24	638.2	319	3.6E+1	4.664	4.723	1.117E-04
5: Ag-5	08/10/08 15:36	300.9	-114	-	0	-	-
6: AgNO3-1	08/10/08 14:52	1800.0	2214	9.7E+0	12.171	12.308	2.911E-04
7: AgNO3-2	08/10/08 15:42	186.3	-22	-	0	-	-
8: AgNO3-3	08/10/08 15:46	174.0	21	2.8E+2	1.194	-	-
* Fraction to total in	iventory					Sum for filter 1-8	1.20E-01
Activity values for s	olution samples	vere for whole	e solution			Frac. in solution	8.58E-01
Sample mass(g)	Solution mass	Sample				Loss	2.24E-02
			1				

Cample mass(g)	001011011111111111111111111111111111111	Cample
Before	98.7	1.0067
After	98.1	1.0041

Chemical measurements

Run No.	pН		l- co	I- remained	
	Before	After	Before	After	fraction
20-07	7.03	7.02	9.98E-05	8.07E-05	8.08E-01

Filter fraction data

Filter No.	Frac. in whole	Frac. in filters
Ag (for I2)		
1	1.192E-01	9.952E-01
2	1.692E-04	1.412E-03
3	-	-
4	1.117E-04	9.324E-04
5	-	-
AgNO3 (for Org-I)		
6	2.911E-04	2.430E-03
7	-	-
8	-	-

Adsorption was found at the up-stream coupler of the top sampler: 9cps(about 10Bq), PTFE ring: 1cps. A small amount of Org-I release before irrad. Impurity of the tracer?



Tapered PTFE rings were inserted at the inlet of samplers.

Experimental condition Run No.	20-08	
Solution Volume/Stir Temperature	pH=7.0 (Buffer: 0.1M B 100mL/350rpm-6(dia.)» 25C	i(OH)3-5.72e-4M NaOH), Csl 1e-4M, I-131 37kBq ג25 glass stir bar
Gas	Air 1L/min	
Dose rate	6.55 kGy/h	
Date	2008/11/11	
Irradiation schedule	e	
	Sweep start	10:38
	Irrad. start	11:08
	Irrad. end	13:08
	Sweep end	13:38

Activity measurement

Run No.:	20-08	Date:	2008/11/11 (Activity compensation at 12:00)				
	Start of	Period	Net count	Relative	Activity	Compensated	lodine
	measurement	(s)		error(%)	(Bq)	activity(Bq)	fraction*
Solution before irrad. 1mL	08/11/11 10:26	1800.0	255500	3.1E-1	498.489	496.131	1.0000
Solution after irrad. 1mL	08/11/11 13:58	1800.0	232694	3.3E-1	453.999	457.637	9.049E-01
1: Ag-1	08/11/11 14:46	1387.9	558472	2.0E-1	3755.161	3795.390	7.637E-02
2: Ag-2	08/11/11 15:11	661.7	118	1.1E+2	1.664	-	-
3: Ag-3	08/11/11 15:55	301.2	126	5.9E+1	3.904	-	-
4: Ag-4	08/11/11 16:02	300.8	142	5.1E+1	4.405	-	-
5: Ag-5	08/11/11 16:08	223.9	11	5.9E+2	0.459	-	-
6: AgNO3-1	08/11/11 15:24	1800.0	1604	1.2E+1	8.817	8.934	1.798E-04
7: AgNO3-2	08/11/11 16:13	150.8	-86	-	0	-	-
8: AgNO3-3	08/11/11 16:16	162.5	98	5.0E+1	5.968	-	-
* Fraction to total i	nventory					Sum for filter 1-8	7.66E-02
Activity values for	solution samples	were for who	le solution			Frac. in solution	9.05E-01
Sample mass(g)	Solution mass	Sample]			Loss	1.85E-02
Defere	100.0	1 0072	1				

Sample mass(g)	001011011111111111111111111111111111111	Campie
Before	100.9	1.0073
After	99.4	1.0115

Chemical measurements

Run No.	pH		l- co	I- remained	
	Before	After	Before	After	fraction
20-08	6.97	6.91	9.98E-05	8.32E-05	8.33E-01

Filter fraction data

Filter No.	Frac. in whole	Frac. in filters
Ag (for I2)		
1	7.637E-02	9.977E-01
2	-	-
3	-	-
4	-	-
5	-	-
AgNO3 (for Org-I)		
6	1.798E-04	2.348E-03
7	-	-
8	-	-

Adsorption was found at the up-stream coupler of the top sampler: 30cps(about 100Bq), PTFE ring:none. The low room T (16C) affected T control. The final pH and Isample T might be higher than the room T.



Tapered PTFE rings were inserted at the inlet of samplers.

Experimental condition Run No.	20-09	
Solution Volume/Stir Temperature	pH=5.5 (Buffer: 0.1M B 100mL/350rpm-6(dia.)x 25C	(OH)3-1.5e-5M NaOH), Csl 1e-4M, I-131 37kBq ‹25 glass stir bar
Gas	Air 1L/min	
Dose rate	6.54 kGy/h	
Date	2008/11/19	
Irradiation schedule	Э	
	Sweep start	10:22
	Irrad. start	10:52
	Irrad. end	12:52
	Sweep end	13:22

Activity measurement

Run No.:	20-09	Date:	2008/11/19	g (Activity compensation at 12:00)			
	Start of	Period	Net count	Relative	Activity	Compensated	lodine
	measurement	(s)		error(%)	(Bq)	activity(Bq)	fraction*
Solution before irrad. 1mL	08/11/19 10:16	1800.0	202046	3.6E-1	394.203	392.103	1.0000
Solution after irrad. 1mL	08/11/19 13:36	1800.0	44467	8.3E-1	86.757	87.336	2.161E-01
1: Ag-1	08/11/27 10:38	1800.0	2665093	9.0E-2	13817.363	27473.932	7.036E-01
2: Ag-2	08/11/19 14:31	1348.7	8706	2.6E+0	60.240	60.829	1.558E-03
3: Ag-3	08/11/19 15:27	601.2	315	3.8E+1	4.890	4.952	1.268E-04
4: Ag-4	08/11/19 15:38	201.9	2	3.4E+3	0.092	-	-
5: Ag-5	08/11/19 15:43	204.8	65	9.8E+1	2.962	-	-
6: AgNO3-1	08/11/19 14:55	1800.0	6765	3.5E+0	37.188	37.615	9.634E-04
7: AgNO3-2	08/11/19 15:48	201.1	54	1.1E+2	2.658	-	-
8: AgNO3-3	08/11/19 15:52	200.9	8	8.0E+2	0.394	-	-
* Fraction to total i	nventory					Sum for filter 1-8	7.06E-01
Activity values for	solution samples	were for who	le solution			Frac. in solution	2.16E-01
Sample mass(g)	Solution mass	Sample]			Loss	7.76E-02
D (00.4	0.0000	1				

Sumple mass(g)	0010101111035	Oumpic
Before	99.4	0.9982
After	97.8	1.0124

Chemical measurements

Run No.	рН		l- co	I- remained	
	Before	After	Before	After	fraction
20-09	5.55	5.81	9.98E-05	1.87E-05	1.88E-01

Filter fraction data

Filter No.	Frac. in whole	Frac. in filters
Ag (for I2)		
1	7.036E-01	9.963E-01
2	1.558E-03	2.206E-03
3	1.268E-04	1.796E-04
4	-	-
5	-	-
AgNO3 (for Org-I)		
6	9.634E-04	1.364E-03
7	-	-
8	-	-

Ag-1 activity was too high and caused significant dead time. Re-measurement after 7 days were used. Adsorption was found at the up-stream coupler of the top sampler: 45cps(about 160Bq), PTFE ring: 28cps (about 240Bq). The low room T (16C) affected T control. The final pH and I-sample T might be higher than the room T.



Tapered PTFE rings were inserted at the inlet of samplers.

Experimental condition Run No.	20-10	
Solution Volume/Stir Temperature	pH=7.0 (Buffer: 0.1M B 100mL/350rpm-6(dia.)» 25C	(OH)3-5.72e-4M NaOH), Csl 1e-4M, I-131 37kBq, MIBK 1e-4M 25 glass stir bar
Gas	N2-2%O2 1L/min	
Dose rate	6.51 kGy/h	
Date	2008/11/28	
Irradiation schedule)	
	Sweep start	11:31
	Irrad. start	12:01
	Irrad. end	14:01
	Sweep end	14:31

Activity measurement

Run No.:	20-10	Date:	2008/11/28		(Activity com	pensation at 12:	00)
	Start of	Period	Net count	Relative	Activity	Compensated	lodine
	measurement	(s)		error(%)	(Bq)	activity(Bq)	fraction*
Solution before	08/11/28 11:24	1800.0	230399	3.3E-1	449.516	448.950	1.0000
irrad. 1mL							
Solution after irrad.	08/11/28 14:46	1800.0	205688	3.5E-1	401.309	405.691	8.895E-01
1mL							
1: Ag-1	08/11/28 15:32	1800.0	943956	1.5E-1	4894.006	4961.132	1.099E-01
2: Ag-2	08/11/28 16:36	733.8	1036	1.3E+1	13.176	13.400	2.968E-04
3: Ag-3	08/11/28 16:50	153.8	-6	-	0	-	-
4: Ag-4	08/11/28 16:54	152.8	-9	-	0	-	-
5: Ag-5	08/11/28 16:58	159.2	-32	-	0	-	-
6: AgNO3-1	08/11/28 16:05	1800.0	13394	2.0E+0	73.629	74.787	1.657E-03
7: AgNO3-2	08/11/28 17:02	151.3	-86	-	0	-	-
8: AgNO3-3	08/11/28 17:05	168.1	27	2.1E+2	1.589	-	-
* Fraction to total in	ventory					Sum for filter 1-8	1.12E-01
Activity values for s	olution samples v	were for whole	e solution			Frac. in solution	8.90E-01
Sample mass(g)	Solution mass	Sample				Loss	-1.39E-03
Before	101.1	1.0054					
After	99.7	1.0072					

Chemical measurements

Run No.	pH Before After		I- co	I- remained	
			Before After		fraction
20-10	7.12	7.03	9.98E-05	8.68E-05	8.70E-01

Filter fraction data

Filter No.	Frac. in whole	Frac. in filters	
Ag (for I2)			
1	1.099E-01	9.825E-01	
2	2.968E-04	2.654E-03	
3	-	-	
4	-	-	
5	-	-	
AgNO3 (for Org-I)			
6	1.657E-03	1.481E-02	
7	-	-	
8	-	-	

Adsorption was found at the up-stream coupler of the top sampler: 17cps(about 60Bq), PTFE ring: 3cps (about 20Bq). The low room T (14C) affected T control. The final pH and I- sample T might be higher than the room T.





Experimental condition	21_01	
Run No.		
Solution	pH=7.0 (Buffer: 0.1M B	(OH)3-5.72e-4M NaOH), CsI 1e-4M, I-131 37kBq, NaCl 1e-2M
Volume/Stir	100mL/350rpm-6(dia.)x	x25 glass stir bar
Temperature	25C	
Gas	Air 1L/min	
Dose rate	6.09 kGy/h	
Date	2009/06/02	
Irradiation schedule	e	
	Sweep start	10:45
	Irrad. start	11:15
	Irrad. end	13:15

13:45

Activity measurement

Sweep end

Run No.:	21-01	Date:	2009/06/02		(Activity com	pensation at 12:0	00)
	Start of	Period	Net count	Relative	Activity	Compensated	lodine
	measurement	(s)		error(%)	(Bq)	activity(Bq)	fraction*
Solution before	09/06/02 10:54	1800.0	304286	2.8E-1	593.679	591.865	1.0000
irrad. 1mL							
Solution after irrad.	09/06/02 14:13	1800.0	277152	3.0E-1	540.739	545.563	9.123E-01
1mL							
1: Ag-1	09/06/02 15:01	1410.5	791439	1.8E-1	5236.510	5297.435	9.069E-02
2: Ag-2	09/06/02 15:27	849.6	2531	6.0E+0	27.802	28.161	4.821E-04
3: Ag-3	09/06/02 16:16	860.4	1366	1.1E+1	14.817	15.053	2.577E-04
4: Ag-4	09/06/02 16:32	769.1	577	2.3E+1	7.002	7.120	1.219E-04
5: Ag-5	09/06/02 16:46	202.9	42	1.5E+2	1.931	-	-
6: AgNO3-1	09/06/02 15:43	1800.0	1647	1.2E+1	9.054	9.184	1.572E-04
7: AgNO3-2	09/06/02 16:51	154.5	-26	-	0	-	-
8: AgNO3-3	09/06/02 16:54	150.6	1	5.6E+3	0.066	-	-
* Fraction to total in	iventory					Sum for filter 1-8	9.17E-02
Activity values for s	olution samples	were for whol	e solution			Frac. in solution	9.12E-01
Sample mass(g)	Solution mass	Sample				Loss	-3.98E-03
Before	98.9	1.0021]				
After	97.9	1.0023					

Chemical measurements

Run No.	рН		I- co	I- remained	
Before		After	Before	After	fraction
21-01	7.06	-	9.98E-05	8.78E-05	8.80E-01

Filter fraction data

Filter No.	Frac. in whole	Frac. in filters	
Ag (for I2)			
1	9.069E-02	9.889E-01	
2	4.821E-04	5.257E-03	
3	2.577E-04	2.810E-03	
4	1.219E-04	1.329E-03	
5	-	-	
AgNO3 (for Org-I)			
6	1.572E-04	1.714E-03	
7	-	-	
8	-	-	

Adsorption was found at the up-stream coupler and PTFE ring of the top sampler: 12cps(about 40Bq). pH measurement failed, the pH data was taken by the saved buffer solution (with Cl-) the day after. A pH drop of 0.2 was plausible during the exp. according to the measurement.



PTFE rings were inserted at the entrance of the samplers; The coupler in the up-stream of the top sampler was replaced with one made of PTFE (change of the position enhanced the efficiency)

Experimental condition Run No.	21-02	
Solution Volume/Stir	pH=7.0 (Buffer: 0.1M B 100mL/350rpm-6(dia.)x	(OH)3-5.72e-4M NaOH), Csl 1e-4M, I-131 37kBq, NaCl 1e-4M 25 glass stir bar
Temperature	25C	
Gas	Air 1L/min	
Dose rate	6.08 kGy/h	
Date	2009/06/10	
Irradiation schedul	e	
	Sweep start	11:11
	Irrad. start	11:41
	Irrad. end	13:41
	Sweep end	14:11

Activity measurement

Run No.:	21-02	Date:	2009/06/10		(Activity com	pensation at 12:0	00)
	Start of	Period	Net count	Relative	Activity	Compensated	lodine
	measurement	(s)		error(%)	(Bq)	activity(Bq)	fraction*
Solution before	09/06/10 11:22	1800.0	216433	3.4E-1	422.273	421.690	1.0000
irrad. 1mL							
Solution after irrad.	09/06/10 14:24	1800.0	194422	3.6E-1	379.324	382.961	9.055E-01
1mL							
1: Ag-1	09/06/10 15:17	1204.6	424896	2.4E-1	3291.791	3332.946	8.022E-02
2: Ag-2	09/06/10 16:13	602.0	458	2.5E+1	7.100	7.211	1.736E-04
3: Ag-3	09/06/10 16:24	300.9	29	2.8E+2	0.899	-	-
4: Ag-4	09/06/10 16:31	151.1	9	6.2E+2	0.556	-	-
5: Ag-5	09/06/10 16:35	159.4	-2	-	0	-	-
6: AgNO3-1	09/06/10 15:40	1800.0	1366	1.4E+1	7.509	7.616	1.833E-04
7: AgNO3-2	09/06/10 16:39	154.9	-9	-	0	-	-
8: AgNO3-3	09/06/10 16:43	153.9	-61	-	0	-	-
* Fraction to total in	nventory					Sum for filter 1-8	8.06E-02
Activity values for s	solution samples	were for whol	e solution			Frac. in solution	9.06E-01
Sample mass(g)	Solution mass	Sample				Loss	1.39E-02
Before	99.2	1.0069					
After	98.5	1.0027					

Chemical measurements

Run No.	pH Before After		I- co	I- remained	
			Before After		fraction
21-02	6.97	6.93	9.98E-05	8.82E-05	8.84E-01

Filter fraction data

Filter No.	Frac. in whole	Frac. in filters
Ag (for I2)		
1	8.022E-02	9.956E-01
2	1.736E-04	2.154E-03
3	-	-
4	-	-
5	-	-
AgNO3 (for Org-I)		
6	1.833E-04	2.275E-03
7	-	-
8	-	-

Adsorption was found at the up-stream coupler and PTFE ring of the top sampler: 6cps(about 20Bq).



Experimental condition Run No.	21-03r	
Solution Volume/Stir Temperature	pH=7.0 (Buffer: 0.1 100mL/350rpm-6(c 25C	1M B(OH)3-5.72e-4M NaOH), Csl 1e-4M, I-131 37kBq dia.)x25 glass stir bar
Gas	N2-5%H2 1L/min	(4.80%H2 according to the analysis sheet)
Dose rate	5.82 k0	Gy/h
Date	2009/10/08	
Irradiation schedul	e	
	Sweep start	10:36
	Irrad. start	11:06
	Irrad. end	13:06
	Sweep end	13:36

Activity measurement

Run No.:	21-03r	Date:	2009/10/08		(Activity com	pensation at 12:	00)
	Start of	Period	Net count	Relative	Activity	Compensated	lodine
	measurement	(s)		error(%)	(Bq)	activity(Bq)	fraction*
Solution before	09/10/08 10:53	1800.0	218093	3.4E-1	425.511	424.186	1.0000
irrad. 1mL							
Solution after	09/10/08 13:48	1800.0	182974	3.7E-1	356.992	359.637	8.497E-01
irrad. 1mL							
1: Ag-1	09/10/08 14:45	1200.6	738337	1.8E-1	5739.071	5799.662	1.392E-01
2: Ag-2	09/10/08 15:07	725.1	271	4.2E+1	3.488	3.528	8.467E-05
3: Ag-3	09/10/08 15:55	241.3	11	5.5E+2	0.425	-	-
4: Ag-4	09/10/08 16:00	163.2	55	9.6E+1	3.146	-	-
5: Ag-5	09/10/08 16:05	154.8	-48	-	0	-	-
6: AgNO3-1	09/10/08 15:22	1800.0	1482	1.3E+1	8.147	8.254	1.981E-04
7: AgNO3-2	09/10/08 16:09	158.7	-88	-	0	-	-
8: AgNO3-3	09/10/08 16:13	204.6	-51	-	0	-	-
* Fraction to total in	nventory					Sum for filter 1-8	1.39E-01
Activity values for solution samples were for whole solution						Frac. in solution	8.50E-01
Sample mass(g)	Solution mass	Sample]			Loss	1.09E-02
Before	99.2	1.0098]				
After	98.6	1.0015					

Chemical measurements

Run No.	pH Before After		- co	I- remained	
			Before	After	fraction
21-03r	7.08	7.07	9.98E-05	7.73E-05	7.74E-01

Filter fraction data

Filter No.	Frac. in whole	Frac. in filters
Ag (for I2)		
1	1.392E-01	9.980E-01
2	8.467E-05	6.071E-04
3	-	-
4	-	-
5	-	-
AgNO3 (for Org-I)		
6	1.981E-04	1.420E-03
7	-	-
8	-	-

Adsorption was found at the up-stream coupler and PTFE ring of the top sampler: 6cps(about 20Bq).



Experimental condition Run No.	21-04r	
Solution Volume/Stir Temperature	pH=7.0 (Buffer: 0.1M B 100mL/350rpm-6(dia.)» 25C	(OH)3-5.72e-4M NaOH), Csl 1e-4M, l-131 37kBq ‹25 glass stir bar
Gas	N2 11 /min	
Dose rate	5.78 kGy/h	
Date	2009/10/28	
Irradiation schedule	e	
	Sweep start	10:43
	Irrad. start	11:14
	Irrad. end	13:14
	Sweep end	13:44

Activity measurement

Run No.:	21-04r	Date:	2009/10/28		(Activity com	pensation at 12:	00)
	Start of	Period	Net count	Relative	Activity	Compensated	lodine
	measurement	(s)		error(%)	(Bq)	activity(Bq)	fraction*
Solution before	09/10/28 10:33	1800.0	211976	3.4E-1	413.568	411.785	1.0000
irrad. 1mL							
Solution after irrad.	09/10/28 13:55	1800.0	157803	4.0E-1	307.879	310.290	7.501E-01
1mL							
1: Ag-1	09/10/28 15:04	1093.9	1023802	1.5E-1	8734.210	8836.021	2.173E-01
2: Ag-2	09/10/28 15:25	1000.7	817	1.8E+1	7.619	7.717	1.898E-04
3: Ag-3	09/10/28 16:15	400.9	234	3.9E+1	5.447	5.532	1.361E-04
4: Ag-4	09/10/28 16:24	331.8	295	2.6E+1	8.297	8.431	2.074E-04
5: Ag-5	09/10/28 16:31	300.9	94	8.4E+1	2.916	-	-
6: AgNO3-1	09/10/28 15:43	1800.0	3506	5.8E+0	19.273	19.550	4.809E-04
7: AgNO3-2	09/10/28 16:38	600.6	276	3.5E+1	4.547	4.625	1.138E-04
8: AgNO3-3	09/10/28 16:50	151.8	-56	-	0	-	-
* Fraction to total in	iventory					Sum for filter 1-8	2.18E-01
Activity values for solution samples were for whole solution				Frac. in solution	7.50E-01		
Sample mass(g)	Solution mass	Sample				Loss	3.14E-02
Before	99.9	1.0119					
After	98.7	1.0043					

Chemical measurements

Run No.	рН		I- conc.		I- remained
	Before	After	Before	After	fraction
21-04r	7.07	7.06	9.98E-05	7.35E-05	7.36E-01

Filter fraction data

Filter No.	Frac. in whole	Frac. in filters				
Ag (for I2)						
1	2.173E-01	9.948E-01				
2	1.898E-04	8.689E-04				
3	1.361E-04	6.229E-04				
4	2.074E-04	9.492E-04				
5	-	-				
AgNO3 (for Org-I)						
6	4.809E-04	2.201E-03				
7	1.138E-04	5.207E-04				
8	-	-				

Adsorption was found at the up-stream coupler and PTFE ring of the top sampler: 2cps(about 8Bq).



Count efficiency(calibrated)=

0.1950 (Ag sampler) 0.1210 (AgNO3 sampler)

Experimental condition Run No.	22-01		
Solution Volume/Stir Temperature	pH=7.0 (Buffer: 0.1M B(OH)3-5.72e-4M NaOH), Csl 1e-4M, I-131 37kBc 100mL/350rpm-6(dia.)x25 glass stir bar 25C		
Gas Dose rate	Ar-5%H2 1L/min 5.29 kGy/h		
Date Irradiation schedul	2010/06/29 e		
	Sweep start	10:56	
	Irrad. start Irrad. end Sweep end	11:27 13:27 13:57	

Activity measurement

Run No.:	22-01	Date:	2010/06/29	(Activity compensation at 12:00)			00)
	Start of	Period	Net count	Relative	Activity	Compensated	lodine
	measurement	(s)		error(%)	(Bq)	activity(Bq)	fraction*
Solution before	10/06/29 10:51	1800.0	256624	3.1E-1	500.682	499.062	1.0000
irrad. 1mL							
Solution after	10/06/29 14:10	1800.0	223128	3.3E-1	435.330	439.135	8.764E-01
irrad. 1mL							
1: Ag-1	10/06/29 15:00	1018.0	581645	2.1E-1	5331.961	5392.614	1.089E-01
2: Ag-2	10/06/29 15:51	1800.0	401	4.6E+1	2.079	2.110	4.262E-05
3: Ag-3	10/06/29 16:22	1348.8	287	5.5E+1	1.986	-	-
4: Ag-4	10/06/29 16:47	736.3	-38	-	0	-	-
5: Ag-5	10/06/29 17:00	470.9	-24	-	0	-	-
6: AgNO3-1	10/06/29 15:19	1800.0	1550	1.2E+1	8.520	8.631	1.743E-04
7: AgNO3-2	10/06/29 17:10	202.1	-24	-	0	-	-
8: AgNO3-3	10/06/29 17:14	201.7	74	8.0E+1	3.630	-	-
* Fraction to total inventory					Sum for filter 1-8	1.091E-01	
Activity values for solution samples were for whole solution					Frac. in solution	8.764E-01	
Sample mass(g)	Solution mass	Sample				Loss	1.446E-02
Before	99.5	1.0030]				
After	99.3	1.0050					

Chemical measurements

Run No.	рН		I- conc.		I- remained
	Before	After	Before	After	fraction
22-01	7.01	7.03	9.98E-05	8.77E-05	8.79E-01

Filter fraction data

Filter No.	Frac. in whole	Frac. in filters			
Ag (for I2)					
1	1.089E-01	9.980E-01			
2	4.262E-05	3.905E-04			
3	-	-			
4	-	-			
5	-	-			
AgNO3 (for Org-I)					
6	1.743E-04	1.597E-03			
7	-	-			
8	-	-			

Adsorption was found at the up-stream coupler and PTFE ring of the top sampler: 4cps(about 15Bq).


Experimental condition Run No.	22-02		
Solution Volume/Stir Temperature	pH=7.0 (Buffer: 0.1M B 100mL/350rpm-6(dia.)x 25C	8(OH)3-5.72e-4M NaOH), Csl 1e-4M, I-131 37kl x25 glass stir bar	Bq
Gas	Ar 1L/min		
Dose rate	5.29 kGy/h		
Date	2010/07/01		
Irradiation schedule	e		
	Sweep start	10:56	
	Irrad. start	11:27	
	Irrad. end	13:27	
	Sweep end	13:57	

Activity measurement

Run No.:	22-02	Date:	2010/07/01	0/07/01 (Activity compensation at 12:00)			
	Start of	Period	Net count	Relative	Activity	Compensated	lodine
	measurement	(s)		error(%)	(Bq)	activity(Bq)	fraction*
Solution before irrad. 1mL	10/07/01 10:52	1800.0	207612	3.5E-1	405.062	403.776	1.0000
Solution after irrad. 1mL	10/07/01 14:07	1800.0	163853	3.9E-1	319.686	322.422	7.941E-01
1: Ag-1	10/07/01 15:00	1079.9	803478	1.8E-1	6943.585	7022.789	1.753E-01
2: Ag-2	10/07/01 15:20	627.2	304	3.6E+1	4.523	4.579	1.143E-04
3: Ag-3	10/07/01 16:03	319.3	67	1.1E+2	1.958	-	-
4: Ag-4	10/07/01 16:09	307.5	113	6.4E+1	3.429	-	-
5: Ag-5	10/07/01 16:16	315.3	38	2.0E+2	1.125	-	-
6: AgNO3-1	10/07/01 15:31	1800.0	1927	1.1E+1	10.593	10.738	2.681E-04
7: AgNO3-2	10/07/01 16:23	300.8	-143	-	0	-	-
8: AgNO3-3	10/07/01 16:28	300.7	-34	-	0	-	-
* Fraction to total in	nventory					Sum for filter 1-8	1.757E-01
Activity values for s	solution samples	were for whol	e solution			Frac. in solution	7.941E-01
Sample mass(g)	Solution mass	Sample				Loss	3.014E-02
Before	99.1	0.9991					
After	98.9	1.0026					

Chemical measurements

Run No.	рН		I- co	I- remained	
	Before	After	Before	After	fraction
22-02	6.98	7.04	9.98E-05	7.36E-05	7.37E-01

Filter fraction data

Filter No.	Frac. in whole	Frac. in filters
Ag (for I2)		
1	1.753E-01	9.978E-01
2	1.143E-04	6.506E-04
3	-	-
4	-	-
5	-	-
AginO3 (for Org-I)		
6	2.681E-04	1.526E-03
7	-	-
8	-	-

Adsorption was found at the up-stream coupler and PTFE ring of the top sampler: 100cps(about 360Bq).



Experimental condition Run No.	22-03	
Solution Volume/Stir Temperature	pH=7.0 (Buffer: 0.1M B 100mL/350rpm-6(dia.)» 25C	(OH)3-5.72e-4M NaOH), CsI 1e-4M, I-131 37kBq (25 glass stir bar
Gas	Ar-3%H2 1L/min	
Dose rate	5.27 kGy/h	
Date	2010/07/08	
Irradiation schedule	e	
	Sweep start	11:06
	Irrad. start	11:36
	Irrad. end	13:36
	Sweep end	14:06

Activity measurement

Run No.:	22-03	Date:	2010/07/08		(Activity com	pensation at 12:0	00)
	Start of	Period	Net count	Relative	Activity	Compensated	lodine
	measurement	(s)		error(%)	(Bq)	activity(Bq)	fraction*
Solution before	10/07/08 10:59	1800.0	249619	3.1E-1	487.015	485.672	1.0000
irrad. 1mL							
Solution after irrad.	10/07/08 14:17	1800.0	207120	3.5E-1	404.094	407.796	8.425E-01
1mL							
1: Ag-1	10/07/08 15:04	1224.3	832348	1.7E-1	6344.365	6418.738	1.345E-01
2: Ag-2	10/07/08 15:26	918.0	514	2.4E+1	5.225	5.293	1.109E-04
3: Ag-3	10/07/08 16:17	272.8	64	1.1E+2	2.190	-	-
4: Ag-4	10/07/08 16:23	154.6	-16	-	0	-	-
5: Ag-5	10/07/08 16:27	203.7	15	3.9E+2	0.687	-	-
6: AgNO3-1	10/07/08 15:42	1800.0	2300	8.4E+0	12.643	12.824	2.688E-04
7: AgNO3-2	10/07/08 16:32	200.7	-90	-	0	-	-
8: AgNO3-3	10/07/08 16:37	223.8	85	6.7E+1	3.758	-	-
* Fraction to total in	nventory					Sum for filter 1-8	1.349E-01
Activity values for s	solution samples	were for whol	e solution			Frac. in solution	8.425E-01
Sample mass(g)	Solution mass	Sample				Loss	2.259E-02
Before	98.8	1.0058					
After	98.7	1.0014					

Chemical measurements

Run No.	рН		I- co	I- remained	
	Before	After	Before	After	fraction
22-03	6.99	7.01	9.98E-05	9.02E-05	9.04E-01

Filter fraction data

Filter No.	Frac. in whole	Frac. in filters
Ag (for I2)		
1	1.345E-01	9.972E-01
2	1.109E-04	8.223E-04
3	-	-
4	-	-
5	-	-
AgNO3 (for Org-I)		
6	2.688E-04	1.992E-03
7	-	-
8	-	-

Adsorption was found at the up-stream coupler and PTFE ring of the top sampler: 1cps(about 4Bq).



Experimental condition	on	
Run No.	22-04	
Solution	pH=7.0 (Buffer: 0 MIBK 0.2mL添加)	1M B(OH)3-5.72e-4M NaOH), Csl 1e-4M, MIBK 1e-4M (Irrad. start直前に0.05M , I-131 37kBq
Volume/Stir	100mL/350rpm-6	dia.)x25 glass stir bar
Temperature	25C	, .
Gas	N2-5%O2 1L/min	
Dose rate	5.26 k	Gy/h
Date	2010/07/13	
Irradiation sched	ule	
	Sweep start	11:33
	Irrad. start	12:03
	Irrad. end	14:03
	Sweep end	14:33

Activity measurement

Run No.:	22-04	Date:	2010/07/13		(Activity com	pensation at 12:	00)
	Start of	Period	Net count	Relative	Activity	Compensated	lodine
	measurement	(s)		error(%)	(Bq)	activity(Bq)	fraction*
Solution before	10/07/13 12:07	1800.0	212280	3.4E-1	414.170	414.717	1.0000
irrad. 1mL							
Solution after	10/07/13 14:43	1800.0	187032	3.6E-1	364.906	368.825	8.879E-01
irrad. 1mL							
1: Ag-1	10/07/13 15:26	1422.4	571509	2.1E-1	3749.615	3798.958	9.276E-02
2: Ag-2	10/07/13 15:52	600.6	541	1.9E+1	8.406	8.526	2.082E-04
3: Ag-3	10/07/13 16:44	180.5	-20	-	0	-	-
4: Ag-4	10/07/13 16:48	204.6	13	4.9E+2	0.593	-	-
5: Ag-5	10/07/13 16:52	181.2	35	1.6E+2	1.803	-	-
6: AgNO3-1	10/07/13 16:03	1800.0	5238	4.1E+0	28.794	29.243	7.140E-04
7: AgNO3-2	10/07/13 16:34	181.1	-40	-	0	-	-
8: AgNO3-3	10/07/13 16:38	284.0	42	1.6E+2	1.464	-	-
* Fraction to total in	nventory					Sum for filter 1-8	9.368E-02
Activity values for	solution samples	were for who	le solution			Frac. in solution	8.879E-01
Sample mass(g)	Solution mass	Sample]			Loss	1.841E-02
Before	99.3	1.0055]				
After	99.2	1.0061					

Chemical measurements

Run No.	рН		l- co	I- remained	
	Before	After	Before	After	fraction
22-04	6.99	6.97	9.98E-05	7.94E-05	7.96E-01

Filter fraction data

Filter No.	Frac. in whole	Frac. in filters
Ag (for I2)		
1	9.276E-02	9.902E-01
2	2.082E-04	2.222E-03
3	-	-
4	-	-
5	-	-
AgNO3 (for Org-I)		
6	7.140E-04	7.622E-03
7	-	-
8	-	-

Adsorption was found at the up-stream coupler and PTFE ring of the top sampler: 2cps(about 8Bq).



Experimental condition	22-05	
Solution Volume/Stir	pH=7.0 (Buffer: 0.1M B 100mL/350rpm-6(dia.)x	(OH)3-5.72e-4M NaOH), CsI 1e-4M, I-131 37kBq /25 glass stir bar
Temperature	250	
Gas	N2-5%O2 1L/min	
Dose rate	5.26 kGy/h	
Date	2010/07/16	
Irradiation schedul	e	
	Sweep start	11:31
	Irrad. start	12:01
	Irrad. end	14:01
	Sweep end	14:31

Activity measurement

Run No.:	22-05	Date:	2010/07/16		(Activity com	pensation at 12:0	0)
	Start of	Period	Net count	Relative	Activity	Compensated	lodine
	measurement	(s)		error(%)	(Bq)	activity(Bq)	fraction*
Solution before irrad. 1mL	10/07/16 11:26	1800.0	205058	3.5E-1	400.075	399.619	1.0000
Solution after irrad. 1mL	10/07/16 14:43	1800.0	186046	3.7E-1	362.986	366.884	8.998E-01
1: Ag-1	10/07/16 15:29	1546.3	568186	2.1E-1	3429.159	3475.126	8.733E-02
2: Ag-2	10/07/16 16:32	900.3	511	2.4E+1	5.297	5.386	1.354E-04
3: Ag-3	10/07/16 16:48	162.1	31	1.8E+2	1.785	-	-
4: Ag-4	10/07/16 16:52	160.6	76	6.4E+1	4.417	-	-
5: Ag-5	10/07/16 17:00	160.5	42	1.3E+2	2.441	-	-
6: AgNO3-1	10/07/16 15:59	1800.0	1281	1.4E+1	7.042	7.150	1.797E-04
7: AgNO3-2	10/07/16 17:04	161.0	23	2.3E+2	1.414	-	-
8: AgNO3-3	10/07/16 17:08	160.7	45	1.2E+2	2.771	-	-
* Fraction to total in	nventory					Sum for filter 1-8	8.764E-02
Activity values for solution samples were for whole solution						Frac. in solution	8.998E-01
Sample mass(g)	Solution mass	Sample				Loss	1.252E-02
Before	99.9	1.0032]				
After	99.3	1.0174					

maco(g)	Colution made	Gampio	
Before	99.9	1.0032	
After	99.3	1.0174	

Che	mical measuremen	ts	
	Run No.	рН	I- conc.

Run No.	pH		I- conc.		I- remained		
	Before	After	Before	After	fraction		
22-05	7.01	7.02	9.98E-05	7.95E-05	7.97E-01		
	Run No. 22-05	Run No. pH Before 22-05	Run No. pH Before After 22-05 7.01 7.02	Run No. pH I- cc Before After Before 22-05 7.01 7.02 9.98E-05	Run No. pH I- conc. Before After Before After 22-05 7.01 7.02 9.98E-05 7.95E-05		

Filter fraction data

Filter No.	Frac. in whole	Frac. in filters
Ag (for I2)		
1	8.733E-02	9.964E-01
2	1.354E-04	1.544E-03
3	-	-
4	-	-
5	-	-
AgNO3 (for Org-I)		
6	1.797E-04	2.050E-03
7	-	-
8	-	-

Adsorption was found at the up-stream coupler and PTFE ring of the top sampler: 1.5cps(about 5Bq).



B.2 Cold Experiments

B.2.1 Suppression of Iodine Release by Ammonia Alkaline

Experimental conditio	n						
Run No.	22-C02						
Solution	NH3 0.1M, CsI 1e-4M (ammon	ia alkaline)					
Volume/Stir	100mL/350rpm-6(dia.)x25 glass stir bar						
Temperature	24C	24C					
Gas	N2 1L/min						
Dose rate	0.00 kGy/h						
Date	2010/10/18						
Irradiation schedu	le						
	Stir start 14	^{1:45} Ammonia transfer measurement without irradiation.					
	Sweep start 14	1:55					
	Irrad. start -						
	Irrad. end -						
	Sweep end 16	5:57					
Solution preparation	Volume(ml.) NH3 conc.(N	(I) I- conc (M)					
Water	101.000 0.00E	+00 0.00E+00					
NH3	0.770 1.33E	+01 0.00E+00					
I-	0.210 0.00E	+00 5.00E-02					
Vol., conc.	101.980 1.00E	-01 1.03E-04					
Vessel weight(g)	-	Solution(g) Loss(g)					
with solution	Betore(g) 1200.1						
	After(g) 1198.0	- 2.100					

Chemical measurements

Run No.	pH		l- con	I- remained	
	Before	After	Before	After	fraction
22-C02	11.10	10.81	1.14E-04	1.25E-04	1.07E+00

NH3 c	NH3 conc.		*Remained fractions: with compensation of			
Before	After	fraction	liquid decrease due to evaporation.			
0.093	0.045	4.75E-01				



Experimental condition	n					
Run No.	22-C03					
Solution	NH3 0.1M, Csl 1e	-4M (ammonia a	lkaline)			
Volume/Stir	100mL/350rpm-6(dia.)x25 glass st	ir bar			
Temperature	25C					
Gas	N2 1L/min					
Dose rate	5.08	kGy/h				
Date	2010/10/19					
Irradiation schedu	le					
	Stir start	13:55	Suppression of the	e iodine releas	se by ammonia a	alkaline.
	Sweep start	13:55	Water was swept	by N2 for 30m	in in advance of	the exp.
	Irrad. start 13:55 Ammonia and CsI was dissolved after that. (10:44-11					44-11:14)
	Irrad. end 15:55 Failed to open the valve at irrad. start. Thus, irrad. w					d. was Ó
	Sweep end	16:55	interrupted and sta	arted again (1	3:57) with the va	lve open.
Solution preparation	Volume(mL)	NH3 conc.(M)	I- conc.(M)			
Water	101.000	0.00E+00	0.00E+00			
NH3	0.770	1.33E+01	0.00E+00			
-	0.210	0.00E+00	5.00E-02			
Vol., conc.	101.980	1.00E-01	1.03E-04			
Vessel weight(g)	1101.0		Solution(a)	l 088(a)	I	
with solution	Before(a)	1200 7	99.7	L033(g)		
with solution	After(g)	1198.6	97.6	2,100		
	,(g)		0110	2.100		
Chemical measureme	nts					
Run No.	pH		I- cond	c.	I- remained	
	Before	After	Before	After	fraction	
22-C03	11.19	10.89	1.09E-04	1.14E-04	1.02E+00	

11.19	10.89	1.09E-04	1.14E-04	1.02E+00	
			_		-
NH3 conc.		NH3 remained	*Remained fr	actions: with con	npensation of
Before	After	fraction	liquid decrea	se due to evapor	ation.
0.103	0.057	5.44E-01			



Experimental condition	n					
Run No.	22-C04					
Solution Volume/Stir Temperature	NH3 0.01M, Csl 16 100mL/350rpm-6(0 25C	e-4M (ammonia a dia.)x25 glass stir	lkaline) [.] bar			
Gas	N2 1L/min					
Dose rate	5.08	kGy/h				
Date	2010/10/20	2010/10/20				
Irradiation schedu	adiation schedule					
	Stir start Sweep start Irrad. start Irrad. end Sweep end	13:09 13:20 13:20 15:20 15:20	Suppression of the NH3 conc. 0.01M Water was swept I Ammonia and CsI	e iodine releas by N2 for 30m was dissolve	se by ammonia alkaline. nin in advance of the exp. d after that.(11:01-11:31)	
Solution preparation	Volume(mL)	NH3 conc.(M)	I- conc.(M)			
Water	102.000	0.00E+00	0.00E+00			
NH3	0.077	1.33E+01	0.00E+00			
I-	0.210	0.00E+00	5.00E-02			
Vol., conc.	102.287	1.00E-02	1.03E-04			
Vessel weight(g)	1100.8	1001.0	Solution(g)	Loss(g)		
with solution	Before(g)	1201.2	100.4			
	Atter(g)	1198.6	97.8	2.600]	
Chemical measureme	nte					

Chemical measurements

Run No.	рН		I- con	I- remained	
	Before	After	Before	After	fraction
22-C04	10.64	10.28	1.03E-04	1.06E-04	1.00E+00

NH3 c	onc.	NH3 remained	*Remained fractions: with compensation of
Before	After	fraction	liquid decrease due to evaporation.
0.0080	0.0040	4.83E-01	



Experimental conditior	ו					
Run No.	22-C05					
Solution	NH3 0.1M, Csl 1e-4M	(ammonia alkali	ne)			
Volume/Stir	100mL/350rpm-6(dia.)	x25 glass stir ba	ar			
Temperature	25C	-				
Gas	N2-5%O2 1L/min					
Dose rate	5.07	kGy/h				
Date	2010/10/26	,				
Irradiation schedu	le		Suppression of th	o iodino rolog	se hy ammonia	alkalino
	Bypass sweep start	12:26	Bypass: das was	let into the tr	an without sweet	aina the test
	Stir start	12:28	vessel			sing the test
	Sweep start	12:30	NH3 conc 0 1M	5%02		
	Irrad. start	13:00	No irrad. 30min sv	weep=>2h irra	ad.=>No irrad. 30)min sweep
	Irrad. end	15:00	The trap I- and NI	H3 evolution d	luring the irrad a	nd no irrad
	Sweep end	15:30	periods were com	paired.	9	
	•					
Solution preparation	Volume(mL)	NH3 conc.(M)	I- conc.(M)			
Water	101.000	0.00E+00	0.00E+00			
NH3	0.770	1.33E+01	0.00E+00			
I-	0.210	0.00E+00	5.00E-02			
Vol., conc.	101.980	1.00E-01	1.03E-04			
Vessel weight(g)	1100.9		Solution(g)	Loss(g)		
with solution	Before(g)	1200.2	99.3			
	After(g)	1196.9	96.0	3.300		
Chemical measureme	nts					
Run No.	pН		I- con	C.	I- remained	
	Before	After	Before	After	fraction	
22-C05	11.24	10.70	1.28E-04	1.24E-04	9.39E-01	

NH3 con	С.	NH3 remained	*Remained fractions: with compensation of
Before	After	fraction	liquid decrease due to evaporation.
0.106	0.040	3.63E-01	



Comparison of the influence of Organic Compounds: MIBK and Xy-**B.2.2** lene

Gas

Date

Experimental condition Run No. 22-C10

pH=7.0 (Buffer: 0.1M B(OH)3-5.72e-4M NaOH), CsI 1e-4M, o-xylene soaked porous PTFE chip immersed 100mL/350rpm-6(dia.)x25 glass stir bar Solution Volume/Stir Temperature 25C Air(colosed, gas vol. 286mL) Dose rate 4.98 kGy/h 2010/12/13 Irradiation sch

nedule	
Xylene chip add	13:24
Sample 0	13:24
Sample 1	14:24
Irrad 1 start(0-30min)	14:24
Sample 2	14:54
Irrad 2 start(-60min)	14:55
Sample 3	15:26
Irrad 3 start(-120min)	15:26
Sample 4	16:26
Irrad. end	16:26
30min after	16:56
Sample 5	16:56

Solution preparation Volume(mL)	I- conc.(M)		
Buffer solution	120.000	0.00E+00	
I-	0.240	5.00E-02	
Vol., conc.	120.240	9.98E-05	

Vessel weight(g)	1104.6		Solution(g)	Loss(g)
with solution	Before(g)	1204.3	99.70	
	After(g)	1205.3	99.66	0.039
PTFE chip	Chip (g)	1.0392		
	Chip (dry)(g)	0.9862		
	xylene(g)	0.0530	xylene mol	4.99E-04

Chemical measurements

Run No.	рН		I- conc.(M)		I- remained
	Before	After	Before	After	fraction
22-C10	6.91	5.78	1.02E-04	7.14E-05	6.99E-01

GCMS measurements

	Conc.(M)	Total amount	(mol)			
Sample No.	xylene(gas)	CH3I(gas)	xylene(liq)	CH3I(liq)	xylene	CH3I
0	2.43E-06	0.00E+00	1.47E-05	0.00E+00	2.16E-06	0.00E+00
1	7.66E-05	0.00E+00	4.64E-04	0.00E+00	6.83E-05	0.00E+00
2	6.30E-05	2.71E-08	3.82E-04	1.30E-07	5.62E-05	2.08E-08
3	5.69E-05	4.55E-08	3.45E-04	2.19E-07	5.08E-05	3.49E-08
4	4.95E-05	6.43E-08	3.00E-04	3.10E-07	4.42E-05	4.94E-08
5	7.13E-05	6.27E-08	4.32E-04	3.02E-07	6.36E-05	4.81E-08



Time 0=start of irrad.

Pre-test o-xylene soaked porous PTFE chip was immersed in water, and, o-xylene leached was measured. Conc. in liq. was about 4e-4M at 60min.

o-xylene conc. measurement o-xylene conc. at irrad. start (0s) was comparable to the pre-test conc.



CH3I frac. in gas/liq. (based on initial total I)

CH3I conc. measurement

o-xylene leaching evaluation (for simulation) Pre-test leach history was fitted with 1-exp(-kt) function, multiplied by a factor for correction, so that the o-xylene conc. at the start of irrad. is matched. M(mol)=4.7e-4 {1-exp(-2.6e-3 t)}, t(min)



Experimental conditi	on	
Run No.	22-C11	
Solution Volume/Stir Temperature	pH=7.0 (Buffer: 0.1M B(OH)3-5 100mL/350rpm-6(dia.)x25 glas 25C	5.72e-4M NaOH), Csl 1e-4M, MIBK 1e-3M added s stir bar
Gas	Air(colosed gas vol 286ml.)	
Dose rate	4.98 kGv/h	
Date	2010/12/15	
Irradiation sched	dule	
	MIBK add	13:01
	Sample 1	13:02
	Irrad 1 start(0-30min)	13:03
	Sample 2	13:33
	Irrad 2 start(-60min)	13:34
	Sample 3	14:04
	Irrad 3 start(-120min)	14:05
	Sample 4	15:05
	Irrad. end	15:05
	30min after	15:35
	Sample 5	15:35

Solution preparation Volume(mL)	I-	conc.(M)
Buffer solution	120.000	0.00E+00
I-	0.240	5.00E-02
Vol., conc.	120.240	9.98E-05

Vessel weight(g)	926.3		Solution(g)	Loss(g)
with solution	Before(g)	1025.8	99.50	
	After(g)	1026.8	99.50	0
MIBK addition	MIBK sol.(g)	1.0	(1mL)	
	Conc.(M)	0.10	MIBK mol	1.00E-04

Chemical measurements

Run No.	pН	I- con	I- remained		
	Before	After	Before	After	fraction
22-C11	6.92	6.20	1.11E-04	9.00E-05	8.10E-01

GCMS measurements

	Conc.(M)				Total amount	(mol)
Sample No.	MIBK(gas)	CH3I(gas)	MIBK(liq)	CH3I(liq)	MIBK	CH3I
1	1.69E-03	0.00E+00	1.00E-03	0.00E+00	5.83E-04	0.00E+00
2	1.27E-03	8.66E-09	7.53E-04	4.17E-08	4.39E-04	6.65E-09
3	7.16E-04	2.95E-08	4.24E-04	1.42E-07	2.47E-04	2.26E-08
4	1.78E-04	7.73E-08	1.05E-04	3.73E-07	6.14E-05	5.94E-08
5	1.84E-04	8.90E-08	1.09E-04	4.29E-07	6.35E-05	6.84E-08





This is a blank page.

表 1. SI 基本単位					
甘大昌	SI 基本ì	単位			
盔半里	名称	記号			
長さ	メートル	m			
質 量	キログラム	kg			
時 間	秒	s			
電 流	アンペア	А			
熱力学温度	ケルビン	Κ			
物質量	モル	mol			
光度	カンデラ	cd			

表2. 基本甲位を用	いて表されるSI組立単位	立の例		
和辛雪	SI 基本単位			
和立里	名称	記号		
面 積平	方メートル	m^2		
体 積立	法メートル	m^3		
速さ,速度メ	ートル毎秒	m/s		
加速度メ	ートル毎秒毎秒	m/s^2		
波 数每	メートル	m ⁻¹		
密度,質量密度キ	ログラム毎立方メートル	kg/m ³		
面積密度キ	ログラム毎平方メートル	kg/m ²		
比 体 積立	方メートル毎キログラム	m ³ /kg		
電流密度ア	ンペア毎平方メートル	A/m^2		
磁界の強さア	ンペア毎メートル	A/m		
量 濃 度 ^(a) , 濃 度 モ	ル毎立方メートル	mol/m ³		
質量濃度キ	ログラム毎立法メートル	kg/m ³		
輝 度力	ンデラ毎平方メートル	cd/m^2		
屈 折 率 ^(b) (数字の) 1	1		
比透磁率(b)	数字の) 1	1		
(a) 量濃度 (amount concentra	ation)は臨床化学の分野では	物質濃度		
(substance concentration)	とも上げれる			

(substance concentration)ともよばれる。
 (b) これらは無次元量あるいは次元1をもつ量であるが、そのことを表す単位記号である数字の1は通常は表記しない。

表3. 固有の名称と記号で表されるSI組立単位

			SI 租立单位	
組立量	名称	記号	他のSI単位による 表し方	SI基本単位による 表し方
亚	5.37 v (b)	red	1 (b)	m/m
	() / / / / / / (b)	(c)	1 1 (b)	2/ 2
		sr II-	1	m m -1
同 仮 多		пг		S .
カ	ニュートン	N		m kg s ⁻²
E 力 , 応 力	パスカル	Pa	N/m ²	m ⁻¹ kg s ⁻²
エネルギー,仕事,熱量	ジュール	J	N m	$m^2 kg s^2$
仕事率, 工率, 放射束	ワット	W	J/s	m ² kg s ⁻³
電荷,電気量	クーロン	С		s A
電位差(電圧),起電力	ボルト	V	W/A	$m^2 kg s^{-3} A^{-1}$
静電容量	ファラド	F	C/V	$m^{-2} kg^{-1} s^4 A^2$
電気抵抗	オーム	Ω	V/A	$m^2 kg s^{\cdot 3} A^{\cdot 2}$
コンダクタンス	ジーメンス	s	A/V	$m^{-2} kg^{-1} s^3 A^2$
磁東	ウエーバ	Wb	Vs	$m^2 kg s^2 A^1$
磁束密度	テスラ	Т	Wb/m ²	$kg s^{2} A^{1}$
インダクタンス	ヘンリー	Н	Wb/A	$m^2 kg s^{-2} A^{-2}$
セルシウス温度	セルシウス度 ^(e)	°C		K
光東	ルーメン	lm	cd sr ^(c)	cd
照度	ルクス	lx	lm/m ²	m ⁻² cd
放射性核種の放射能 ^(f)	ベクレル ^(d)	Bq		s ⁻¹
吸収線量 比エネルギー分与				
カーマ	グレイ	Gy	J/kg	m ² s ²
線量当量,周辺線量当量,方向	2 × 2 2 (g)	C	T/la a	2 -2
性線量当量,個人線量当量		SV	J/Kg	ms
酸素活性	カタール	kat		s ⁻¹ mol

酸素活性(カタール) kat [s¹ mol]
 (a)SI接頭語は固有の名称と記号を持つ組立単位と組み合わせても使用できる。しかし接頭語を付した単位はもはや ュヒーレントではない。
 (b)ラジアンとステラジアンは数字の1に対する単位の特別な名称で、量についての情報をつたえるために使われる。 実際には、使用する時には記号rad及びsrが用いられるが、習慣として組立単位としての記号である数字の1は明 示されない。
 (a)測光学ではステラジアンという名称と記号srを単位の表し方の中に、そのまま維持している。
 (d)へルツは周崩現象についてのみ、ペシレルは抜焼性核種の統計的過程についてのみ使用される。
 (a)セルシウス度はケルビンの特別な名称で、セルシウス温度度を表すために使用される。
 (d)やレシウス度はケルビンの特別な名称で、セルシウス温度を表すために使用される。
 (d)かりたきさは同一である。しただかって、温度度差やす数値はとちらの単位でましても同じである。
 (f)放射性核種の放射能(activity referred to a radionuclide)は、しばしば誤った用語で"radioactivity"と記される。
 (g)単位シーベルト(PV,2002,70,205)についてはCIPM勧告2(CI-2002)を参照。

表4.単位の中に固有の名称と記号を含むSI組立単位の例

	S	[組立単位	
組立量	名称	記号	SI 基本単位による 表し方
粘度	パスカル秒	Pa s	m ⁻¹ kg s ⁻¹
カのモーメント	ニュートンメートル	N m	m ² kg s ⁻²
表 面 張 九	ニュートン毎メートル	N/m	kg s ⁻²
角 速 度	ラジアン毎秒	rad/s	m m ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ =s ⁻¹
角 加 速 度	ラジアン毎秒毎秒	rad/s^2	m m ⁻¹ s ⁻² =s ⁻²
熱流密度,放射照度	ワット毎平方メートル	W/m^2	kg s ⁻³
熱容量,エントロピー	ジュール毎ケルビン	J/K	$m^2 kg s^{-2} K^{-1}$
比熱容量, 比エントロピー	ジュール毎キログラム毎ケルビン	J/(kg K)	$m^2 s^{-2} K^{-1}$
比エネルギー	ジュール毎キログラム	J/kg	$m^{2} s^{2}$
熱 伝 導 率	ワット毎メートル毎ケルビン	W/(m K)	m kg s ⁻³ K ⁻¹
体積エネルギー	ジュール毎立方メートル	J/m ³	m ⁻¹ kg s ⁻²
電界の強さ	ボルト毎メートル	V/m	m kg s ⁻³ A ⁻¹
電 荷 密 度	クーロン毎立方メートル	C/m ³	m ⁻³ sA
表 面 電 荷	「クーロン毎平方メートル	C/m ²	m ⁻² sA
電 束 密 度 , 電 気 変 位	クーロン毎平方メートル	C/m ²	m ⁻² sA
誘 電 率	ファラド毎メートル	F/m	$m^{-3} kg^{-1} s^4 A^2$
透磁 率	ペンリー毎メートル	H/m	m kg s ⁻² A ⁻²
モルエネルギー	ジュール毎モル	J/mol	$m^2 kg s^2 mol^1$
モルエントロピー, モル熱容量	ジュール毎モル毎ケルビン	J/(mol K)	$m^2 kg s^{-2} K^{-1} mol^{-1}$
照射線量(X線及びγ線)	クーロン毎キログラム	C/kg	kg ⁻¹ sA
吸収線量率	グレイ毎秒	Gy/s	$m^{2} s^{3}$
放 射 強 度	ワット毎ステラジアン	W/sr	$m^4 m^{-2} kg s^{-3} = m^2 kg s^{-3}$
放射輝度	ワット毎平方メートル毎ステラジアン	$W/(m^2 sr)$	m ² m ⁻² kg s ⁻³ =kg s ⁻³
酸素活性濃度	カタール毎立方メートル	kat/m ³	m ⁻³ e ⁻¹ mol

表 5. SI 接頭語								
乗数	接頭語	記号	乗数	接頭語	記号			
10^{24}	э 9	Y	10 ⁻¹	デシ	d			
10^{21}	ゼタ	Z	10 ⁻²	センチ	с			
10^{18}	エクサ	E	10 ⁻³	ミリ	m			
10^{15}	ペタ	Р	10 ⁻⁶	マイクロ	μ			
10^{12}	テラ	Т	10 ⁻⁹	ナノ	n			
10^{9}	ギガ	G	10^{-12}	ピコ	р			
10^{6}	メガ	M	10^{-15}	フェムト	f			
10^{3}	+ 1	k	10 ⁻¹⁸	アト	а			
10^{2}	ヘクト	h	10^{-21}	ゼプト	z			
10^{1}	デカ	da	10 ⁻²⁴	ヨクト	v			

表6.SIに属さないが、SIと併用される単位					
名称	記号	SI 単位による値			
分	min	1 min=60s			
時	h	1h =60 min=3600 s			
日	d	1 d=24 h=86 400 s			
度	٥	1°=(п/180) rad			
分	,	1'=(1/60)°=(п/10800) rad			
秒	"	1"=(1/60)'=(п/648000) rad			
ヘクタール	ha	1ha=1hm ² =10 ⁴ m ²			
リットル	L, 1	1L=11=1dm ³ =10 ³ cm ³ =10 ⁻³ m ³			
トン	t	$1t=10^{3}$ kg			

表7. SIに属さないが、SIと併用される単位で、SI単位で

衣される剱値が美缺的に侍られるもの						
名称 記号				記号	SI 単位で表される数値	
電	子 >	ボル	ŀ	eV	1eV=1.602 176 53(14)×10 ⁻¹⁹ J	
ダ	N	ŀ	\sim	Da	1Da=1.660 538 86(28)×10 ⁻²⁷ kg	
統-	一原子	質量単	单位	u	1u=1 Da	
天	文	単	位	ua	1ua=1.495 978 706 91(6)×10 ¹¹ m	

表8.SIに属さないが、SIと併用されるその他の単位

	名称		記号	SI 単位で表される数値
バ	-	ル	bar	1 bar=0.1MPa=100kPa=10 ⁵ Pa
水銀	柱ミリメー	トル	mmHg	1mmHg=133.322Pa
オン	グストロ・	- 4	Å	1 Å=0.1nm=100pm=10 ⁻¹⁰ m
海		里	М	1 M=1852m
バ	-	ン	b	1 b=100fm ² =(10 ⁻¹² cm)2=10 ⁻²⁸ m ²
1	ツ	ŀ	kn	1 kn=(1852/3600)m/s
ネ	-	パ	Np	CI単位しの粉値的な間接け
ベ		N	В	対数量の定義に依存。
デ	ジベ	ル	dB -	

表9. 固有の名称をもつCGS組立単位

名称	記号	SI 単位で表される数値			
エルグ	erg	1 erg=10 ⁻⁷ J			
ダイン	dyn	1 dyn=10 ⁻⁵ N			
ポアズ	Р	1 P=1 dyn s cm ⁻² =0.1Pa s			
ストークス	St	$1 \text{ St} = 1 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1} = 10^{-4} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$			
スチルブ	sb	$1 \text{ sb} = 1 \text{ cd } \text{ cm}^{\cdot 2} = 10^4 \text{ cd } \text{ m}^{\cdot 2}$			
フォト	ph	1 ph=1cd sr cm ⁻² 10 ⁴ lx			
ガ ル	Gal	1 Gal =1cm s ⁻² =10 ⁻² ms ⁻²			
マクスウェル	Mx	$1 \text{ Mx} = 1 \text{ G cm}^2 = 10^{-8} \text{Wb}$			
ガウス	G	$1 \text{ G} = 1 \text{Mx cm}^{-2} = 10^{-4} \text{T}$			
エルステッド ^(c)	Oe	1 Oe ≙ (10 ³ /4π)A m ^{·1}			
(c) 3元系のCGS単位系とSIでは直接比較できないため、等号「 △ 」					

は対応関係を示すものである。

		表	(10.	SIに 尾	禹さないその他の単位の例
	名称			記号	SI 単位で表される数値
キ	ユ	IJ	ĺ	Ci	1 Ci=3.7×10 ¹⁰ Bq
$\scriptstyle u$	ン	トゲ	\sim	R	$1 \text{ R} = 2.58 \times 10^{-4} \text{C/kg}$
ラ			K	rad	1 rad=1cGy=10 ⁻² Gy
$\scriptstyle u$			ム	rem	1 rem=1 cSv=10 ⁻² Sv
ガ	:	\sim	7	γ	1 γ =1 nT=10-9T
フ	II.	N	"		1フェルミ=1 fm=10-15m
メー	ートルネ	系カラ:	ット		1メートル系カラット=200 mg=2×10-4kg
ŀ			N	Torr	1 Torr = (101 325/760) Pa
標	進	大気	圧	atm	1 atm = 101 325 Pa
力	П	IJ	ļ	cal	1cal=4.1858J(「15℃」カロリー), 4.1868J (「IT」カロリー) 4.184J(「熱化学」カロリー)
3	カ	17	~		$1 = 1 = 10^{-6} m$

この印刷物は再生紙を使用しています